City of Prairie Village, Kansas



2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

For the year ended December 31, 2017

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

For the Fiscal Year Ended
December 31, 2017

Prepared by:

FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT

City of Prairie Village, Kansas

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

Year Ended December 31, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTORY SECTION:	
Letter of Transmittal	i - v
Organizational Overview	vi
Principal Officials	vii
Government Finance Officers Association Certificate of Achievement	viii
FINANCIAL SECTION:	
Independent Auditor's Report	1 - 2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3 - 13
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-Wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	14
Statement of Activities	15
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	16 - 17
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of the Governmental Funds to	
the Statement of Net Position	18
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	
– Governmental Funds	19 - 20
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and	
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the	
Statement of Activities	21
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance –	
Budget and Actual – Budgetary Basis:	
General Fund	22 - 23
Solid Waste Management Fund	24
Stormwater Fund	25
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Fund	26
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Fund	27
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	28 - 77

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

Year Ended December 31, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)


	<u>Page</u>
Required Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Collective Net Pension	70
Liability – Kansas Public Employees Retirement System Schedule of City's Contributions – Kansas Public Employees Retirement	78
System	79
Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability – Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan	80
Schedule of Employer Contributions – Prairie Village, Kansas Police	
Department Retirement Plan	81
Schedule of Investment Returns – Prairie Village, Kansas Police	0.2
Department Retirement Plan	82
Schedule of Funding Progress – Retiree Health Care Benefit Plan Trust and Pension Plan	83
Other Supplementary Information:	
Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	84
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund	
Balances – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	85
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance –	
Budget and Actual – Budgetary Basis: Special City Street and Highway Fund	86
Special Parks and Recreation Fund	87
Special Alcohol Fund	88
Corinth CID Fund	89
PV Shops CID Fund	90
Bond and Interest Fund	91
STATISTICAL SECTION:	
Financial Trends:	
Net Position by Component	92
Changes in Net Position	93 -94
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds	95
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds	96 - 97
Tax Revenues of Governmental Funds by Source	98

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

Year Ended December 31, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Revenue Capacity:	
Local Sales Tax Collections	99 - 100
Assessed Value and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property	101 - 102
Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates	103
Principal Property Taxpayers	104
Property Tax Levies and Collections	105
Debt Capacity:	
Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type	106
Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding	107
Direct and Overlapping Debt	108
Legal Debt Margin	109 - 110
Demographic and Economic Information:	
Demographic and Economic Statistics	111
Principal Employers	112
Operating Information:	
Full-time City Government Employees by Function/Program	113
Operating Indicators by Function/Program	114
Capital Asset Statistics by Function/Program	115



THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE STAR OF KANSAS

To the Honorable Mayor, Members of the City Council and Citizens of the City of Prairie Village:

Kansas statutes require all cities to issue an annual report on its financial position and activity prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America by a firm of licensed certified public accountants. Pursuant to the requirement, we hereby issue the comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) of the City of Prairie Village for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

The responsibility for accuracy, completeness, and fairness of the data presented, including all disclosures, rests with City management. City management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure designed to earn the public's confidence and trust. The internal control structure is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that these objectives are met in the areas of: safeguarding assets against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, reliability of financial records, and convenience of access for preparing financial statements and maintaining accountability for assets. The City's accounting system is continually evaluated to assure adequacy of internal controls. The concept of reasonable assurance recognizes that (1) the cost of a control should not exceed the benefits likely to be derived; and (2) the valuation of costs and benefits requires estimates and judgments by management. We believe the report as presented, is accurate in all material aspects and is presented in a manner designed to fairly set forth the financial position of the City, on a Government-wide and Fund basis.

The City of Prairie Village's financial statements have been audited by Berberich Trahan & Co., P.A., a firm of licensed certified public accountants. The goal of the independent audit was to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements of the City of Prairie Village for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, are free of material misstatement. The independent audit involved examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements; assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management; and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. The independent auditor concluded based on the audit, that there was a reasonable basis for rendering an unqualified opinion that the City of Prairie Village's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, are fairly presented in conformity with GAAP. The independent auditor's report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

Management's discussion and analysis immediately follows the independent auditor's report and provides a narrative introduction, overview and analysis of the basic financial statements. Management's discussion and analysis complements this letter of transmittal and should be read in conjunction with it.

Printed on recycled paper

Letter of Transmittal

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

Profile of the Government

The City of Prairie Village was originally the vision of the late J.C. Nichols. After successfully developing the Country Club Plaza area in Kansas City, Missouri, Mr. Nichols turned his company direction and development toward his native Johnson County just a few miles from the Plaza. He had visions of transforming the rolling hills into a well-planned community of beautiful homes and neighborhood shopping centers. Construction in Prairie Village started in 1941 and Mr. Nichols' dream became reality in 1949 when the City was named the best-planned community in America by the National Association of Home Builders.

The State of Kansas officially recognized Prairie Village as a city in 1951 with a total population of 1,360 and land area of one-half mile. By 1957, it had become a city of the first class. Now, it is one of 20 incorporated cities in Johnson County and has the sixth largest population. It is completely surrounded by other cities, sharing its eastern border, State Line Road, with Kansas City, Missouri. The City has a residential population of approximately 21,805 within its 6.7 square mile city limits.

The City operates under the Mayor-Council form of government with an appointed professional City Administrator. The City is divided into six wards with two councilpersons elected from each ward to serve staggered four-year terms. The Mayor is elected by the City at large for a four-year term. The City Administrator has responsibility for administration of all City programs and departments in accordance with policies and the annual budget adopted by the Council.

City government provides a wide range of services including: police protection and safety programs; construction and maintenance of streets, storm drainage and infrastructure; summer recreational activities and year-round cultural events; residential waste collection, recycling and composting services; and other general services for residents. Fire and health services are provided by the County; utilities are a service provided by the private sector.

The financial reporting entity includes all the funds of the City as legally defined. Component units are legally separate entities for which the primary government is financially accountable. The City has no component units.

The City maintains budgetary controls to ensure compliance with legal provisions associated with the annual appropriated budget which is approved by the governing body. Kansas statutes require that an annual operating budget by legally adopted for the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds and the General Obligation Debt Service Fund.

In addition to general City activities, the Governing Body exercises or has the ability to exercise oversight of the revised Prairie Village Police Pension Plan Fund and the Supplemental Retirement Plan Fund; therefore, these activities are included in the report.

Local Economy

Local city sales and use tax collections increased 2.5%, and property tax collections were up 6.6% in 2017. Overall residential properties increased 12.3% and commercial property increased 2.8% in Prairie Village. The average sales price increased 8.6% from 2015 to 2016 while the mean appraised value rose 11.9%.

The Johnson County unemployment rate for 2017 was 3.0%, which was lower than the Kansas rate of 3.4%. Major employers include the school district and grocery stores.

Letter of Transmittal

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

The City is located in the Kansas City metropolitan area and is considered one of the most desirable suburban communities in the region. The City is conveniently located between the Kansas City, Missouri business/industrial center and the southern Johnson County business districts. The area has experienced steady economic growth during the past two decades. The cyclical variations in the national economy over the last ten years have had a moderate effect on the City's economic growth.

Although the City is fully-developed and land-locked, the value of tangible property in Prairie Village has been maintained over the past ten years. In 2011, the City created two Community Improvement Districts (CIDs) that have had a positive impact on the local economy. In 2016, a Tax Increment Financing District (TIF) was created for the redevelopment district located roughly between Roe and Nall and 95th and Somerset. The positive economic environment has also enabled the City to maintain the same quality and level of services without increasing property taxes. The City continues to maintain a favorable financial outlook based on prudent financial practices.

Based on current projections, the City will continue to be a desired location for those who work in the metropolitan area. The City is committed to a program for maintenance of the community and future redevelopment, which will contribute to the quality of life for all of the City's residents.

Long Range Financial Plan

A five-year forecast of General Fund balances is prepared using current economic information and revenue and expenditure trends. In 2001, the Mayor appointed a committee of Council members to analyze financial trends and the economic condition of the community. The committee established a long range financial plan which is used to develop the City's annual budget. In addition, the City engaged consultants in 2005 to prepare a financial analysis to include recommendations for changes that would stabilize the population and revenue base. The City Council adopted the "Village Vision" document in May 2007 and has implemented many of the ideas since its inception. Village Vision has contributed greatly to the viability of the community. Because of the importance of Village Vision, the Council has initiated the process of updating the document so it will continue to guide them in future strategic planning.

The City maintains a five-year Capital Infrastructure Program which serves as its planning document to ensure its facilities and infrastructure are well maintained and operating in peak condition. Projects are identified by name, cost and funding sources (property taxes, reserves, bonding, assessments, state/federal funding or other). The City also funds its equipment purchases through its budgetary process annually. This ensures that when a piece of equipment is scheduled to be replaced, a majority (if not all) of the funding is available for the purchase of the equipment. This allows the City to stabilize the impact on property tax revenues by building the required amount over a period of time.

2017 Major Initiatives

As a part of the preparation for the 2017 annual budget, the following priorities were established and achieved by the City Council:

- Maintain high quality services and programs
- Maintain quality streets, parks and infrastructure
- Continue strong financial position
- Maintain Aaa bond rating
- * Reduce reliance on fund balance and reserves
- ❖ Be mindful of tax burden

Letter of Transmittal

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

Prairie Village is a desirable community in which to live because of its location, the quality of infrastructure and housing maintenance, the school system, and its sense of community, which pervades the services provided to residents. This prevailing climate has created a gradual change, which has helped maintain property values and is transforming the landlocked, fully developed, and aging City into a community which continues to experience improvement and redevelopment of residential and commercial property.

2017 Relevant Financial Policies

During the budget process, the Governing Body directed staff to maintain a minimum unassigned General Fund reserve balance equivalent to 25% of General Fund revenues to provide working capital between semi-annual property tax settlements. The City needs sufficient cash reserves to avoid short-term borrowing to finance operations. The City has unspendable or restricted fund balances for deferrals, prepaid items, and other legal obligations. The City also reports the committed and assigned fund balances for special purposes.

The primary goal of the City's investment policy is to ensure the safety of the principal invested. Cash temporarily idle during the year is invested primarily in certificates of deposit, obligations of the U.S. Treasury, Kansas Municipal Investment Pool, Kansas GO Bonds and Repurchase Agreements. Cash balances from all City funds are pooled into an investment fund and investment income is distributed on a monthly basis based on month end balances. A cash flow analysis is utilized and staggered in a way to meet projected liquidity needs.

Capital financing for major improvements is provided for during the budget or general obligation bonds.

The City of Prairie Village has financial management policies that allow for the planning of adequate funding of services desired by the public, to manage the City finances wisely, and to carefully account for public funds.

In addition to the major initiatives discussed above, the 2017 budget reflected several financial policies the City has established.

- Continue to strive for financial transparency by implementing a Transparent PV link on the City website which gives citizens easy access to City documents
- Conduct a thorough review of all budgeted items at the staff level
- Provide a revenue structure that pays the full cost of current services, and can be responsive to economic conditions
- Maintain financial accounting and budget practices that provide full disclosure of the City's financial affairs
- Assess and plan for technology needs
- Evaluate existing City services to determine the need and efficiency of such services

Other Information

Awards and acknowledgements

The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City for its CAFR for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016. This was the thirty-first consecutive year the government received this prestigious award. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, the government must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized CAFR. This report satisfied both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe that our current CAFR continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements and we are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

Letter of Transmittal

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

The City also received the GFOA's Award for Distinguished Budget Presentation for its annual appropriated budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2017. This is the twenty-ninth year the City has received this prestigious award. In order to qualify for the Distinguished Budget Presentation Award, the City's budget document was judged to be proficient in several categories including policy documentation, financial planning, and organizational guidance.

In addition, the City received the GFOA's Award for Outstanding Achievement in Popular Annual Financial Reporting for its PAFR for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. This is the fifth year the City has received this prestigious award. In order to qualify to be awarded an Outstanding Achievement, the government must publish a financial report specifically designed to meet the needs of interested parties who may be unable or unwilling to use traditional financial reports. An Outstanding Achievement is valid for a period of one year only.


The preparation of the CAFR on a timely basis was made possible by the dedicated service of City staff. Those individuals who contributed to the preparation of this report have our sincere appreciation for their efforts.

Although City staff accomplished the preparation and documentation of this report, it is the leadership and support of the Governing Body that provides the policy direction and financial integrity on which the report is based.

Respectfully submitted,

Pira Santo Maria

Lisa Santa Maria Finance Director



PRINCIPAL OFFICIALS - 2017

Elected Officials

Laura Wassmer Mayor Council Member – Ward 1 Chad Herring Council Member - Ward 1 Jori Nelson Council Member – Ward 2 Steve Noll Council Member – Ward 2 Serena Schermoly Council Member – Ward 3 Eric Mikkelson Council Member – Ward 3 Andrew Wang Council Member - Ward 4 Sheila Myers Council Member – Ward 4 **Brooke Morehead** Council Member – Ward 5 Courtney McFadden Council Member – Ward 5 Dan Runion Council Member – Ward 6 Terrence Gallagher Council Member – Ward 6 Ted Odell

Appointed Officials

City Administrator
Assistant City Administrator
Chief of Police
Director of Public Works
City Clerk
Interim City Attorney
Assistant City Attorney
Municipal Judge
Municipal Judge
City Treasurer

Wes Jordan
Jamie Robichaud
Tim Schwartzkopf
Keith Bredehoeft
Joyce Hagen Mundy
Catherine Logan
Shannon Marcano
Karen Torline
Michelle DeCicco
Fielding Norton, Jr.



Government Finance Officers Association

Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to

City of Prairie Village Kansas

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report
for the Fiscal Year Ended

December 31, 2016

Christopher P. Morrill

Executive Director/CEO



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Prairie Village, Kansas

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas (the City), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the *Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of December 31, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position and the respective budgetary comparisons for the general fund and major special revenue funds for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and the required supplementary information listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The combining nonmajor fund financial statements and schedules are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining nonmajor fund financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the accompanying supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Berbeich Trahan + Co., P.A.

June 1, 2018 Topeka, Kansas

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

For the year ended December 31, 2017

This discussion and analysis of the City of Prairie Village's financial performance provides an overall review of the City's financial activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. The intent of this discussion and analysis is to look at the City's financial performance as a whole; readers should also review the transmittal letter, notes to the basic financial statements and financial statements to enhance their understanding of the City's financial performance. To enhance comparability between governments, the City has followed guidelines prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 34.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Key financial highlights for 2017 are as follows:

- The City Council approved a \$45 million budget and did not have a property tax increase.
- The assets and deferred outflows of resources of the City exceeded its liabilities and deferred inflows of resources as of December 31, 2017 by \$75,665,666 (net position). Of this amount, \$6,243,697 (unrestricted net position) may be used to meet the City's ongoing obligations.
- Net investment in capital assets decreased by \$5,551,688, or 7.6% due to activity in 2016 which included the Meadowbrook bond issue and purchase of City streetlights from KCPL.
- Combined net position decreased by \$2,302,240 or -2.95%. The decline is a combination of an increase in unrestricted net position and a decrease in capital assets which is attributable to the two 2016 general obligation bond issues for the Meadowbrook redevelopment district and Streetlight purchase.
- Unrestricted net position increased \$2,492,509 or 66% over the prior year. The increase is mainly due to the Meadowbrook General Obligation bond issue in 2016, and change in the net pension obligation.
- The City's total general obligation debt decreased by \$1,170,000 during 2017 to a total of \$17,125,000. There was no new debt issued in 2017.
- The Meadowbrook Tax Increment Financing (TIF) fund had a 2017 ending fund balance of \$536,922, which reflects funds set aside for debt service.
- The City's total assessed valuation increased to \$365,342,608 from \$325,158,372 in 2016, a 12% increase.
- The unrestricted fund balance as a percentage of total revenues is 42.5%.

Among major funds, the general fund had \$19,676,957 in revenues and other financing sources and \$20,055,807 in expenditures and other financing uses. The general fund's fund balance decreased from \$9,463,174 to \$9,084,324. The ending fund balance of \$9,084,324 is 46% of general fund revenues.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This annual report consists of three parts – management's discussion and analysis, the basic financial statements, and other supplementary information which presents combining and individual statements for non-major government funds. The basic financial statements include two kinds of statements that present different views of the City.

These statements are as follows:

- 1. <u>The Government-Wide Financial Statements</u> These statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the City's overall financial status. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City's finances.
- 2. <u>The Fund Financial Statements</u> These statements focus on individual parts of the City, reporting the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives.

The financial statements also include notes that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail and are essential for the reader's understanding of the financial statements. The remaining statements provide other supplementary information for the reader.

Government-wide financial statements

The government-wide financial statements have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. The focus of these statements is the City's long-term financial picture. All of the fiscal year's revenues and expenses have been reported, regardless of when cash is received or disbursed.

The two government-wide statements report the City's net position and how it has changed. Net position is one way to measure the City's financial health.

<u>The Statement of Net Position</u> - reports all of the City's assets and liabilities. Net position, which is the difference between those assets and liabilities, is an important measure of the City's overall financial health. Changes in net position over time help the reader determine whether the City's financial health is improving or deteriorating.

<u>The Statement of Activities</u> - explains how revenues and expenses of the City affected net position during the fiscal year. A unique feature of this statement is how it reports the revenues and expenses of specific programs, and how much of those programs were supported by general revenues such as taxes. To assess the overall health of the City you need to consider additional nonfinancial factors such as the City's tax base and the condition of the City's capital assets.

In preparing for the government-wide financial statements, management has considered all separate legal entities in which the City could be considered financially accountable. There are no component units for which the City is considered to be financially accountable.

Fund financial statements

A fund is a separate entity with a set of self-balancing accounts for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with regulations, restrictions or limitations. The fund financial statements provide information about the most significant funds, not the City as a whole. The City uses two types of funds to manage its resources: *governmental funds* and *fiduciary funds*.

<u>Governmental funds</u> - All of the City's basic services are reported in governmental funds, which are prepared using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis, revenues are recognized when they become measurable and available, while expenditures are recognized when the related liability is incurred. An exception to this is long-term debt and similar items, which are recorded when due. The focus of these reports is on the short-term financial resources available to the specific function rather than the City as a whole. The reconciliation at the end of the fund financial statements details the relationship between the two types of financial statements.

<u>Fiduciary funds</u> - Resources held by the City for the benefit of a third party are reported in fiduciary funds. Although these resources are not available for operations, and therefore not presented in the government-wide financial statements, the City is responsible for ensuring that the assets reported in these funds are used for their intended purposes.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of the basic financial statements, containing valuable information necessary for gaining a better understanding of the City's financial statements.

Required Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and notes described above, required supplementary information regarding the City's funding of its Police Pension Plan, Kansas Public Employees Retirement Plan and funding for postemployment benefits other than pensions have been included to give the reader further insight into the City's pension plan results.

Other Supplementary Information

Other supplementary information presents combing and individual statements for non-major funds. The Statistical Section provides statistical data about the City.

Analysis of the Government-wide Financial Statements

The following table provides a summary of the City's net position for 2017 compared to 2016 as of December 31:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	Percentage <u>Change</u>
Current and other assets	\$34,390,661	\$26,744,514	-22.23%
Capital assets	80,402,156	85,074,174	5.81%
Total assets	114,792,817	111,818,688	-2.59%
Total deferred outflows of resources	2,052,468	1,282,596	-37.51%
Long-term liabilities	25,002,124	22,948,040	-8.22%
Current liabilities	1,626,688	1,647,952	1.31%
Other liabilities	2,622,356	2,112,818	-19.43%
Total liabilities	29,251,168	26,708,810	-8.69%
Total deferred inflows of resources	9,626,211	10,726,808	11.43%
Net position:			
Net investment in capital assets	73,191,537	67,639,849	-7.59%
Restricted	1,025,181	1,782,120	73.83%
Unrestricted	3,751,188	6,243,697	66.45%
Total net position	\$77,967,906	\$75,665,666	-2.95%

The City's combined net position decreased by \$2,302,240 or -2.95% from 2016 to 2017. The City's assets and deferred outflows exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows by \$75,665,666 in 2017.

The change in net position as a percentage of assets is a good indicator of the government's financial position. The negative ratio of -2.95% is chiefly a result of the decrease in 2016 unrestricted net position which was affected by the general obligation bond issue for the Meadowbrook redevelopment district and is not an indication that the City's financial condition has declined.

The City's total net position consists of the following three components:

- 1. Net investment in capital assets. The largest portion of the City's net position (\$67,639,849) represents its investment in capital assets (e.g. land structures and improvements, infrastructure, and equipment); less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The City uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. The change from 2016 to 2017 is chiefly due to the Meadowbrook General Obligation bond issue in 2016, and an increase in capital assets in 2017. The City also purchased land in 2017 for a park.
- 2. Restricted net position. The City's restricted net position at year-end was \$1,782,120. Asset restrictions are primarily due to external restrictions imposed by State statutes.

3. Unrestricted net position. The City's unrestricted net position increased from \$3,751,188 in 2016 to \$6,243,697 in 2017 or 66.5%. The increase is mainly due to the Meadowbrook General Obligation bond issue in 2016, and change in the net pension obligation. The Meadowbrook TIF was classified as a Restricted component of net position as much as possible in 2016, and the remainder was classified as Unrestricted. The remaining change is attributable to the unrestricted Capital Improvement fund balance. These funds may be used to meet the City's ongoing obligations.

Statement of Activities

The table below shows the condensed revenues, expenses and changes in net position for 2016 and 2017.

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Change</u>
REVENUES			
Program revenues			
Charges for services	\$ 6,669,774	\$ 6,685,878	0.24%
Operating grants and contributions	959,593	973,036	1.40%
Capital grants and contributions	1,171,001	1,023,968	-12.56%
General revenues:			
Property taxes	6,018,580	6,416,501	6.61%
Sales and use taxes	4,164,134	4,225,105	1.46%
Other taxes	2,677,706	2,669,743	-0.30%
Other revenues	3,205,676	3,686,431	15.00%
Total revenues	\$ 24,866,464	\$ 25,680,662	3.27%
EXPENSES			
Urban management and planning	\$ 8,623,968	\$ 12,353,285	43.24%
Public works	8,505,865	8,121,135	-4.52%
Public safety	6,389,909	6,161,767	-3.57%
Municipal justice	428,880	438,568	2.26%
Leisure, recreation and education	497,183	537,617	8.13%
Interest on long-term debt	599,376	370,530	-38.18%
Total expenses	\$ 25,045,181	\$ 27,982,902	11.73%
Increase (decrease) in net position	\$ (178,717)	\$ (2,302,240)	1188.21%
Net position, January 1	78,146,623	77,967,906	-0.23%
Net position, December 31	\$ 77,967,906	\$ 75,665,666	-2.95%


Overall, total revenues increased by 3.27%. The increase is mainly attributable to a increase in assessed valuation and a new shared county sales tax.

Taxes (property, motor vehicle, local sales/use and franchise fees) and intergovernmental revenues (includes shared County sales/use taxes) continue to account for a majority of the City's revenues. Together they account for 65% of total revenues in 2017. Overall, local sales and use taxes increased 2.5%, while County sales and use taxes increased 0.9%. Property taxes increased 6.6% and franchise fees decreased 1.5%. Franchise fees include electric, gas, telephone, cable and open source video companies. The fee is 5% of gross receipts as defined and permitted by state statues. Kansas City Power and Light accounts for the majority of the franchise fees.

Other revenues account for 1% and include investment earnings and any unrealized gains and losses associated with those investments. Actual interest earnings increased 82% during 2017. The gain on disposal of assets increased slightly in 2017 and is included in other revenues.


Total expenses for 2017 were higher when compared with 2016, increasing 11.73%. Urban Management and Planning expenses increased 43% over 2016 and reflect activity in the Meadowbrook redevelopment district. Interest on long-term debt decreased in 2017 reflecting bond payment activity. The decrease in Public Works is attributable to contract services and is mainly due to the 2016 Street Light bond issue which was not reflected in the 2017 budget. The 8% increase in Leisure, recreation and education spending reflects an increase in personnel services spending and is primarily related to the City pools.

As illustrated by the following graph, 52% of the City's revenues are tax-related. Another 26% are directly related to the City's solid waste management assessments, stormwater assessments and public safety agreement with the City of Mission Hills. Intergovernmental revenues include Liquor, Special Highway, County and specialty sales and use taxes and grant revenue.




The chart, on the next page, reflects total sources of revenue from 2013 to 2017. The top five sources of revenue in 2017 are broken out below and accounted for 83% of the total revenue received by the City in 2017.

•	Property Tax	33%	Total Use Tax	6%
•	Total Sales Tax	27%	Motor Vehicle Tax	3%
•	Franchise Fees	10%	Licenses & Permits	4%
•	Charges for Services	8%	Recreational	2%
•	Fines and Fees	<u>5%</u>	Liquor Tax	1%
			Miscellaneous	<u>1%</u>
	Total	83%		17%



The graph, below, illustrates that management and planning and public works expenses, which include the depreciation of City infrastructure assets, comprise the largest use of resources.



The net cost of each program is an important indicator of the financial burden placed on revenues, primarily tax sources. As shown on the chart below, \$19.3 million of the cost of services was paid by the City's tax payers. The increase in Urban management and planning reflects activity in the Meadowbrook redevelopment district.

	Total Cost					Net	Cost		
Function/Programs	of Services					of Se	ervices		
		2016		2017	% Chg	2016		2017	% Chg
Governmental activities:									
Urban management and planning	\$	8,623,968	\$	12,353,285	43.2%	\$ 6,307,197	\$	9,992,440	58.4%
Public works		8,505,865		8,121,135	-4.5%	5,677,856		5,464,169	-3.8%
Public safety		6,389,909		6,161,767	-3.6%	3,170,512		2,930,591	-7.6%
Municipal justice		428,880		438,568	2.3%	426,145		434,603	2.0%
Leisure and recreation		497,183		537,617	8.1%	63,727		107,688	69.0%
Interest on long-term debt		599,376		370,530	-38.2%	599,376		370,530	-38.2%
Total governmental activities	\$	25,045,181	\$	27,982,902	11.7%	\$ 16,244,813	\$	19,300,021	18.8%

Additional information about program and general revenues may be found on the Statement of Activities located on page 15.

Analysis of the Fund Financial Statements

General Fund. Fund balance decreased 4% in the General Fund mainly as a result of an increase in transfers to the Capital Improvement Fund. The General Fund includes non-budgeted funds for Equipment Reserve, Risk Management Reserve and Economic Development Reserve. Additional information regarding the fund balance may be found on the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual-Budgetary Basis – General Fund located on page 22.

Solid Waste Management Fund. The Solid Waste Management Fund is used for solid waste collection, recycling, composting services and large item pickup as well as a portion of the City's administrative cost including personal services and supplies. The City collects the Solid Waste fee through a special assessment on property tax bills. The fund balance decreased 29.5% as a result of excess expenditures over revenues. The City negotiated a new contract for solid waste collections which included an increase in the cost of providing this service and there was also a onetime storm debris pickup that was not included in the budget.

Bond and Interest Fund. The City issued no new debt in 2017. In 2016 the City issued two general obligation bonds. The 2016-A Meadowbrook issue was for the tax increment financing (TIF) district roughly located at 95th and Nall, and the 2016-C bond issue was for the purchase of City streetlights from Kansas City Power and Light (KCP&L). In 2011 the City issued bonds for street infrastructure improvement project expenditures. The City's outstanding debt will be paid off in 2036, provided the City does not issue any new debt or restructure current debt. The outstanding general obligation bonds at December 31, 2017 totaled \$17,125,000.

Stormwater Fund. This fund was established at the end of 2008. It is used to account for the stormwater utility fee and the related stormwater management activities. The stormwater fee appeared on the December 2016 tax bills, the receipts from which are used to fund 2017 operations. All of the funds collected were used in stormwater management activities. The fund balance at December 31, 2017 totaled \$202,732. The balance represents a 18.7% decrease over the 2016 balance due to an increase in the stormwater program and compliance with NPDES guidelines.

Capital Projects Fund. The City's Capital Projects Fund accounts for major infrastructure improvement project expenditures. The 2.4% decrease in fund balance is a result of a planned increase in infrastructure projects in 2017.

Meadowbrook TIF Fund. The Meadowbrook Tax Increment Financing (TIF) fund is being used to account for the payment of eligible public and private redevelopment costs. The fund will also be used to account for incremental property and sales tax revenues received from the redevelopment district. This was a new fund in 2016. The 2017 ending fund balance of \$536,922 is reserved for debt service.

General Fund Budget

The legally adopted budget for the General Fund was not amended by the City Council during 2017. Departments within the City are allowed to transfer budget between line items within programs and between programs within the department. In addition, allocations of contingency budget are recorded as a budget transfer to the affected program. As a result of these budget transfers, the original budget and the final budget in some programs may not be the same.

The following revenue and expenditure categories experienced significant differences between the final budget amount and the actual amount. The explanation of the difference is also included.

Category	Explanation
Licenses and Permits	Licenses and permit fees increased 23% and is because residential and commercial building permit requests increased. This category also includes admin and retail business licenses.
Fine and Forfeitures	Fine and forfeiture revenue decreased 11% as a result of an decrease in traffic violations, which was a result of unfilled positions in the Police Department.
Recreation Fees	Recreation fees revenue increased 14% as a result of an increase in pool revenues, which was a function of change in pool rates and weather.
Mayor and Council	The budget includes funding for consultants that may be needed by the Council during the year. In 2017, the Council did not utilize the full budget for consultants. In addition, the Council did not utilize the full budget for training and conferences. Actual expenditures were 36% of budgeted expenditures.
Management and Planning	This budget provides overall management of City operations, and coordination of City planning. Personnel costs and contract services were under budget as a result of a change in staff. Actual expenditures were 87% of budgeted expenditures.
Street Operations and Maintenance	Provides for the maintenance and repair of approximately 112 miles of streets, 2800 traffic signs, 93 miles of sidewalks, and 1,530 ADA ramps. Actual expenditures were 74% of budgeted expenditures and is a function of the 2016 Street Light bond issue that was not reflected in the 2017 budget.

Crime Prevention	Personal services, contract services and commodities were lower than budgeted due to a movement of personnel and unfilled positions in 2017. Actual expenditures were 15% of budgeted expenditures.				
Special Investigations	Personal services were lower than budgeted due to a movement of personnel in 2017. Actual expenditures were 60% of budgeted expenditures.				
Professional Services	Personal services were lower than budgeted due to a movement of personnel in 2017. Actual expenditures were 75% of budgeted expenditures.				
Off-Duty Contractual	This program depends on assignments and will vary from year to year. Actual expenditures were 78% of budgeted expenditures.				
Prosecutor	This program depends on the number of actual appeals and will vary depending on court needs. Actual expenditures were 87% of budgeted expenditures.				
Swimming Pool and Food Service	This program's difference between budget and actual was due to tighter budgeting, more efficient scheduling of pool staff and food inventory procedures. Actual expenditures were 75% of budgeted expenditures.				

Capital Assets and Long-Term Debt Activity

Capital Assets. As presented below, the total amount invested in capital assets (net of accumulated depreciation) by the City at December 31, 2017 was \$85 million. Capital assets increased 5.81% from 2016.

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	% Change
NETWORK			
Land	\$ 13,861,866	\$ 14,961,866	7.94%
Buildings	3,014,193	2,896,015	-3.92%
Improvements other than buildings	717,548	680,765	-5.13%
Furniture and equipment	2,102,384	1,911,354	-9.09%
Infrastructure	46,536,548	49,131,353	5.58%
Construction in progress	14,169,617	15,492,821	9.34%
Total	\$ 80,402,156	\$ 85,074,174	5.81%

Land increased due to the acquisition of property for a new park in 2017. Improvements other than buildings decreased due to continued depreciation of these assets. Furniture and equipment decreased due to the disposal of older furniture and equipment. Infrastructure increased due to increased project activity in 2017. Construction in progress includes 2017 projects were not completed until early 2018. In conformity with GASB 34, Infrastructure completed in the current year has been capitalized. Infrastructure assets not completed by the year-end have been reported as construction in progress. Additional information regarding the City's capital assets can be found in Note I, as well as Note 5.

Long-term debt activity. As of December 31, 2017, the City had \$17,125,000 of outstanding general obligation bonds. Of the balance outstanding, 37% will be paid off within the next five years.

Attesting to the City's favorable economic conditions and sound financial management, Prairie Village's general obligation bond rating remains at Aaa, with a stable outlook. Kansas statutes limit the amount of general obligation debt a government entity to 30% of its total assessed valuation. The current debt limitation for the City is \$109,602,752. Additional information regarding the City's long-term debt activity can be found in Note 7 of the financial statements.

Outstanding Bonds General Obligation Bonds				
	Governr Activi			
	2016	2017		
General Obligation Bonds:				
Series 2009-A	\$650,000	\$440,000		
Series 2011-A	\$3,245,000	\$2,710,000		
Series 2016-A	\$11,300,000	\$11,300,000		
Series 2016-C	\$3,100,000	\$2,675,000		
Total	\$18,295,000	\$17,125,000		

Economic Factors

Prairie Village is a land-locked, fully developed suburban city in Johnson County. The City benefits from the growth and development of the county and the region. Commercial properties in Prairie Village consist of five neighborhood shopping centers and several small office buildings. The City has two Community Improvement Districts (CIDs) where two of five the shopping centers are located. The shopping centers provide the City with a stable retail base that includes grocery stores and hardware stores as well as niche boutique shops that draw shoppers into the City. In 2016 the City issued bonds for the Meadowbrook Tax Increment Financing (TIF) district. The redevelopment district is located roughly between Roe and Nall and 95th and Somerset.

- Corinth Community Improvement District (CID)
- Prairie Village Shops Community Improvement District (CID)
- Meadowbrook Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

The City Council and staff remain committed to planning for and providing public services to enhance the quality of life for Prairie Village residents.

Requests for Information

This report is intended to give the reader a general overview of the City's finances. Questions about information contained in this report or requests for additional information should be directed to the Finance Director, 7700 Mission Rd, Prairie Village, Kansas 66208.

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

December 31, 2017

	Governmental Activities
Assets:	
Cash and investments	\$ 13,718,714
Receivables, net of allowance for uncollectibles:	
Taxes	7,147,370
Other assessments	3,192,668
Accounts	252,077
Due from other governments	1,545,795
Prepaid items	293,895
Restricted cash and investments	593,995
Capital assets not being depreciated:	,
Land	14,961,866
Construction in progress	15,492,821
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation:	,
Buildings	2,896,015
Improvements other than buildings	680,765
Furniture and equipment	1,911,354
Infrastructure	49,131,353
Total assets	111,818,688
Deferred outflows of resources:	
Deferred outflows - pensions	1,282,596
Liabilities:	
Accounts payable	1,618,546
Accrued payroll	295,087
Payable from restricted assets	57,073
Accrued interest on long-term debt	142,112
Noncurrent liabilities:	
Due within one year	1,647,952
Due in more than one year	22,948,040
Total liabilities	26,708,810
Deferred inflows of resources:	
Deferred receivable - property taxes	7,055,668
Deferred receivable - other assessments	3,192,668
Deferred inflows - pensions	478,472
Total deferred inflows of resources	10,726,808
Net position:	
Net investment in capital assets	67,639,849
Restricted:	, ,
Special highway	134,870
Grant provisions	539,299
Development	324,680
Special alcohol	174,291
Debt service	608,980
Unrestricted	6,243,697
Total net position	\$ 75,665,666

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

Year Ended December 31, 2017

			Program Revenu	ies	Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net
	Expenses	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contribution	Position- Governmental Activities
Function/Program Governmental activities:					
Urban management and planning	\$ 12,353,285	\$ 2,360,845	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (9,992,440)
Public works	8,121,135	1,632,999	φ - -	1,023,968	(5,464,168)
Public safety	6,161,767	2,258,140	973,036	1,023,000	(2,930,591)
Municipal justice	438,568	3,965	-	_	(434,603)
Leisure and recreation	537,617	429,929	_	_	(107,688)
Interest on long-term debt	370,530	<u>-</u>			(370,530)
Total governmental activities	\$ 27,982,902	\$ 6,685,878	\$ 973,036	\$ 1,023,968	(19,300,020)
	General revenues	s:			
	Property taxes				6,416,501
	Sales and use				4,225,105
	Motor vehicle	tax			707,915
	Franchise tax				1,961,828
	Intergovernme	ntal revenue not re	stricted to specific	c programs	3,469,454
	Investment ear	rnings			144,839
	Miscellaneous				72,138
	Total general rev	renues			16,997,780
	Change in net po	sition			(2,302,240)
	Net position, beg	inning			77,967,906
	Net position, end	ling			\$ 75,665,666

BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

December 31, 2017

		General		Solid Waste Management	
Assets:					
Cash and investments	\$	8,087,895	\$	480,613	
Receivables:					
Taxes		6,855,668		-	
Other assessments		-		1,609,152	
Accounts		243,544		192	
Due from other governments		1,189,060		-	
Prepaid items		292,655		-	
Restricted cash and investments		57,073		-	
Total assets	\$	16,725,895	\$	2,089,957	
Liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances: Liabilities:					
Accounts payable	\$	433,743	\$	140,095	
Accrued payroll	Φ	295,087	Ψ	140,093	
Payable from restricted assets		57,073		_	
r ayable from restricted assets		31,013			
Total liabilities		785,903		140,095	
Deferred inflows of resources:					
Unavailable revenue - property taxes		6,855,668		-	
Unavailable revenue - other assessments				1,609,152	
Total deferred inflows of resources		6,855,668		1,609,152	
Fund balances:					
Nonspendable:					
Not in spendable form		292,655		-	
Restricted		-		-	
Committed		620,075		340,710	
Assigned		521,421		-	
Unassigned		7,650,173			
Total fund balances		9,084,324		340,710	
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources,					
and fund balances	\$	16,725,895	\$	2,089,957	

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

	Sond and Interest	Stormwater	Capital Projects	Meadowbrook Tax Increment Financing	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
-	interest	Stormwater	Frojects	Financing	runus	Funds
\$	72,058	\$ 202,732	\$ 3,828,412	\$ -	\$ 1,047,003	\$ 13,718,713
	- - - -	1,583,516 - - - -	- 8,326 - -	- - - - - 536,922	291,702 - - 356,735 1,240	7,147,370 3,192,668 252,062 1,545,795 293,895 593,995
\$	72.059	¢ 1.706.240	¢ 2 026 720	¢ 526.022	\$ 1,696,680	\$ 26,744,498
Ф	72,058	\$ 1,786,248	\$ 3,836,738	\$ 536,922	\$ 1,696,680	\$ 26,744,498
\$	- - -	\$ - - -	\$ 756,206 - -	\$ - - -	\$ 288,486 - -	\$ 1,618,530 295,087 57,073
	_	_	756,206	_	288,486	1,970,690
			750,200		200,400	1,970,090
	- -	1,583,516	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	200,000	7,055,668 3,192,668
	_	1,583,516	_	_	200,000	10,248,336
	-	-			1,240	293,895
	72,058	-	-	536,922	1,173,140	1,782,120
	-	202,732	3,080,532	-	33,814	1,163,517 3,635,767
	- -	-	3,000,334	-	33,014	7,650,173
	72,058	202,732	3,080,532	536,922	1,208,194	14,525,472
\$	72,058	\$ 1,786,248	\$ 3,836,738	\$ 536,922	\$ 1,696,680	\$ 26,744,498

RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

December 31, 2017

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Total fund balances, governmental funds	\$ 14,525,472
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.	85,074,174
Deferred outflows of resources represent a consumption of net position that applies to a future period and therefore are not reported in the funds.	1,282,596
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds.	(24,738,104)
Deferred inflows of resources represent an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period and therefore are not reported in the funds.	(478,472)
Net position of governmental activities	\$ 75,665,666

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

Year Ended December 31, 2017

	General		Solid Waste Management	
Revenues:				
Taxes:				
Property	\$	6,971,957	\$	-
Sales		3,145,386		-
Franchise		1,961,828		-
Intergovernmental		3,409,618		-
Licenses and permits		735,942		1,935
Charges for services		1,549,356		1,609,385
Fines and forfeitures		899,054		-
Recreational fees		429,928		-
Interest earnings		24,472		10,152
Other		99,491		16,862
Total revenues		19,227,032		1,638,334
Expenditures:				
Current:				
Urban management and planning		3,240,949		1,781,098
Public works		5,093,376		-
Public safety		5,725,710		-
Municipal justice		437,834		-
Leisure and recreation		496,076		-
Capital outlay		481,166		-
Debt service:				
Principal		-		-
Interest		-		-
Bond issuance costs				
Total expenditures		15,475,111		1,781,098
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over				
(under) expenditures		3,751,921		(142,764)
Other financing sources (uses):				
Transfers in		400,000		-
Transfers out		(4,580,696)		-
Sale of general capital assets		49,925		-
Total other financing sources (uses)		(4,130,771)		-
Net change in fund balances		(378,850)		(142,764)
Fund balances, beginning		9,463,174		483,474
Fund balances, ending	\$	9,084,324	\$	340,710

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

Bond and Interest		Stormwater		Capital Projects		Meadowbrook Tax Increment Financing		Other Governmental Funds		Governmental		Total Governmental Funds	
\$ 60,757	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	91,702	\$	7,124,416			
-		-		-		-		1,079,719		4,225,105			
-		-		-		-		-		1,961,828			
-		10.700		1,023,968		-		864,020		5,297,606			
-		10,780		-		-		-		748,657			
-		1,574,133		-		-		-		4,732,874 899,054			
-		_		-		-		_		429,928			
1,019		11,050		62,338		24,138		11,670		144,839			
-		-		-				-		116,353			
61,776		1,595,963		1,086,306		24,138		2,047,111		25,680,660			
_				_		7,111,309		1,012,572		13,145,928			
_		-		_		7,111,507		1,012,372		5,093,376			
_		_		_		_		96,584		5,822,294			
_		-		-		-		´ -		437,834			
-		-		-		-		38,140		534,216			
-		-		6,513,836		-		-		6,995,002			
745,000		-		425,000		-		-		1,170,000			
73,750		-		67,098		292,125		-		432,973			
-						(43,675)		-		(43,675)			
 818,750				7,005,934		7,359,759		1,147,296		33,587,948			
(756,974)		1,595,963		(5,919,628)		(7,335,621)		899,815		(7,907,288)			
723,304		_		5,843,197		_		_		6,966,501			
-	((1,642,608)		-		-		(743,197)		(6,966,501)			
-		-						-		49,925			
723,304		(1,642,608)		5,843,197				(743,197)		49,925			
(33,670)		(46,645)		(76,431)		(7,335,621)		156,618		(7,857,363)			
105,728		249,377		3,156,963		7,872,543		1,051,576		22,382,835			
\$ 72,058	\$	202,732	\$	3,080,532	\$	536,922	\$	1,208,194	\$	14,525,472			

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

Year Ended December 31, 2017

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ (7,857,363)
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.	4,722,651
The effect of various transactions involving capital assets is to decrease net position.	(50,633)
The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums, discounts and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items.	1,170,000
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	(1,183)
Payment of pension contributions is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but reduces the net pension liability in the statement of net position. Additionally, the effects of changes in deferred outflows and deferred inflows for pensions are only recorded in the statement of activities.	(285,712)
Change in net position of governmental activities	\$ (2,302,240)

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY BASIS - GENERAL FUND

Year Ended December 31, 2017

	Original and Final Budgeted Amounts	Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget
Revenues:			
Taxes	\$ 12,820,339	\$ 10,117,343	\$ (2,702,996)
Franchise fees	1,972,750	1,961,828	(10,922)
Intergovernmental	130,000	3,409,618	3,279,618
Licenses and permits	597,080	735,942	138,862
Charges for services	1,523,076	1,549,356	26,280
Fines and forfeitures	1,010,900	899,054	(111,846)
Recreation fees	377,825	429,928	52,103
Interest earnings Other	80,000 165,600	21,830 98,878	(58,170) (66,722)
Total revenues	18,677,570	19,223,777	546,207
Expenditures:			
Urban management and planning:			
City governance:	175 410	62.707	(112,613)
Mayor and council Management and planning	175,410 528,935	62,797 462,289	(66,646)
Administrative services:	328,933	402,209	(00,040)
Information technology	255,250	236,535	(18,715)
Legal services	200,000	194,359	(5,641)
Human resources	189,736	190,414	678
Finance	298,061	300,298	2,237
Codes administration	579,817	582,601	2,784
City clerk	328,773	307,829	(20,944)
Total urban management and planning	2,555,982	2,337,122	(218,860)
Public works:			
Administration	932,323	952,641	20,318
Vehicle maintenance	232,684	236,117	3,433
Street operations and maintenance	2,664,375	1,972,140	(692,235)
Drainage operations and maintenance	360,925	393,738	32,813
Buildings and grounds	1,255,010	1,131,562	(123,448)
Miscellaneous operations and maintenance	379,213	351,946	(27,267)
Total public works and improvements	5,824,530	5,038,144	(786,386)
Public safety:			
Administration	445,605	426,000	(19,605)
Staff services	852,447	923,260	70,813
Community services	194,856	191,356	(3,500)
Crime prevention	89,262	13,428	(75,834)
Patrol	3,122,228	2,985,830	(136,398)
Investigations	663,046	633,410	(29,636)
Special investigations	209,459	126,288	(83,171)
Professional standards	180,435	154,027	(26,408)
Off-duty contractual	48,707	37,779	(10,928)
Traffic	409,670	368,113	(41,557)
Total public safety	\$ 6,215,715	\$ 5,859,491	\$ (356,224)

(Continued)

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL- BUDGETARY BASIS - GENERAL FUND (Continued)

Year Ended December 31, 2017

	Original and Final Budgeted Amounts	Actual Amounts	Variance With Final Budget
Municipal justice:			
Prosecutor	\$ 89,535	\$ 77,721	
Court clerks	395,429	360,821	(34,608)
Total municipal justice	484,964	438,542	(46,422)
Parks and community programs:			
Community programs	131,977	144,553	12,576
Swimming pool	368,837	276,789	(92,048)
Swimming pool food service	73,648	71,729	(1,919)
Tennis	17,200	10,166	(7,034)
Total parks and community programs	591,662	503,237	(88,425)
Contingency	500,000		(500,000)
Total expenditures	16,172,853	14,176,536	(1,996,317)
Excess of revenues over expenditures	2,504,717	5,047,241	2,542,524
Other financing sources (uses)			
Transfer in	400,000	400,000) <u>-</u>
Transfers out	(9,508,768)		
Sale of general capital assets	-	49,925	
Total other financing sources (uses)	(9,108,768)	(4,365,771	4,742,997
Net change in fund balance	(6,604,051)	681,470	7,285,521
Fund balance, beginning, budget basis	6,604,051	6,834,040	229,989
Fund balance, ending, budget basis	\$ -	\$ 7,515,510	\$ 7,515,510
Reconciliation to GAAP			
Current year encumbrances		521,421	<u>. </u>
Fund balances on the basis of GAAP - General Fund only		8,036,931	
Fund balances for non-budgeted funds included with the General Fund on GAAP basis financials:			
Equipment reserve		334,379)
Risk management reserve		92,939)
Economic development		620,075	
Total fund balance, ending		\$ 9,084,324	

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY BASIS - SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FUND

Year Ended December 31, 2017

	Original and Final Budgeted Amounts	Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget
Revenues:			
Licenses and permits	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,935	\$ 435
Charges for services	1,451,205	1,609,385	158,180
Interest earnings	6,800	10,152	3,352
Other	7,000	16,862	9,862
Total revenues	1 466 505	1 629 224	171 920
Total levellues	1,466,505	1,638,334	171,829
Expenditures:			
Urban management and planning	1,660,797	1,781,098	120,301
Personal service	26,841	-	(26,841)
Commodities	1,000	-	(1,000)
Miscellaneous	166,466		(166,466)
Total expenditures	1,855,104	1,781,098	(74,006)
Net change in fund balance	(388,599)	(142,764)	245,835
Fund balance, beginning	388,599	483,474	94,875
Fund balance, ending	\$ -	\$ 340,710	\$ 340,710

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY BASIS - STORMWATER FUND

Year Ended December 31, 2017

	Original and Final Budgeted Amounts	Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget
Revenues:			
Licenses and permits	\$ 4,500	\$ 10,780	\$ 6,280
Charges for services	1,575,264	1,574,133	(1,131)
Interest earnings	6,319	11,050	4,731
Total revenues	1,586,083	1,595,963	9,880
Expenditures:			
Miscellaneous	159,487		(159,487)
Excess of revenues over expenditures	1,426,596	1,595,963	169,367
Other financing uses:			
Transfers out	(1,642,608)	(1,642,608)	
Net change in fund balance	(216,012)	(46,645)	169,367
Fund balance, beginning	216,012	249,377	33,365
Fund balance, ending	\$ -	\$ 202,732	\$ 202,732

STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION

December 31, 2017

	Pension Trust Fund
Assets:	
Restricted cash	\$ 301,191
Restricted investments, at fair value:	
Common stock	9,481,213
Fixed income	3,987,945
Total restricted investments	13,469,158
Interest receivable	32,048
Total assets	13,802,397
Net position: Restricted for pension	\$ 13,802,397

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION

Year Ended December 31, 2017

	Pension Trust Fund			
Additions:				
Contributions:				
Employer	\$	550,000		
Plan members		102,564		
Total contributions		652,564		
Investment earnings:				
Interest and dividends on investments		256,834		
Net increase in the fair value of investments		1,555,111		
Total investment earnings		1,811,945		
Total additions		2,464,509		
Deductions:				
Benefits		961,959		
Changes in net position		1,502,550		
Net position restricted for pension, beginning		12,299,847		
Net position restricted for pension, ending	\$	13,802,397		

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2017

1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Reporting Entity

The City of Prairie Village, Kansas (the City) is located in Johnson County and was incorporated in 1951. The City is a city of the first class and operates under a Mayor-Council form of government, providing services to its approximately 22,000 residents in many areas, including urban management and planning; public works; public safety; municipal justice; and parks and community programs.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements (i.e. the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government. All nonfiduciary activities of the City are governmental activities.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function. Program revenues include (1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to use in meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation (Continued)

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Each fund is considered to be a separate accounting entity, accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Governmental resources are allocated to and accounted for within individual funds based upon the purposes for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences, and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

In applying the susceptible to accrual concept to intergovernmental revenues, the legal and contractual requirements of the numerous individual programs are used as guidance. There are, however, essentially two types of these revenues. In one, monies must be expended for the specific purpose or project before any amounts will be paid to the City; therefore, revenues are recognized based upon the expenditures recorded. In the other, monies are virtually unrestricted as to purpose of expenditure and are usually revocable only for failure to comply with prescribed requirements. These resources are reflected as revenues at the time of receipt, or earlier if the susceptible to accrual criteria are met.

Property, sales, utility franchise taxes, interest associated with the current fiscal period, and certain state and federal grants and entitlements are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the City.

While property taxes receivable and special assessments receivable are shown on the balance sheet as current assets of the City, they are not recognized as revenue at year end because statutory provisions prohibit their use until the year for which they were raised and budgeted. Instead, they are offset by unavailable revenue accounts.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation (Continued)

The Pension Trust Fund is reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

The City reports the following major governmental funds:

The *General Fund* is the government's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The *Solid Waste Management Fund* accounts for the operation of the City's solid waste management system, which provides for the collection, storage, and transportation of solid waste in a manner which ensures protection of the health, safety, and welfare of City residents and is funded by the solid waste management fee, a special assessment on all properties in the City based on square feet of impervious area.

The *Bond and Interest Fund* accounts for the accumulation of resources and payment of the City's long-term debt.

The *Stormwater Fund* accounts for the operation of the City's stormwater management program and is funded by the stormwater utility fee, a special assessment on all properties in the City based on square feet of impervious area.

The Capital Projects Fund accounts for major infrastructure project expenditures.

The Meadowbrook Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Fund accounts for incremental property and sales tax revenues received from this redevelopment district, located roughly between Roe and Nall and 95th and Somerset. The fund accounts for the payment of eligible public and private redevelopment costs, including principal and interest costs. This fund is considered a debt service fund.

Additionally, the City reports the following fiduciary fund type:

The *Pension Trust Fund*, which reports the Revised Prairie Village Police Pension Fund, accumulates the resources for pension benefit payments to qualified public safety employees.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation (Continued)

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Deposits and Investments

The City's cash and investments are considered to be demand deposits, time deposits, fixed income securities, mutual funds, money market mutual funds and cash held by the State of Kansas Municipal Investment Pool.

Investments are reported in the accompanying financial statements at fair value, except for certain certificates of deposit that are reported at cost because they are nontransferable, with terms unaffected by changes in market interest rates.

Changes in fair value that occur during the fiscal year are recognized as investment revenue reported for that fiscal year. Investment revenue includes interest earnings, changes in fair value, and any gains or losses realized upon the liquidation, maturity, or sale of investments.

Receivables and Payables

Receivables are reported on the government-wide financial statements net of an allowance for uncollectibles. The largest allowance for uncollectibles is for property taxes, equal to 1.00% of the fiscal year's total property taxes assessment.

Tax statements are mailed November 1 each year and may be paid in full or one-half on or before December 20 with the remaining one-half due on or before May 10 of the following year. Taxes that are unpaid on the due dates are considered delinquent and accrue interest at a per annum rate established by State law until paid or until the property is sold for taxes. Real estate bearing unpaid taxes is advertised for sale on or before August 1 of each year and is sold by the County for taxes and all legal charges on the first Tuesday in September. Properties that are sold and not redeemed within two years after the tax sale are subject to foreclosure sale, except homestead properties which are subject to foreclosure sale after three years.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Receivables and Payables (Continued)

Personal taxes are due and may be paid in the same manner as real estate taxes, with the same interest applying to delinquencies. If personal taxes are not paid when due, and after written notice has been given, warrants are issued and placed in the hands of the sheriff for collection. If not paid on or before October 1, legal judgment is entered and the delinquent tax becomes a lien on the property. Unless renewed, a non-enforced lien expires five years after it is entered.

Motor vehicle taxes are collected periodically throughout the year concurrently with the renewal of motor vehicle tags based upon the value of such vehicles. Such tax receipts are distributed to all taxing subdivisions, including the State of Kansas, in proportion to the number of mills levied within each taxpayer's tax levy unit.

The City may levy taxes in accordance with the requirements of its adopted budget. Property tax levies are based on the adopted budget of the City and the assessed valuations provided by the County appraiser.

The City also assesses fees for the solid waste and stormwater funds that are collected through the tax statements mailed on November 1 each year.

Governmental funds report unavailable revenue in connection with receivables for revenues that are not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Governmental funds also defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received, but not yet earned. At the end of the current fiscal year, the governmental funds unavailable revenue is reported as follows:

General fund property taxes receivable	\$ 6,855,668
Other governmental funds	200,000
Solid waste management fund other assessments receivable	1,609,152
Stormwater fund other assessments receivable	1,583,516
	\$ 10,248,336

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

Prepaid Items

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements. Prepaid items of governmental funds are recorded as expenditures when consumed rather than when purchased.

Restricted Assets

Certain cash and investments are classified as restricted assets on the statement of net position and balance sheet because their use is limited to payment of redevelopment costs, debt service, Municipal Court bonds, fire/explosion cleanup bonds, or employee Section 125 plan expenses.

Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, buildings, improvements, equipment, infrastructure assets (e.g. streets, curbs, sidewalks, drainage systems, etc.), and construction in progress are reported in the government-wide financial statements as assets. Capital assets are defined by the City as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at acquisition value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized. Preservation costs, which are expected to extend the life of the asset, are capitalized.

Capital assets, excluding land and infrastructure assets, are depreciated using the straight-line method and the estimated asset useful lives listed below. Depreciation expense for infrastructure assets is calculated using a composite rate based on the estimated historical cost and estimated useful lives as follows:

Buildings	40 years
Improvements other than buildings	15 years
Vehicles	2 - 12 years
Office equipment	3 - 25 years
Field equipment	5 - 20 years
Infrastructure	20 - 40 years

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, *deferred outflows of resources*, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. See Note 8 for more information on the deferred outflows for the pensions.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, *deferred inflows of resources*, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The City has two items that qualify for reporting in this category. One item, *unavailable revenue/deferred receivables*, is reported in the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental activities in the government-wide statement of net position. The governmental funds and governmental activities report unavailable revenues/deferred receivables from two sources: property taxes and other assessments. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available. The other item is deferred inflows for the pensions. See Note 8 for more information on the deferred inflows for the pensions.

Compensated Absences

Full-time City employees earn vacation leave based upon length of service, and unused vacation days can be carried forward to future years with certain limitations. Sick leave is earned at a rate of one day per month of employment. Employees retiring from or terminating their employment with the City are eligible to receive payment for their accumulated vacation and for a portion of their accumulated sick leave.

All vested or accumulated vacation, scheduled holiday leave and sick leave are accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations and retirements. The City estimates that the entire compensated absence liability, as of December 31, 2017, will be due within one year.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Long-Term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Bond premiums and discounts are amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

Pensions

For purposes of measuring the collective net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (KPERS) and additions to/deductions from KPERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by KPERS, and information about the fiduciary net position of the Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan (the Plan) and additions/deductions from the Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the Plan. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. The investments are reported at fair value.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Fund Balances

As prescribed by GASB Statement No. 54, governmental funds report fund balance classifications based primarily on the extent to which the City is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in the funds can be spent. As of December 31, 2017, fund balances for governmental funds are made up of the following:

Nonspendable fund balances include amounts that are (a) not in spendable form, or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The "not in spendable form" criterion includes items that are not expected to be converted to cash, for example: inventories, prepaid amounts, and long-term notes receivable.

Restricted fund balances include amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by external resource providers, constitutionally, or through enabling legislation. Restrictions may effectively be changed or lifted only with the consent of resource providers.

Committed fund balances include amounts that can only be used for specific purposes determined by a formal action, an ordinance, of the City's highest level of decision-making authority, the City Council. Commitments may be changed or lifted only by the City Council taking the same formal action, an ordinance, that originally imposed the constraint.

Assigned fund balances include amounts intended to be used by the City for specific purposes that are neither restricted nor committed. The City Council authorizes by resolution the City Administrator to assign fund balances. Unlike commitments, assignments generally only exist temporarily. In other words, an additional action does not normally have to be taken for the removal of the assignment.

Unassigned fund balances are used as the residual classification for the General Fund and include all amounts not contained in other classifications. The General Fund is the only fund that can report a positive unassigned fund balance amount. Unassigned amounts are technically available for any purpose.

In circumstances when an expenditure is made for a purpose for which amounts are available in multiple fund balance classifications, fund balance is depleted in the order of restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Fund Balances (Continued)

The following is the detail for fund balance classifications in the financial statements:

			Major Govern	mental I	Funds								
	General	olid Waste anagement	Bond and Interest	Stormwater		Capital Projects		apital Tax Increm		eadowbrook Other x Increment Governmental Financing Funds		Total Governmental Funds	
Fund balances:													
Nonspendable for:													
Prepaid items	\$ 292,655	\$ -	\$ _	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,240	\$	293,895
Restricted for:													
Grants	-	-	-		-		-		-		539,299		539,299
Community improvement districts	-	-	-		-		-		-		232,978		232,978
Urban management and planning	-	-	-		-		-		-		91,702		91,702
Public works	-	-	-		-		-		-		134,870		134,870
Alcohol awareness programs	-	-	-		-		-		-		174,291		174,291
Debt	-	-	72,058		-		-		536,922		-		608,980
Committed for:													
Trash and recycling services	-	340,710	-		-		-		-		-		340,710
City infrastructure needs	620,075	-	-	20	2,732		-		-		-		822,807
Assigned for:													
Urban management and planning	521,421	-	-		-		-		-		-		521,421
Public works	-	-	-		-		3,080,532		-		27,084		3,107,616
Alcohol awareness programs	-	-	-		-		-		-		6,730		6,730
Unassigned	 7,650,173	 -	 -		-				-		-		7,650,173
Total fund balances	\$ 9,084,324	\$ 340,710	\$ 72,058	\$ 20)2,732	\$	3,080,532	\$	536,922	\$	1,208,194	\$ 1	4,525,472

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Fund Balances (Continued)

Net Position

In the government-wide financial statements, equity is displayed in three components as follows:

Net Investment in Capital Assets consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, less the outstanding balances of any bonds, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

Restricted consists of net position that is legally restricted by outside parties or by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Restricted net position includes \$232,978 for enabling legislation.

Unrestricted consists of net position that does not meet the definition of "restricted" or "net investment in capital assets."

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

Pending Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements

At December 31, 2016, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) had issued several statements not yet implemented by the City. The statements that might impact the City are as follows:

GASB Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions, replaces the requirements of GASB Statement No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions, and requires governments to report a liability on the face of the financial statements for the OPEB that they provide. Statement No. 75 requires governments in all types of OPEB plans to present more extensive note disclosures and required supplementary information (RSI) about the OPEB liabilities. Among the new note disclosures is a description of the effect on the reported OPEB liability of using a discount rate and a healthcare cost trend rate that are one percentage point higher and one percentage point lower than assumed by the government. The new RSI includes a schedule showing the causes of increases and decreases in the OPEB liability and a schedule comparing a government's actual OPEB contributions to its contribution requirements. The provisions of this statement are effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2017.

GASB Statement No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities*, improves guidance regarding the identification of fiduciary activities for accounting and financial reporting purposes and how those activities should be reported. The statement establishes criteria for identifying fiduciary activities, with the focus of the criteria on (1) whether a government is controlling the assets of the fiduciary activity and (2) the beneficiaries with whom a fiduciary relationship exists. An activity meeting the criteria should be reported in a fiduciary fund. The requirements of this statement are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2018.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Pending Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements (Continued)

GASB Statement No. 85, *Omnibus 2017*, addresses practice issues that have been identified during implementation and application of certain GASB Statements, including issues related to blending component units, goodwill, fair value measurement and application, and postemployment benefits. The requirements of this statement are effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2017.

GASB Statement No. 87, *Leases*, requires recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that were previously classified as operating leases and recognized as inflows of resources or outflows of resources based on the payment provisions of the contract. It establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset. Under this Statement, a lessee is required to recognize a lease liability and an intangible right-to-use lease asset, and a lessor is required to recognize a lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources, thereby enhancing the relevance and consistency of information about governments' leasing activities. The requirements of this statement are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2019.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2 - Reconciliation of Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

Explanation of Certain Differences Between the Governmental Fund Balance Sheet and the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position

The governmental fund balance sheet includes a reconciliation between fund balance – total governmental funds and net position of governmental activities as reported in the government-wide statement of net position. One element of that reconciliation explains that "Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds." The details of this \$ (24,738,104) difference are as follows:

Bonds payable	\$ (17,125,000)
Issuance premium	(309,325)
Accrued interest payable	(142,112)
Compensated absences	(472,952)
Other postemployment benefits	(34,614)
Net pension liability	(6,654,101)
Net adjustment to reduce fund balance - total governmental funds to	
arrive at net position - governmental activities	\$ (24,738,104)

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2 - Reconciliation of Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements (Continued)

Explanation of Certain Differences Between the Governmental Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances and the Government-Wide Statement of Activities

The governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances includes a reconciliation between net change in fund balances – total governmental funds and change in net position of governmental activities as reported in the government-wide statement of activities. One element of the reconciliation explains that "Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense." The details of this \$4,722,651 difference are as follow:

Capital outlay	\$ 7,969,012
Depreciation expense	(3,246,361)
Net adjustment to increase net change in fund balances - total	
governmental funds to arrive at change in net position of	
governmental activities	\$ 4,722,651

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2 - Reconciliation of Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements (Continued)

Explanation of Certain Differences Between the Governmental Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances and the Government-Wide Statement of Activities (Continued)

Another element of that reconciliation states that "The issuance of long-term debt (e.g. bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statements of activities. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items." The details of this \$ 1,170,000 difference are as follows:

Principal repayments: General obligation debt	\$ 1,170,000
Net adjustment to increase net change in fund balances - total governmental funds to arrive at change in net position of governmental activities	\$ 1,170,000

Another element of that reconciliation states that "Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds." The details of this \$ (1,183) difference are as follows:

Compensated absences	\$ (16,264)
Other postemployment benefits	(3,687)
Amortization of bond premium	24,987
Accrued interest	(6,219)
Net adjustment to reduce net change in fund balances - total governmental	
funds to arrive at change in net position of governmental activities	\$ (1,183)

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Budgetary Information

Kansas statutes require that an annual operating budget be legally adopted for the general fund, special revenue funds (unless specifically exempted by statute), and the debt service fund. The statutes provide for the following sequence and timetable in the adoption of the legal annual operating budget:

- 1. Preparation of the budget for the succeeding calendar year on or before August 1st.
- 2. Publication in the local newspaper of the proposed budget and notice of public hearing on the budget on or before August 5th.
- 3. Public hearing on or before August 15th, but at least ten days after publication of the notice of hearing.
- 4. Adoption of the final budget on or before August 25th.

The statutes allow for the governing body to increase the originally adopted budget for previously unbudgeted increases in revenue other than ad valorem property taxes. To do this, a notice of public hearing to amend the budget must be published in the local newspaper. At least ten days after publication the hearing may be held and the governing body may amend the budget at that time.

The statutes permit management to transfer budgeted amounts between line items within an individual fund. However, such statutes prohibit expenditures in excess of the total amount of the adopted budget of expenditures of individual funds. Budget comparison statements are presented for each fund showing actual receipts and expenditures compared to legally budgeted receipts and expenditures.

All legal annual operating budgets are prepared using the modified accrual basis of accounting, modified further by the encumbrance method of accounting. Revenues are recognized when cash is received. Expenditures include disbursements, accounts payable, and encumbrances. Encumbrances are commitments by the City for future payments and are supported by a document evidencing the commitment, such as a purchase order or contract. All unencumbered appropriations (legal budget expenditure authority) lapse at year end. Encumbered appropriations are carried forward.

A legal operating budget is not required for the Grant Fund, TIF Fund, Capital Projects Fund, Meadowbrook Tax Increment Financing Fund, or the fiduciary fund.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability (Continued)

Budgetary Information (Continued)

Expenditures did not exceed appropriations in any of the budgeted funds.

Spending in funds which are not subject to the legal annual operating budget requirements is controlled by federal regulations, other statutes, or by the use of internal spending limits established by the governing body.

The City uses encumbrances to control expenditure commitments for the year and to enhance cash management. Encumbrances represent commitments related to executory contracts not yet performed and purchase orders not yet filled. Commitments for such expenditure of monies are encumbered to reserve a portion of applicable appropriations. Encumbrances still open at year-end are not accounted for as expenditures and liabilities, but, rather, as a restricted, committed, or assigned fund balance. At December 31, 2017, the City's recorded encumbrances in governmental funds were as follows:

General fund \$ 521,421

4 - Deposits and Investments

Deposits

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned to it. Kansas statutes require that deposits be collateralized, and that collateral pledged must have a fair market value equal to 100% of the deposits and investments, less insured amounts, and must be assigned for the benefit of the City. The City's deposit policy for custodial credit risk requires that the depository banks will maintain 100% security in the form of FDIC coverage and pledged collateral according to K.S.A. 9-1402. If any mortgage is involved in the underlying value of the instruments pledged as security by an institution, City funds should be collateralized at market to 120% of total investment.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4 - <u>Deposits and Investments (Continued)</u>

Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits (Continued)

The City's cash is considered to be active funds by management and is invested according to K.S.A. 9-1401. The statute requires that banks eligible to hold active funds have a main or branch bank in the county or adjoining county in which the City is located and the banks provide an acceptable rate for active funds.

The City maintains a cash and investment pool that is available for use by all funds. Each fund's portion of this pool is included in cash and investments on the balance sheet. At December 31, 2017, the City's carrying amount of deposits, including certificates of deposit, was not exposed to custodial credit risk.

Investments

On December 31, 2017, the City's investments were as follows:

			Maturity (in Years)									
Investment Type	 Fair Value	Rating	I	Less Than 1 1 - 3		_	3 - 6	(5 - 10			
State of Kansas Municipal Investment Pool	\$ 1,649,087	Not rated	\$	1,649,087	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Money market mutual funds	548,667	Not rated		548,667		-		-		-		
Municipal bonds	561,002	AA to AA+		561,002		-		-		-		
U.S. agencies	 2,243,153	AA+		398,738		1,239,755		604,660		-		
	\$ 5,001,909		\$	3,157,494	\$	1,239,755	\$	604,660	\$	-		

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4 - <u>Deposits and Investments (Continued)</u>

<u>Investments (Continued)</u>

At December 31, 2017, the City has the following investments in its fiduciary fund:

				Maturity (in Years)								
Investment Type		Fair Value		L	Less Than 5		5 - 10		10 - 15		15+	
Fixed income:												
FHLMC	\$	385,959	AA+	\$	348,410	\$	29,133	\$	8,416	\$	_	
FNMA	,	152,046	N/A	,	50,621	•	101,425	•	-		_	
GNMA		51,227	N/A		16,066		- , -		_		35,161	
U.S. Treasury Note		1,025,290	N/A		410,576		614,714		_		-	
Victory Fund for Income		135,531	NR		-		- ,		_		135,531	
AFLAC		100,083	A-		100,083		_		_		-	
Altria Group, Inc.		85,790	A-		85,790		_		_		_	
Amphenol Corp.		40,136	BBB+		40,136		_		_		_	
Autonation Inc.		84,458	BBB-		84,458		_		_		_	
Bank of America Corp.		91,296	BBB+		_		91,296		_		_	
CBS Corporation		79,944	BBB		79,944		-		_		_	
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.		95,834	BBB-		95,834		_		_		_	
D R Horton Inc		32,044	BBB-		-		32,044		_		_	
Dollar Gen Corp		101,349	BBB		_		101,349		_		_	
FMC Corporation		41,714	BBB-		41,714		-		_		_	
Ford Motor Credit Co., LLC		80,193	BBB		80,193		_		_		_	
Georgia Power		77,830	A-		77,830		_		_		_	
Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.		118,798	BBB+		-		118,798		_		_	
Health Care REIT, Inc.		51,703	BBB+		_		51,703		_		_	
Host Hotels & Resorts LP		26,595	BBB-		_		26,595		_		_	
Ishares Intermediate		58,831	N/A		_		,		_		58,831	
Ishares Intermediate Credit Bond		119,367	N/A		_		_		_		119,367	
JPMorgan Chase		102,000	Α-		_		102,000		_		-	
Marriott Intl Inc 2015		25,689	BBB		25,689		,		_		_	
Marriott Intl Inc 2016		24,544	BBB		24,544		_		_		_	
McDonalds Corp Med Term		100,206	BBB+		100,206		_		_		_	
Morgan Stanley		100,452	BBB+		100,452		_		_		_	
Northrop Grumman Corporation		82,500	BBB+		82,500		_		_		_	
Packaging Corp		80,684	BBB		-		80.684		_		_	
Republic Services, Inc.		56,698	BBB+		56,698		-		_		_	
Roper Industrial Inc		85,150	BBB		85,150		_		_		_	
Southwest Airlines Company		50,358	BBB+		50,358		_		_		_	
Spectra Energy Partners		100,601	BBB+		100,601		_		_		_	
Stryker Corp.		25,987	A		25,987		_		_		_	
Suntrust Banks, Inc.		80,300	BBB+		80,300		_		_		_	
US Bancorp Mtns Bank		36,758	A+		-		36,758		_		_	
Total fixed income			21.	•		•		\$	9.416	•	2.49.900	
		3,987,945		\$	2,244,140	2	1,386,499	3	8,416	\$	348,890	
Common stock		9,481,213										
Total	\$	13,469,158										

N/A - not applicable NR - not rated

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

<u>Investments (Continued)</u>

Credit Risk

Various City investments are considered to be idle funds by management and are invested according to K.S.A. 12-1675. The statute requires that the City invest its idle funds in only temporary notes of the City, bank certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements, and if eligible banks do not offer an acceptable rate for the fund: U.S. Treasury bills or notes or the Kansas Municipal Investment Pool (the KMIP). The City's investments in the KMIP were not rated by a rating agency as of December 31, 2017. Maturities of the above investments may not exceed two years by statute, except for certain bond proceeds and monies used to implement the City's multi-year capital improvements program.

The KMIP is under the oversight of the Pooled Money Investment Board (the Board). The Board is comprised of the State Treasurer and four additional members appointed by the State Governor. The Board reports annually to the Kansas legislature. State pooled monies may be invested in direct obligations of, or obligations that are insured as to principal and interest by the U.S. government or any agency thereof, with maturities up to four years. No more than ten percent of those funds may be invested in mortgage-backed securities. In addition, the State pool may invest in repurchase agreements with Kansas banks or with primary government securities dealers. The fair value of the City's position in the KMIP is substantially the same as the value of the pool shares.

Some of the City's investments are of bond proceeds invested pursuant to K.S.A. 10-131. This statute allows additional investment authority beyond that of K.S.A. 12-1675. Investments of bond proceeds may follow K.S.A. 12-1675 or include other investments such as the KMIP, direct obligations of the U.S. government or any agency thereof, investment agreements with a financial institution, the obligations of which, at the time of investment, are rated in either of the three highest rating categories by Moody's investors service or Standard and Poor's corporation, and various other investments as specified in K.S.A. 10-131.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

<u>Investments (Continued)</u>

Credit Risk (Continued)

The Pension Trust Fund investments are held by a bank's trust department in the City's name. By City policy, investments should be rated A or higher. Corporate debt securities should be of investment grade or better and only domestic fixed income securities are allowed. No more than ten percent of the fair value of the fixed income portion of the portfolio can be invested in any obligation of a single issuer, except for the U.S. Government and its agencies. In regard to interest rate risk, the portfolio duration will not vary from the duration of the benchmark by plus or minus 20 percent.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. As a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates, the City has a formally adopted investment policy that limits investment maturities. The policy states that the City must attempt to match investments with anticipated cash flow requirements.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

City policy states that funds held in the General Fund and other funds shall be diversified to eliminate the risk of loss resulting from over concentration of assets in a specific maturity, a specific issuer, or a specific class of securities. Diversification strategies shall be established, with periodic review and revision, as appropriate. Before a significant change in type of investments is made, staff will consult with the Finance Committee.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

<u>Investments (Continued)</u>

In establishing specific diversification strategies, the following general policies and constraints will apply:

Maturities will be staggered in a way that avoids undue concentration of assets in a specific maturity sector. Maturities will be selected which provide for income stability and reasonable liquidity.

For short term cash management fund liquidity, investment practices will be followed which ensure that funds required for the next disbursement date and payroll date are covered through maturing investments or marketable U.S. Treasury securities.

Custodial Credit Risk - Investments

For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the City will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. At December 31, 2017, the City's investments were not exposed to custodial credit risk.

Fair Value Measurement – The City categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

The KMIP was valued using net asset value. Investments that are measured at net asset value are not classified in the fair value hierarchy.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

At December 31, 2017, the City's investments were categorized within the fair value hierarchy as follows:

		Fair Value Mea	surements at Repo	orting Date Using	
Investment Type	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Fixed income: U.S. agencies	\$ 2,243,153 561,002	\$ 2,243,153 561,002	\$ -	\$ -	
Municipal bonds Money market mutual funds	548,667	548,667	-	-	
Total	\$ 3,352,822	\$ 3,352,822	\$ -	5 -	

At December 31, 2017, the City's fiduciary fund investments were categorized within the fair value hierarchy as follows:

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Usin						
Investment Type	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2		Level 3			
Fixed income Common stock	\$ 3,987,945 9,481,213	\$ 3,987,945 9,481,213	\$	-	\$	- -		
Total	\$ 13,469,158	\$ 13,469,158	\$	-	\$			

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5 - Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2017 was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Increase	Decrease	Ending Balance
Governmental activities:				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 13,861,866	\$ 1,100,000	\$ -	\$ 14,961,866
Construction in progress	14,169,617	6,513,836	5,190,632	15,492,821
Total capital assets not being				
depreciated	28,031,483	7,613,836	5,190,632	30,454,687
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings	6,774,928	40,637	-	6,815,565
Improvements other than buildings	1,445,899	, <u>-</u>	-	1,445,899
Furniture and equipment	5,450,791	314,539	165,442	5,599,888
Infrastructure	86,836,948	5,190,632	11,401,753	80,625,827
Total capital assets being depreciated	100,508,566	5,545,808	11,567,195	94,487,179
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	3,760,735	158,815	-	3,919,550
Improvements other than buildings	728,351	36,783	-	765,134
Furniture and equipment	3,348,407	454,936	114,809	3,688,534
Infrastructure	40,300,400	2,595,827	11,401,753	31,494,474
Total accumulated depreciation	48,137,893	3,246,361	11,516,562	39,867,692
Total capital assets being				
depreciated, net	52,370,673	2,299,447	50,633	54,619,487
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$ 80,402,156	\$ 9,913,283	\$ 5,241,265	\$ 85,074,174

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5 - <u>Capital Assets (Continued)</u>

Depreciation expense was charged to functions/programs of the City as follows:

Governmental activities:

Urban management and planning Public works Public safety	\$ 234,850 2,889,513 121,998
Total depreciation expense	\$ 3,246,361

Capital Projects

The City is currently working on paving projects and performing various improvements. These projects are being funded primarily by the issuance of bonds.

Following is a summary of costs to December 31, 2017 for capital projects compared to the amount authorized:

Project	C.		Remaining Commitments		
Floject	Spent-to-Date				
Street improvements	\$	8,076,596	\$	653,406	
Drainage programs		588,834		526,577	
Park projects		1,403,242		173,819	
City hall improvements		18,075		76,925	
ADA improvements		175,066		35,810	
	_	10.061.010		1.166.707	
Total	\$	10,261,813	\$	1,466,537	

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

6 - <u>Interfund Receivables</u>, Payables, and Transfers

Transfers to fund capital projects, insurance reserves, and economic development activities by fund for the year were as follows:

		Transfers in									
		Capital									
		General	I	Bond and	Projects						
Transfers out	Fund		Fund Interest I		Fund			Total			
General fund	\$	-	\$	480,696	\$	4,100,000	\$	4,580,696			
Stormwater fund		400,000		242,608		1,000,000		1,642,608			
Nonmajor governmental funds				-		743,197		743,197			
	\$	400,000	\$	723,304	\$	5,843,197	\$	6,966,501			

7 - <u>Long-Term Debt</u>

General Obligation Bonds

The City issues general obligation bonds to provide funds for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities such as the swimming pool, various public parks, and the public safety facility.

General obligation bonds payable at December 31, 2017 are comprised of the following issues:

	Interest Rates	 Original Issue	Final Maturity	cipal Payments ruring 2017	Outstanding December 31, 2017
General obligation bonds:					
Series 2009-A	3.00%	\$ 10,085,000	9/1/2019	\$ 210,000	\$ 440,000
Series 2011-A	1.45 - 2.00%	4,555,000	9/1/2021	535,000	2,710,000
Series 2016-A	2.00 - 5.00%	11,300,000	3/1/2036	-	11,300,000
Series 2016-C	2.00 - 3.00%	3,100,000	9/1/2023	 425,000	2,675,000
				\$ 1,170,000	\$ 17,125,000

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7 - <u>Long-Term Debt (Continued)</u>

General Obligation Bonds (Continued)

Call provisions at the option of the City included in the above bonds are as follows:

Series 2009-A: Callable September 1, 2014 at par plus accrued interest Series 2011-A: Callable September 1, 2016 at par plus accrued interest Series 2016-A: Callable March 1, 2022 at par plus accrued interest

The installment ranges for the general obligation bonds are as follows:

 Installment Range					
 Low		High			
\$ 215,000	\$	225,000			
540,000		815,000			
100,000		935,000			
420,000		475,000			
\$	Low \$ 215,000 540,000 100,000	Low \$ 215,000 \$ 540,000 100,000			

Annual debt service requirements through maturity for general obligation bonds are as follows:

Year	 Principal	Interest		 Total
2018	\$ 1,175,000	\$	425,163	\$ 1,600,163
2019	1,210,000		402,483	1,612,483
2020	1,335,000		375,475	1,710,475
2021	1,540,000		338,250	1,878,250
2022	1,055,000		289,775	1,344,775
2023 - 2027	3,760,000		1,103,175	4,863,175
2028 - 2032	3,890,000		702,400	4,592,400
2033 - 2036	 3,160,000		166,988	3,326,988
Total	\$ 17,125,000	\$	3,803,709	\$ 20,928,709

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7 - <u>Long-Term Debt (Continued)</u>

Meadowbrook TIF Project

During 2016, the City issued \$11,300,000 in Series 2016-A general obligation bonds for the Meadowbrook TIF Project. This redevelopment project will support an 80-acre public park and a 50-acre private development on the site of the former golf course. The bonds constitute a general obligation of the City; however, incremental property tax and transient guest tax receipts will be captured from the private development, and the City has pledged those receipts, in part, to repay the bonds.

Change in Long-Term Liabilities

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2017 was as follows:

	Balance December 31, 2016	Additions	Reductions	Balance December 31, 2017	Current Portion
Governmental activities:					
Bonds payable:					
General obligation bonds	\$ 18,295,000	\$ -	\$ 1,170,000	\$ 17,125,000	\$ 1,175,000
Premium	334,312	-	24,987	309,325	-
Total bonds payable	18,629,312	-	1,194,987	17,434,325	1,175,000
Compensated absences	456,688	555,356	539,092	472,952	472,952
Other postemployment benefits	30,927	10,395	6,708	34,614	-
Net pension liability	7,511,885		857,784	6,654,101	-
	\$ 26,628,812	\$ 565,751	\$ 2,598,571	\$ 24,595,992	\$ 1,647,952

For the governmental activities, compensated absences, the other post-employment benefit obligation, and the net pension liability are generally liquidated by the general, solid waste management, and special alcohol funds.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7 - <u>Long-Term Debt (Continued)</u>

Conduit Debt Obligations

The City issued debt for economic development in prior years. This debt is issued for the benefit of third parties, and the City has no obligation beyond the initial lease or loan which has been assigned to various financial or banking entities. Therefore, the bonds are not reported as a liability in the accompanying financial statements. The following is a list of the outstanding bonds as of December 31, 2017:

	Interest	Date	Original Issue	Final	Balance
Bond Issue	Rate	Issue	Amount	Maturity	Outstanding
Claridge Court	8.50 - 8.75%	8/28/2003	\$ 9.410.000	8/1/2023	\$ 9,410,000
MB-18, LLC	2.00%	5/17/2016	22,500,000	12/31/2019	22,500,000

The City issued special obligation bonds during the year ended December 31, 2016. This debt is to be paid solely from incremental property tax generated by the private development of the Meadowbrook TIF project. Therefore, the bond is not reported as a liability in the accompanying financial statements. The following is a description of the outstanding special obligation bond as of December 31, 2017:

	Interest	Date	Original Issue	Final	Balance
Bond Issue	Rate	Issue	Amount	Maturity	Outstanding
			·		
Meadowbrook	6.00%	5/17/2016	\$ 8,135,000	3/1/2036	\$ 8,135,000

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Pension Plans

All employees of the City, after meeting eligibility requirements, are covered under the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (KPERS), except commissioned police officers, who are covered by the Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan (the Plan). The Plan is accounted for as a separate Pension Trust Fund, while KPERS is administered by the State of Kansas. Each plan is described below.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Pension Plans (Continued)

<u>Summary of Net Pension Liability, Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions</u>

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the City had a total net pension liability of \$ 6,654,101 and recognized pension expense of \$ 1,123,979. As of December 31, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	492,337	\$	94,632
Changes of assumptions		442,032		20,012
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		85,848		347,044
City contributions subsequent to measurement date		144,168		-
Changes in proportionate share		118,211		16,784
Total	\$	1,282,596	\$	478,472

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System

General Information About the Pension Plan

Description of Pension Plan

The City participates in a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan (the Pension Plan), as defined in Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The Pension Plan is administered by the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (KPERS), a body corporate and an instrumentality of the State of Kansas. KPERS provides benefit provisions to the following statewide pension groups under one plan, as provided by K.S.A. 74, article 49:

- Public employees, which include:
 - State/School employees
 - Local employees
- Police and firemen
- Judges

Substantially all public employees in Kansas are covered by the Pension Plan. Participation by local political subdivisions is optional, but irrevocable once elected.

Those employees participating in the Pension Plan for the City are included in the Local employees group.

KPERS issues a stand-alone comprehensive annual financial report, which is available on the KPERS website at www.kpers.org.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)

General Information About the Pension Plan (Continued)

Benefits Provided

KPERS provides retirement benefits, life insurance, disability income benefits, and death benefits. Benefits are established by statute and may only be changed by the Kansas Legislature. Member employees (except police and firemen) with ten or more years of credited service may retire as early as age 55 (police and firemen may be age 50 with 20 years of credited service), with an actuarially reduced monthly benefit. Normal retirement is at age 65, age 62 with ten years of credited service, or whenever an employee's combined age and years of credited service equal 85 points (police and firemen normal retirement ages are age 60 with 15 years of credited service, age 55 with 20 years, age 50 with 25 years, or any age with 36 years of service).

Monthly retirement benefits are based on a statutory formula that includes final average salary and years of service. When ending employment, member employees may withdraw their contributions from their individual accounts, including interest. Member employees who withdraw their accumulated contributions lose all rights and privileges of membership. For all pension coverage groups, the accumulated contributions and interest are deposited into and disbursed from the membership accumulated reserve fund as established by K.S.A. 74-4922.

Member employees choose one of seven payment options for their monthly retirement benefits. At retirement a member employee may receive a lump-sum payment of up to 50% of the actuarial present value of the member employee's lifetime benefit. His or her monthly retirement benefit is then permanently reduced based on the amount of the lump sum. Benefit increases, including ad hoc post-retirement benefit increases, must be passed into law by the Kansas Legislature. Benefit increases are under the authority of the Legislature and the Governor of the State of Kansas.

The 2012 Legislature made changes affecting new hires, current members, and employers. A new KPERS 3 cash balance retirement plan for new hires starting January 1, 2015, was created. Normal retirement age for KPERS 3 members is 65 with five years of service or 60 with 30 years of service. Early retirement is available at age 55 with ten years of service, with a reduced benefit. Monthly benefit options are an annuity benefit based on the account balance at retirement.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)

General Information About the Pension Plan (Continued)

Benefits Provided (Continued)

For all pension coverage groups, the retirement benefits are disbursed from the retirement benefit payment reserve fund as established by K.S.A. 74-4922.

Contributions

K.S.A. 74-4919 and K.S.A. 74-49,210 establish the KPERS member-employee contribution rates. KPERS has multiple benefit structures and contribution rates depending on whether the employee is a KPERS 1, KPERS 2, or KPERS 3 member. KPERS 1 members are active and contributing members hired before July 1, 2009. KPERS 2 members were first employed in a covered position on or after July 1, 2009, and KPERS 3 members were first employed in a covered position on or after January 1, 2015. Effective January 1, 2015, Kansas law established the KPERS member-employee contribution rate at 6.00% of covered salary for KPERS 1, KPERS 2, and KPERS 3 members. Member contributions are withheld by their employer and paid to KPERS according to the provisions of Section 414(h) of the Internal Revenue Code.

State law provides that the employer contribution rates are determined based on the results of an annual actuarial valuation for each of the three state-wide pension groups. The contributions and assets of all groups are deposited in the Kansas Public Employees Retirement Fund established by K.S.A. 74-4921. KPERS is funded on an actuarial reserve basis.

For KPERS fiscal years beginning in 1995, Kansas legislation established statutory limits on increases in contribution rates for KPERS employers. Annual increases in the employer contribution rates related to subsequent benefit enhancements are not subject to these limitations. The statutory cap increase over the prior year contribution rate is 1.20% of total payroll for the KPERS fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

The actuarially determined employer contribution rate (not including the 1.00% contribution rate for the Death and Disability Program) and the statutory contribution rate were 8.46% for the year ended December 31, 2017. Contributions to the Pension Plan from the City were \$ 302,490 for the year ended December 31, 2017.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At December 31, 2017, the City reported a liability of \$ 2,736,770 for its proportionate share of the KPERS collective net pension liability. The collective net pension liability was measured by KPERS as of June 30, 2017, and the total pension liability used to calculate the collective net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2016, which was rolled forward to June 30, 2017. Although KPERS administers one cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan, separate (sub) actuarial valuations are prepared to determine the actuarial determined contribution rate by group. Following this method, the measurement of the collective net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and pension expense are determined separately for each of the following groups of the plan:

- State/School
- Local
- Police and firemen
- Judges

To facilitate the separate (sub) actuarial valuations, KPERS maintains separate accounts to identify additions, deductions, and fiduciary net position applicable to each group. The allocation percentages presented for each group in the schedule of employer and nonemployer allocations are applied to amounts presented in the schedules of pension amounts by employer and nonemployer. The City's proportion of the collective net pension liability was based on the ratio of the City's actual contributions to KPERS, relative to the total employer and nonemployer contributions of the Local group within KPERS for the KPERS fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. The contributions used exclude contributions made for prior service, excess benefits, and irregular payments. At June 30, 2017 the City's proportion was 0.188944%, which was an increase of 0.002968% from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2016.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (Continued)

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the City recognized pension expense of \$286,526. At December 31, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	 rred Outflows Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 13,237	\$	94,632
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	85,848		-
Changes of assumptions	147,386		20,012
Changes in proportionate share	118,211		16,784
City contributions subsequent to measurement date	 144,168		
Total	\$ 508,850	\$	131,428

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (Continued)

The \$ 144,168 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from the City's contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability for the year ended December 31, 2018. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended	
June 30,	
2018	\$ 19,446
2019	127,775
2020	91,299
2021	(16,845)
2022	 11,579
	\$ 233,254

Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability for KPERS in the December 31, 2016 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Price inflation	2.75 percent
Wage inflation	3.50 percent
Salary increases, including price inflation	3.50 to 12.00 percent, including inflation
Long-term rate of return net of investment expense, and including price inflation	7.75 percent

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (Continued)

Actuarial Assumptions (Continued)

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2014 Mortality Tables, with age setbacks and age set forwards as well as other adjustments based on different membership groups. Future mortality improvements are anticipated using scale MP-2016. Different adjustments apply to pre-retirement versus post-retirement versus post-disability mortality tables.

The actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2016 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study, which covered the three-year period of January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2015.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the Pension Plan's target asset allocations as of June 30, 2017 are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Global equity	47%	6.85%
Fixed income	13%	1.25%
Yield driven	8%	6.55%
Real return	11%	1.71%
Real estate	11%	5.05%
Alternatives	8%	9.85%
Short-term investments	2%	(0.25%)
Total	100%	_

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (Continued)

Discount rate

The discount rate used by KPERS to measure the total pension liability was 7.75%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the contractually required rate. The Local employers do not necessarily contribute the full actuarial determined rate. Based on legislation passed in 1993, the employer contribution rates certified by the KPERS Board of Trustees for this group may not increase by more than the statutory cap. The expected KPERS employer statutory contribution was modeled for future years, assuming all actuarial assumptions are met in future years. Based on those assumptions, the Pension Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the City's Proportionate Share of the Collective Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the City's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.75%, as well as what the City's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one-percentage-point lower (6.75%) or one-percentage-point higher (8.75%) than the current rate:

	Current						
	1	% Decrease (6.75%)	Discount Rate (7.75%)		1% Increase (8.75%)		
City's proportionate share of the collective net pension							
liability	\$	3,941,547	\$	2,736,770	\$	1,721,190	

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (Continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (Continued)

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the Pension Plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued KPERS financial report.

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan

Plan Description

Plan Administration

The City of Prairie Village, Kansas established and appointed the City of Prairie Village Police Pension Board of Trustees (the Pension Board) with responsibility to manage and administer the Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan (the Plan), a single-employer defined benefit pension plan. The Plan provides pension benefits to eligible full-time commissioned law enforcement officers of the City who have been continually employed by the City for at least one year.

The Pension Board is composed of three members, with two of the members designated by the City and one member designated by the employees.

The Plan does not issue a stand-alone financial report but is included as a pension trust fund of the City.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan (Continued)

Plan Description (Continued)

Plan Membership

As of January 1, 2017, pension plan membership consisted of the following:

Inactive plan members (or beneficiary) currently receiving benefits	44
Inactive plan members entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	12
Active plan members	44
	100

Benefits Provided

Retirement benefits for members are calculated as 2.5% of the member's three-year final average salary times the member's years of service (capped at 25). Plan members may retire at age 55 with five years of service or at any age with 20 years of service.

Disability retirement benefits are determined in the same manner as retirement benefits and are payable immediately with an actuarial reduction. Death benefits are determined as a lump sum of the actuarial value of the member's accrued benefit reduced by any life insurance proceeds provided by the City.

The Pension Board reserves the right to amend the provisions of the Plan.

Contributions

Members contribute 4.0% of annual earnings (8.0% after 25 years of service). The City makes annual contributions based on a recommendation by an independent actuary. The five-year average contribution rate for plan years beginning 2013-2017 is 18.17% of annual payroll.

City contributions are authorized by local ordinances. The City may terminate the Plan or its contributions at any time. The actual employer contribution to the Plan during the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$ 550,000.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan (Continued)

Investments

Investment Policy

Investment decisions are governed by the Pension Board. The objective of the Plan's investment policy is to provide present and future retirement benefits by achieving an investment return that, at a minimum, equals the Plan's actuarial interest rate assumption on an ongoing basis without exposing the Plan to undue risk. The following is the target asset allocation approved by the Pension Board:

Asset Class	Target Allocation
Large cap domestic equity	25%
Mid cap domestic equity	10%
Small cap domestic equity	10%
International equity	15%
Fixed income	35%
Other	5%
Cash	
Total	100%

Method Used to Value Investments

Investments are reported at fair value. Securities traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates.

Rate of Return

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the annual money-weighted rate of return on pension plan investments, net of pension plan investment expense, was 14.64%. The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested. The cash flows used as inputs in the calculation are determined on a monthly basis.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan (Continued)

Net Pension Liability

The City's net pension liability was measured as of December 31, 2017, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of January 1, 2017.

Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability in the January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return	7.50%
Discount rate	7.50%
Inflation rate	N/A
Salary scale	5.00%
Mortality table	RP 2000
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan (Continued)

Net Pension Liability (Continued)

Actuarial Assumptions (Continued)

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using benchmarking, recent performance and historical data to develop estimates of future real rates of return for each major asset class. These rates are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage. Best estimates of arithmetic real ratio of return for each major asset class included in the pension plan's target asset allocation are summarized in the following table:

	Long-Term
	Expected Real
Asset Class	Rate of Return
	-
Large cap domestic equity	2.7%
Mid cap domestic equity	3.0%
Small cap domestic equity	3.2%
International equity	5.0%
Fixed income	-0.4%
Other	0%
Cash	-0.3%

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability as of December 31, 2017 was 7.50%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employer contributions will be made at 22.75% of covered payroll of current plan members for each year in the future plus contributions for future members in excess of their service costs. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan (Continued)

Changes in the Net Pension Liability

	Total Pension Liability (a)	Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability (a) - (b)	
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 16,934,628	\$ 12,299,847	\$ 4,634,781	
Changes for the year:				
Service cost	367,757	-	367,757	
Interest	1,269,817	-	1,269,817	
Change of benefit terms	-	-	-	
Change of assumptions	-	-	-	
Differences between expected and actual experience	109,485	-	109,485	
Contributions-employer	-	550,000	(550,000)	
Contributions-employee	-	102,564	(102,564)	
Net investment income	-	1,811,945	(1,811,945)	
Benefit payments, including refunds	(961,959)	(961,959)	<u>-</u>	
Net changes	785,100	1,502,550	(717,450)	
Balance at December 31, 2017	\$ 17,719,728	\$ 13,802,397	\$ 3,917,331	

Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability is 77.89%.

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability, calculated using the discount rate of 7.50%, as well as the net pension liability calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower (6.50%) or 1-percentage point higher (8.50%) than the current rate:

	1'	% Decrease (6.50%)	Current Discount Rate (7.50%)		1	1% Increase (8.50%)	
Net Pension Liability	\$	6,090,368	\$	3,917,331	\$	2,093,121	

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan (Continued)

Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the City recognized pension expense of \$837,453. At December 31, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows of resources from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	479,100	\$	-
Changes of assumptions		294,646		-
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		-		347,044
	\$	773,746	\$	347,044

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

December 31, \$ 229,637 2019 229,635 2020 38,187 2021 (80,712) 2022 9,955	Year Ended		
2019 229,635 2020 38,187 2021 (80,712)	December 31,		
2019 229,635 2020 38,187 2021 (80,712)			
2020 2021 38,187 (80,712)	2018	\$	229,637
2021 (80,712)	2019		229,635
	2020		38,187
2022 9,955	2021		(80,712)
	2022		9,955
\$ 426,702		\$	426,702

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8 - Employee Retirement Systems and Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>

The City offers City employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code, Section 457. The assets of the plan are held in trust as described in IRC Section 457(g) for the exclusive benefit of the participants (employees) and their beneficiaries. The custodian thereof for the exclusive benefit of the participants holds the custodial account for the beneficiaries of this Section 457 plan, and the assets may not be diverted to any other use. The administrators are agents of the employer for purposes of providing direction to the custodian of the custodial account from time to time for the investment of the funds held in the account, transfer of assets to or from the accounts and all other matters. Plan balances and activities are not reflected in the City's financial statements.

9 - Risk Management

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; damage to and destruction of assets; business interruptions; errors and omissions; employee injuries and illnesses; natural disasters; and employee health, dental, and accident benefits. Commercial insurance coverage is purchased for claims arising from such matters. Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the three preceding years. There have not been significant reductions in coverage from prior years.

10 - Other Postemployment Benefit Healthcare Plan

Plan Description. The City offers postemployment health insurance for retired employees. The benefits are provided through a single employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by the City. The Employee Benefit Plan (the Benefit Plan) provides medical benefits to eligible early retirees and their spouses. K.S.A. 12-5040 requires all local governmental entities in the state that provide a group health care plan to make participation available to all retirees and dependents until the retiree reaches the age of 65 years. No separate financial report is issued for the Benefit Plan.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10 - Other Postemployment Benefit Healthcare Plan (Continued)

Funding Policy. The contribution requirements of plan participants and the City are established and amended by the City. The required contribution is based on projected pay-as-you-go financing requirements. If the employee retired prior to January 1, 2009, the contributions are equal to 100% of the full active premium. If the employee retired on or after January 1, 2009, the contributions are equal to 125% of the full active premium unless the employee accepted the early retirement incentive program during 2009, in which case they pay only 100% of the full active premium.

In 2017, the City made contributions of \$6,708 to the plan. Plan members receiving benefits contributed \$14,827.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligations. The City's annual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution (ARC) of the employer, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years.

The following table shows the components of the City's annual OPEB cost for the Benefit Plan for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and the changes in the City's net OPEB obligation to the Benefit Plan:

Annual required contribution Interest on net OPEB obligation Adjustment to annual required contribution	\$ 10,820 1,392 (1,817)
Annual OPEB cost Contributions made	10,395 (6,708)
Change in net OPEB obligation Net OPEB obligation, beginning of year	 3,687 30,927
Net OPEB obligation, end of year	\$ 34,614

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10 - Other Postemployment Benefit Healthcare Plan (Continued)

The City's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the Benefit Plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the year ended December 31, 2017 and the two preceding years were as follows:

	Percentage of Annual										
	Anı	nual OPEB	OPEB Cost	N	et OPEB						
Fiscal Year Ended		Cost	Contributed	O	bligation						
	-										
2015	\$	11,186	56%	\$	26,588						
2016		10,820	60%		30,927						
2017		10,395	65%		34,614						

Funding Status and Funding Progress. As of January 1, 2015, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$ 101,471 and the actuarial value of assets was \$ 0, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$ 101,471. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the Benefit Plan) was \$ 5,696,981, and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 1.8%.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statement, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets (if any) are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actual accrued liabilities for benefits.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10 - Other Postemployment Benefit Healthcare Plan (Continued)

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions. Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and the plan participants) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan participants to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation, the projected unit credit actuarial cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a 4.50% investment rate of return, which is the rate of the employer's own investments as there are no plan assets and an annual healthcare cost trend of 7.00% initially, reduced by decrements to an ultimate rate of 5.00% after eight years. The salary increase assumption is 3.5% per year. The UAAL is being amortized as a level dollar over an open thirty-year period.



Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Collective Net Pension Liability

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System

Last Four Fiscal Years¹

	2017	2016	2015	2014
City's proportion of the collective net pension liability	0.188944%	0.185976%	0.181381%	0.175357%
City's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability	\$ 2,736,770	\$ 2,877,104	\$ 2,381,613	\$ 2,158,317
City's covered-employee payroll ²	\$ 3,353,853	\$ 3,186,417	\$ 3,021,720	\$ 2,831,802
City's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability as a percentage of its covered- employee payroll	81.60%	90.29%	78.82%	76.22%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	67.12%	65.10%	64.95%	66.60%

¹ GASB 68 requires presentation of ten years. Data was not available prior to fiscal year 2014; therefore, ten years of data is unavailable.

 $^{^{2}}$ Covered employer payroll corresponds to the measurement date for the year ended June 30.

Schedule of City's Contributions

Kansas Public Employees Retirement System

Last Seven Fiscal Years¹

	2017	2016	2015	2014	 2013	2012	2011
Contractually required contribution	\$ 287,269	\$ 301,098	\$ 297,680	\$ 259,273	\$ 222,595	\$ 219,294	\$ 198,937
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	(287,336)	(301,218)	(297,680)	(259,771)	(219,417)	(219,294)	(199,650)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ (67)	\$ (120)	\$ 	\$ (498)	\$ 3,178	\$ 	\$ (713)
City's covered-employee payroll ²	\$ 3,396,419	\$ 3,279,929	\$ 3,140,078	\$ 2,938,590	\$ 2,777,726	\$ 2,987,653	\$ 2,962,170
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	8.46%	9.18%	9.48%	8.82%	8.01%	7.34%	6.72%

¹ Data was not available prior to fiscal year 2011; therefore, 10 years of data is unavailable.

Source: KPERS and City of Prairie Village

 $^{^{2}}$ Covered employee payroll is for the year ended December 31.

Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan

Last Four Fiscal Years¹

	2017	2016		2015	2014
Total Pension Liability					
Service cost Interest on the total pension liability Changes of benefit terms Difference between expected and actual experience Changes of assumptions Benefit payments	\$ 367,757 1,269,817 - 109,485 - (961,959)	\$ 345,522 1,214,480 145,256 562,512 467,966 (945,835)	\$	339,165 1,118,869 - 81,451 - (822,334)	\$ 330,280 1,049,818 - (162,703) - (671,716)
Net change in total pension liability	785,100	1,789,901		717,151	545,679
Total pension liability, beginning of year	16,934,628	 15,144,727		14,427,576	 13,881,897
Total pension liability, end of year (a)	\$ 17,719,728	\$ 16,934,628	\$	15,144,727	\$ 14,427,576
Plan Fiduciary Net Position					
Contributions - employer Contributions - member Net investment income Benefit payments	\$ 550,000 102,564 1,811,945 (961,959)	\$ 450,000 98,234 872,971 (945,835)	\$	450,000 100,926 21,764 (822,334)	\$ 450,000 103,250 683,502 (671,716)
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	1,502,550	475,370		(249,644)	565,036
Plan fiduciary net position, beginning of year	 12,299,847	 11,824,477		12,074,121	 11,509,085
Plan fiduciary net position, end of year (b)	\$ 13,802,397	\$ 12,299,847	\$	11,824,477	\$ 12,074,121
Net pension liability (a) - (b)	\$ 3,917,331	\$ 4,634,781	\$	3,320,250	\$ 2,353,455
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	77.89%	72.63%		78.08%	83.69%
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 2,590,141	\$ 2,552,502	\$	2,646,019	\$ 2,602,453
Net pension liability as a percentage of the covered-emplyee payroll	151.24%	181.58%		125.48%	90.43%

¹ GASB 67 requires presentation of ten years. Data was not available prior to fiscal year 2014; therefore, ten years of data is unavailable.

Schedule of Employer Contributions

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan

Last Four Fiscal Years¹

	2017	2016	2015	2014
Actuarially determined contributions	\$ 582,018	\$ 547,886	\$ 433,897	\$ 429,284
Actual contributions recognized during the year	550,000	450,000	450,000	450,000
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 32,018	\$ 97,886	\$ (16,103)	\$ (20,716)
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 2,590,141	\$ 2,552,502	\$ 2,646,019	\$ 2,602,453
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	21.23%	17.63%	17.01%	17.29%

Methods and assumptions for actuarially determined contribution

Actuarial cost method	Aggregate
Amortization method	Average remaining service
Salary scale	5.00%
Investment rate of return	7.50%
Mortality table	RP 2000

¹ GASB 67 requires presentation of ten years. Data was not available prior to fiscal year 2014; therefore, ten years of data is unavailable.

Schedule of Investment Returns

Prairie Village, Kansas Police Department Retirement Plan

Last Four Fiscal Years¹

	Annual
	Money-Weighted
Fiscal Year	Rate of Return
2017	14.64%
2016	7.36%
2015	0.18%
2014	5.88%

¹ GASB 67 requires presentation of ten years. Data was not available prior to fiscal year 2014; therefore, ten years of data is unavailable.

Schedule of Funding Progress

Retiree Health Care Benefit Plan Trust and Pension Plan

December 31, 2017

Actuarial	Act	uarial		Actuarial	1	Unfunded	Fι	ınded			Percent o	f
Valuation	Va	lue of		Accrued		AAL	R	Ratio	Co	vered	Payroll	
Date	Ass	ets (a)	_L:	iability (b)		(b) - (a)	((a/b)	Payr	roll (c)	(b-a)/(c)	
				_								
1/1/2009	\$	-	\$	107,201	\$	107,201	0	0.0%	\$ 5,24	42,016	2.0%	
1/1/2012		-		117,100		117,100	0	0.0%	5,66	67,954	2.1%	
1/1/2015		-		101,471		101,471	0	0.0%	5,69	96,981	1.8%	



COMBINING STATEMENTS – NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

Special revenue funds are used to account for specific revenues that are legally restricted to expenditure for particular purposes.

- 1. Special City Street and Highway Fund This fund accounts for gasoline taxes designated for construction and maintenance of the City streets and curbing and related expenditures.
- 2. Special Parks and Recreation Fund This fund is used to fund various City-sponsored park and recreation activities funded by the state liquor tax.
- **3. Special Alcohol Fund** This fund accounts for the operation of services and programs pertaining to alcohol misuse and is funded by the state liquor tax.
- **4. Grant Fund** This fund accounts for the revenues and expenditures related to state and federal grants.
- **5.** Corinth CID This fund accounts for monies received from the Community Improvement District additional 1% sales tax to be expended on development within Corinth Square.
- **6. PV Shops CID** This fund accounts for monies received from the Community Improvement District additional 1% sales tax to be expended on development within PV Shops.
- 7. **TIF Fund** This fund accounts for property tax distributions that are held by the City for the commercial piece of the Meadowbrook TIF distributions.

COMBINING BALANCE SHEET NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

December 31, 2017

							Spe	ecial Revenu	e							Total
	Special City Street and Highway		Par	ks and reation		Special Alcohol		Grant		Corinth CID		PV Shops CID		TIF		Nonmajor overnmental Funds
Assets:																
Cash and investments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	182,124	\$	539,299	\$	151,664	\$	173,916	\$	-	\$	1,047,003
Taxes receivable		-		-		-		-		-		-		291,702		291,702
Due from other governments		148,736		-		-		-		105,615		102,384		-		356,735
Prepaid items	_		_	-	_	1,240	_	-	_	-	_	-	_		_	1,240
Total assets	\$	148,736	\$	-	\$	183,364	\$	539,299	\$	257,279	\$	276,300	\$	291,702	\$	1,696,680
Liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances: Liabilities: Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,103	\$	-	\$	119,803	\$	167,580	\$	-	\$	288,486
Deferred inflows of resources: Unavailable revenue - property taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		200,000		200,000
Fund balances: Nonspendable:																
Not in spendable form		-		-		1,240		-		_		-		-		1,240
Restricted		134,870		-		174,291		539,299		131,956		101,022		91,702		1,173,140
Assigned		13,866		-		6,730	_	-	_	5,520	_	7,698	_	-		33,814
Total fund balances		148,736				182,261	_	539,299		137,476	_	108,720	_	91,702		1,208,194
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances	\$	148,736	\$	-	\$	183,364	\$	539,299	\$	257,279	\$	276,300	\$	291,702	\$	1,696,680

COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES

NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

	Special Revenue												
			Special Alcohol	Grant	Corinth CID	PV Shops CID	TIF	Nonmajor Governmental Funds					
Revenues:													
Property tax	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 91,702	\$ 91,702					
Sales tax	-	-	-	-	576,525	503,194	-	1,079,719					
Intergovernmental	583,369	137,683	137,684	5,284	-	-	-	864,020					
Interest earnings	6,442	1,246	1,508	-	1,366	1,108		11,670					
Total revenues	589,811	138,929	139,192	5,284	577,891	504,302	91,702	2,047,111					
Expenditures: Current: Urban management and													
planning	_	_	_	_	527,243	485,329	_	1,012,572					
Public safety	_	_	96,584	_	-	-	_	96,584					
Leisure and recreation	-		38,140	-	-	-	_	38,140					
Total expenditures			134,724		527,243	485,329		1,147,296					
Excess of revenues over expenditures	589,811	138,929	4,468	5,284	50,648	18,973	91,702	899,815					
Other financing uses: Transfers out	(588,751)	(154,446)	_	_	_	_	_	(743,197)					
Transiers out	(388,731)	(134,440)		· 				(743,197)					
Net change in fund balances	1,060	(15,517)	4,468	5,284	50,648	18,973	91,702	156,618					
Fund balances, beginning	147,676	15,517	177,793	534,015	86,828	89,747		1,051,576					
Fund balances, ending	\$ 148,736	\$ -	\$ 182,261	\$ 539,299	\$ 137,476	\$ 108,720	\$ 91,702	\$ 1,208,194					

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL BUDGETARY BASIS SPECIAL CITY STREET AND HIGHWAY FUND

	Original and Final		Actual Amounts			
	Budgeted Amounts		Budgetary Basis		Variance with Final Budget	
Revenues:						
Intergovernmental Interest earnings	\$	567,810 3,200	\$	583,369 6,442	\$	15,559 3,242
Total revenues		571,010		589,811		18,801
Expenditures: Miscellaneous		67,309				(67,309)
Excess of revenues over expenditures		503,701		589,811		86,110
Other financing sources: Transfers out		(610,100)		(588,751)		21,349
Net change in fund balance		(106,399)		1,060		107,459
Fund balance, beginning		106,399		147,676		41,277
Fund balance, ending	\$		\$	148,736	\$	148,736

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL BUDGETARY BASIS SPECIAL PARKS AND RECREATION FUND

	Original and Actual Final Amounts Budgeted Budgetary Amounts Basis		Amounts Budgetary	Variance with Final Budget		
Revenues: Intergovernmental Interest earnings	\$	130,000 750	\$	137,683 1,246	\$	7,683 496
Total revenues		130,750		138,929		8,179
Expenditures: Miscellaneous		18,551				(18,551)
Excess of revenues over expenditures		112,199		138,929		26,730
Other financing sources: Transfers out		(190,000)		(154,446)		35,554
Net change in fund balance		(77,801)		(15,517)		62,284
Fund balance, beginning		77,801		15,517		(62,284)
Fund balance, ending	\$		\$		\$	

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL BUDGETARY BASIS SPECIAL ALCOHOL FUND

	Original and Final Budgeted Amounts	Final Amounts Budgeted Budgetary	
Revenues:			
Intergovernmental Interest earnings	\$ 130,000 2,000	\$ 137,684 1,508	\$ 7,684 (492)
Total revenues	132,000	139,192	7,192
Expenditures: Public safety Alcohol programs Miscellaneous	257,800 30,000 27,112	96,584 38,140	(161,216) 8,140 (27,112)
Total expenditures	314,912	134,724	(180,188)
Net change in fund balance	(182,912)	4,468	187,380
Fund balance, beginning	182,912	177,793	(5,119)
Fund balance, ending	\$ -	\$ 182,261	\$ 182,261

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL BUDGETARY BASIS CORINTH CID FUND

	Original and Final Budgeted Amounts	Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget	
Revenues:	ф. 525 000	Φ 576 505	Φ 41.525	
Sales tax Interest earnings	\$ 535,000 1,500	\$ 576,525 1,366	\$ 41,525 (134)	
Total revenues	536,500	577,891	41,391	
Expenditures: Urban management and planning Miscellaneous	516,585 20,000	527,243	10,658 (20,000)	
Total expenditures	536,585	527,243	(9,342)	
Net change in fund balance	(85)	50,648	50,733	
Fund balance, beginning	85	86,828	86,743	
Fund balance, ending	\$ -	\$ 137,476	\$ 137,476	

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL BUDGETARY BASIS PV SHOPS CID FUND

	Original and Final Budgeted Amounts	Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget	
Revenues: Sales tax	\$ 550,000	\$ 503,194	\$ (46,806)	
Interest earnings	1,700	1,108	(592)	
Total revenues	551,700	504,302	(47,398)	
Expenditures: Urban management and planning Miscellaneous	549,150 20,000	485,329	(63,821) (20,000)	
Total expenditures	569,150	485,329	(83,821)	
Net change in fund balance	(17,450)	18,973	36,423	
Fund balance, beginning	17,450	89,747	72,297	
Fund balance, ending	\$ -	\$ 108,720	\$ 108,720	

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL BUDGETARY BASIS BOND AND INTEREST FUND

	Original and Final Budgeted Amounts	Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget	
Revenues: Taxes Interest earnings	\$ 62,229 3,000	\$ 60,757 1,019	\$ (1,472) (1,981)	
Total revenues	65,229	61,776	(3,453)	
Expenditures: Debt service: Principal Interest and other charges	745,000 73,750	745,000 73,750	- -	
Total expenditures	818,750	818,750		
Deficiency of revenues under expenditures	(753,521)	(756,974)	(3,453)	
Other financing sources: Transfers in	723,304	723,304		
Net change in fund balance	(30,217)	(33,670)	(3,453)	
Fund balance, beginning	71,155	105,728	34,573	
Fund balance, ending	\$ 40,938	\$ 72,058	\$ 31,120	

Statistical Section

This part of the City of Prairie Village's *Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)* presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about the City's overall financial health.

Contents

Financial Trends 92 - 98

These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the City's financial performance and well-being have changed over time.

Revenue Capacity 99 - 105

These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the City's most significant local revenue source, the property tax.

Debt Capacity 106 - 110

These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the City's current levels of outstanding debt and the City's ability to issue additional debt in the future.

Demographic and Economical Information

111 - 112

These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the City's financial activities take place.

Operating Information

113 - 115

These schedules contain service and infrastructure data to help the reader understand how the information in the City's financial report related to the services the City provides and the activities it performs.

Sources: Unless otherwise noted, the information in these schedules is derived from the Annual Financial Report for the relevant year.

NET POSITION BY COMPONENT LAST TEN YEARS (accrual basis of accounting)

		2008	2009	2010	<u>2011</u>	<u> 2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
Governmental activities: Net investment in											
capital assets	\$	58,921,876	\$ 62,148,880	\$57,070,636	\$ 57,840,817	\$ 60,262,019	\$ 64,573,343	\$ 65,944,703	\$ 69,402,279	\$ 73,191,537	\$ 67,639,849
Restricted		258,683	233,847	241,470	815,844	4,174,238	3,870,795	1,283,840	1,188,395	1,025,181	1,782,120
Unrestricted	_	9,283,608	7,107,359	13,092,359	15,547,991	13,320,442	11,492,251	13,350,435	7,555,949	3,751,188	6,243,697
-	_										
Total net position	\$	68,464,167	<u>\$ 69,490,086</u>	\$70,404,465	\$ 74,204,652	\$ 77,756,699	\$ 79,936,389	\$ 80,578,978	\$ 78,146,623	\$ 77,967,906	\$ 75,665,666

CHANGE IN NET POSITION LAST TEN YEARS (accrual basis of accounting)

	2008	2009
Expenses		
Governmental activities:		
Urban management and planning	\$3,132,048	\$3,354,849
Public works	7,684,541	8,834,376
Public safety	5,102,560	5,453,093
Municipal justice	370,433	389,952
Leisure and recreation	917,170	713,252
Interest on long-term debt	72,658	88,186
Total primary government expenses	17,279,410	18,833,708
Program revenues		
Governmental activities:		
Fees, fines, and charges for services:		
Urban management and planning	1,723,680	1,767,715
Public works	107,855	1,498,500
Public safety	2,204,404	2,213,361
Municipal justice	64.725	43,313
Leisure and recreation	429,579	437,850
Operating grants and contributions:	420,510	437,030
Urban management and planning	<u>-</u>	15,000
Public works		13,000
Public safety	6,564	22,890
Capital grants and contributions:	0,004	22,030
Public works	728,705	354,076
Public safety	-	-
Total primary government program revenues	5,265,512	6,352,705
Total primary government net (expense)		
rotal primary government her (expense)	(12,013,898)	(12,481,003)
General revenues and other changes in net position		
Governmental activities:		
Taxes:		
Property	5,177,298	5,265,998
Sales and use	5,219,057	4,839,903
Motor vehicle	513,573	544,505
Franchise	1,829,831	1,717,002
Intergovernmental revenue not restricted to specific programs	971,712	919,500
Investment earnings	335,599	125,136
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets	-	-
Miscellaneous	86,081	80,613
Total primary government	14,133,151	13,492,657
Change in net position governmental activities	#0.440.050	04 044 054
Change in het position governmental activities	<u>\$2,119,253</u>	\$1,011,654

⁽¹⁾ Beginning in 2015, shared revenues received are being reported (1) either as unrestricted intergovernmental revenues or as operating/capital grants and contributions (if the revenues are restricted for specific programs) on the statement of activities and (2) as intergovernmental revenues on the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances.

2	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2016	2017
•								
	3,272,655	\$3,895,696	\$4,244,767	\$4,775,294	\$5,610,397	\$4,882,050	\$8,623,968	\$12,353,285
	7,767,738	8,609,223	8,594,229	8,957,601	10,350,103	8,923,476	8,505,865	8,121,135
5	5,111,387 370,192	5,596,720 395,493	5,869,808	5,763,055	5,705,184	5,876,297	6,389,909	6,161,767
	689,398	700,072	417,302 692,551	427,211 679,215	403,023 1,120,168	403,523 555,888	428,880	438,568
	186,968	164,465	185,077	137,982	102,272	76,168	497,183 599,376	537,617 370,530
19	,398,338	19,361,669	20,003,734	20,740,358	23,291,147	20,717,402	25,045,181	27,982,902
1	,905,694	2,128,353	2,163,143	1,878,669	1,988,086	2,121,429	2,316,771	2,360,845
1	,550,652	1,632,368	1,887,904	1,938,196	1,639,063	1,675,464	1,657,008	1,632,999
2	,326,639	2,544,678	2,352,645	2,521,270	2,528,803	2,232,943	2,259,804	2,258,140
	3,826	2,675	1,215	1,910	2,205	1,789	2,735	3,965
	473,123	457,726	482,082	432,665	424,345	426,651	433,456	429,929
	2,517	3,472	-	-	-	=	_	_
	169,534	-	-	-	-		-	_
	11,016	270,790	248,815	5,275	6,117	952,845	959,593	973,036
	30,197	1,078,160	667,306	643,633	675,222	138,162	1,171,001	1,023,968
	201,359						_	
6	,674,557	8,118,222	7,803,110	7,421,618	7,263,841	7,549,283	8,800,368	8,682,882
(12,	723,781)	(11,243,447)	(12,200,624)	(13,318,740)	(16,027,306)	(13,168,119)	(16,244,813)	(19,300,020)
5	,278,073	5,299,216	5,469,028	5,495,129	5,535,425	5,669,245	6,018,580	6,416,501
	,843,179	6,197,430	6,414,899	6,671,852	6,705,394	4,032,588	4,164,134	4,225,105
	551,265	555,579	591,098	637,276	663,776	681,530	685,803	707,915
1	,792,180	1,820,275	1,798,641	1,594,085	2,395,072	1,979,976	1,991,903	1,961,828
	935,569	955,456	1,073,000	1,077,749	1,148,390	3,015,993	3,036,688	3,469,454
	126,307	62,015	10,973	(25,845)	23,219	95,390	66,146	144,839
	-	-	29,683	36,200	68,700	17,638	21,331	· <u>-</u>
	111,587	153,663	186,339	101,163	129,919	148,759	81,511	72,138
13	,638,160	15,043,634	15,573,661	15,587,609	16,669,895	15,641,119	16,066,096	16,997,780
\$	914,379	\$3,800,187	\$3,373,037	\$2,268,869	\$642,589	\$2,473,000	(\$178,717)	(\$2,302,240)

FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS LAST TEN YEARS

(modified accrual basis of accounting)

		2008		<u>2009</u>	2010		2011		2012		<u>2013</u>		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>		2016		<u>2017</u>
General Fund:																			
Nonspendable	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$ 96,836	\$	103,927	\$	108,959	\$	173,325	\$	210,673	\$	259,796	\$	292,655
Restricted		-		-	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Committed		-		-	-		1,659,165		2,053,036		1,994,854		1,942,029		1,887,943		1,603,200		620,075
Assigned		-		-	-		224,674		273,273		130,290		282,421		353,439		543,334		521,421
Unassigned		<u>.</u>		-	-		4,655,983		7,584,026		7,704,714		7,449,154		7,604,264		7,056,844		7,650,173
Reserved		278,309		241,521	223,607		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Unreserved		4,273,620		4,658,964	4,610,498			_		_	-		-					_	
Total general fund	<u>\$</u>	4,551,929	\$	4,900,485	\$ 4,834,105		\$ 6,636,658	\$_	10,014,262	\$	9,938,817	\$	9,846,929	\$	10,056,319	\$	9,463,174	\$	9,084,324
All other governmental funds:																			
Nonspendable	\$	_	\$	_	s -		\$ 1,049	\$	1.097	\$	864	\$	921	\$	1,028	\$	1,240	\$	1,240
Restricted	*	_	Ψ	_	Ψ -		6,869,182	Ψ	3,150,862	Ψ	757,583	Ψ	1,315,273	φ	1,216,879	Ψ	9,003,452	Ψ	1,782,120
Committed		_		-	_		2,699,470		1,708,872		1,390,809		623,657		643,148		732,851		543,442
Reserved		131,782		274,972	36,225		_,000,		-		1,000,000		020,007		-		702,001		545,442
Assigned		,			,		_		2,888,400		3,579,303		3,185,852		2,244,690		3,182,118		3,114,346
Unreserved reported in:									_,,		-1-,-1		0,100,002		2,2,000		0,102,110		0,111,040
Special revenue funds		2,879,583		3,204,956	3,426,679		_		_		-		-		_		_		-
Capital project funds		2,382,006		11,060,360	5,604,888		-				-						-		<u>-</u>
Total all other governmental funds	\$	5,393,371	\$	14,540,288	\$ 9,067,792	_	\$ 9,569,701	\$	7,749,231	\$	5,728,559	\$	5,125,703	\$	4,105,745	\$ 1	12,919,661	\$	5,441,148

In 2011, the City implemented GASB Statement No. 54, under which governmental fund balances are reported as nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned compared to the previous categories of reserved and unreserved.

CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS LAST TEN YEARS

(modified accrual basis of accounting)

	2008	2009
Revenues:		
Taxes	\$12,727,356	\$12,349,694
Intergovernmental	1,590,358	1,198,401
Licenses and permits	459,552	413,294
Charges for services	2,953,846	4,466,317
Fines and forfeitures	1,006,365	959,292
Recreation fees	429,579	437,850
Interest earnings	335,600	125,115
Other	86,081	80,625
Total revenues	19,588,737	20,030,588
Expenditures:		
Urban management and planning	3,154,433	3,314,992
Public works	4,301,887	4,540,778
Public safety	5,363,206	5,610,354
Municipal justice	372,212	389,608
Leisure and recreation	759,294	556,029
Capital outlay	6,064,642	5,218,463
Debt service:		
Principal	475,000	1,060,000
Interest and other charges	79,770	66,220
Bond issuance costs	<u> </u>	
Total expenditures	20,570,444	20,756,444
Excess of revenues over (under) expenditures	(981,707)	(725,856)
Other financing sources ()uses):		
Transfer in	6,795,069	4,971,475
Transfer out	(6,795,069)	(4,971,475)
Bond premium	-	136,329
Sale of bonds	-	10,085,000
Proceeds from sale of general capital assets		
Total other financing sources (uses)		10,221,329
Net change in fund balances	(\$981,707)	\$9,495,473
Debt service as a percentage of noncapital expenditures	3.27%	6.82%

(1) Beginning in 2015, shared revenues received are being reported (1) either as unrestricted intergovernmental revenues or as operating/capital grants and contributions (if the revenues are restricted for specific programs) on the statement of activities and (2) as intergovernmental revenues on the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances.

2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2016	2017
\$12,464,717	\$13,857,373	\$14,273,666	\$14,398,342	\$15,299,667	\$12,363,339	\$12,860,420	\$13,311,349
1,232,019	2,187,709	1,845,599	1,573,842	1,666,363	3,949,255	5,020,889	5,297,606
441,168	468,647	462,139	513,642	519,991	680,469	831,578	748,657
4,665,931	4,976,771	4,974,070	4,693,746	4,569,935	4,570,600	4,603,404	4,732,874
992,645	1,154,857	1,075,209	1,239,844	1,195,088	905,453	911,058	899,054
473,123	457,726	482,082	432,664	424,345	426,651	433,456	429,928
126,307	59,316	10,973	(25,845)	23,219	95,390	66,146	144,839
119,116	173,311	223,350	146,790	166,428	181,607	118,182	116,353
20,515,026	23,335,710	23,347,088	22,973,025	23,865,036	23,172,764	24,845,133	25,680,660
3,338,416	3,743,801	4,000,735	4,739,654	5,283,311	4,621,796	8,346,423	13,145,928
5,010,474	5,102,754	4,906,355	5,125,576	5,283,208	5,492,747	5,366,346	5,093,376
5,386,918	5,448,152	5,583,686	5,413,123	5,591,436	5,544,123	5,610,246	5,822,294
393,875	391,753	399,844	410,721	403,023	403,441	426,559	437,834
520,703	531,525	526,896	483,523	496,462	531,771	457,295	534,216
9,443,862	6,793,162	5,021,011	6,933,360	5,572,037	6,671,194	9,920,157	6,995,002
1 700 000	2 420 000	1 010 000	4 025 000	4 070 000	705.000	700.000	4.470.000
1,790,000	2,130,000	1,810,000	1,835,000	1,870,000	725,000	730,000	1,170,000
169,654	275,459 -	190,486	164,385	129,003	92,750	168,442	432,973
					 -	348,512	(43,675)
26,053,902	24,416,606	22,439,013	25,105,342	24,628,480	24,082,822	31,373,980	33,587,948
(5,538,876)	(1,080,896)	908,075	(2,132,317)	(763,444)	(910,058)	(6,528,847)	(7,907,288)
5,570,381	2,921,149	3,784,649	4,744,041	4,780,186	5,521,533	6,432,951	6,996,501
(5,570,381)	(3,299,379)	(3,784,649)	(4,744,041)	(4,780,186)	(5,521,533)	(6,432,951)	(6,996,501)
-	-	-	-	-	-	304,768	-
-	4,555,000	-	-	-	-	14,400,000	-
-		29,683	36,200	68,700	99,490	44,850	49,925
-	4,176,770	29,683	36,200	68,700	99,490	14,749,618	49,925
(\$5 539 976\	\$3,095,874	\$937,758	(\$2.006.117)	(\$604.744)	(¢910 E69)	#0 000 774	(\$7 0E7 000)
(\$5,538,876)	\$5,030,074	φσο1,106	(\$2,096,117)	(\$694,744)	(\$810,568)	\$8,220,771	(\$7,857,363)
10.78%	12.09%	10.39%	9.85%	8.80%	4.64%	4.15%	6.26%

TAX REVENUES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BY SOURCE LAST TEN YEARS

December 31, 2017

Fiscal		Local	County	Specialty	Local	County	Specialty		Motor	
Year Ended	Property	Sales	Sales	Sales	Use	Use	Use	Franchise	Vehicle	
December 31,	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Total
2008	\$5,177,298	\$2,053,216	\$1,651,955	\$825,979	\$365,981	\$214,617	\$107,309	\$1,817,429	\$513,572	\$12,727,356
2009	5,265,998	1,953,924	1,510,595	755,300	340,855	185,060	94,168	1,699,288	544,505	12,349,694
2010	5,278,073	2,023,730	1,412,107	698,682	370,801	224,738	113,121	1,792,180	551,285	12,464,717
2011	5,299,216	2,067,933	1,619,048	1,662,927	397,141	300,254	150,127	1,805,148	555,579	13,857,373
2012	5,469,028	2,128,521	1,673,584	1,734,383	425,411	302,000	151,000	1,798,641	591,098	14,273,666
2013	5,495,129	2,197,833	1,721,994	1,811,556	479,837	307,084	153,547	1,594,085	637,276	14,398,342
2014	5,535,425	2,334,913	1,595,213	1,826,004	496,419	301,891	150,953	2,395,072	663,776	15,299,667
2015	5,669,245	2,418,734	1,589,958	1,874,084	534,741	306,677	153,229	1,979,976	681,530	15,208,174
2016	6,018,580	2,446,696	1,593,331	1,890,761	623,346	325,845	162,923	1,991,903	685,803	15,739,188
2017	6,416,501	2,471,681	1,597,994	2,184,257	673,705	337,503	231,898	1,961,828	707,915	16,583,283

LOCAL SALES TAX COLLECTIONS LAST TEN YEARS

December 31, 2017

Local Sales Tax Collected

	Local Sales Tax Collected									
Category	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	2014	2015	<u>2016</u>	2017
Gas Station	\$ 15,954 \$	10,932 \$	20,727 \$	19,393 \$	8,862 \$	4,673 \$	6,025 \$	9,904 \$	7,344 \$	13,870
Grocery Store	577,775	565,778	553,777	557,984	550,431	579,481	641,434	666,798	688,526	686,238
Home Occupation	14,835	11,147	12,515	11,138	21,640	_	-	-	-	-
Restaurant	215,035	203,252	225,575	279,777	302,587	307,726	331,021	364,811	382,643	357,940
Retail	799,277	755,276	709,671	694,473	761,662	815,508	843,497	873,401	913,356	1,013,840
Utility	430,340	407,539	501,464	495,168	483,339	490,445	512,936	503,820	454,827	399,793
Total	\$ 2,053,216 \$	1,953,924 \$	2,023,729 \$	2,057,933 \$	2,128,521 \$	2,197,833 \$	2,334,913 \$	2,418,734 \$	2,446,696 \$	2,471,681

Source: Kansas Department of Revenue.

Note: The City of Prairie Village's sales tax rate is 1%

Note: Beginning In 2013 Home Occupation was included in Retail for reporting purposes

LOCAL SALES TAX COLLECTIONS LAST TEN YEARS (Continued) December 31, 2017

		Taxable Sales										
Category	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	2016	<u>2017</u>		
Gas Station	\$ 1,595,400 \$	1,093,200	\$ 2,072,700	\$ 1,939,300	\$ 886,200	\$ 467,301	\$ 602,500	\$ 990,400 \$	734,387	\$ 1,387,005		
Grocery Store	57,777,500	56,577,800	55,377,700	55,798,400	55,043,100	57,948,062	64,143,400	66,679,800	68,852,606	68,623,824		
Home Occupation	1,483,500	1,114,700	1,251,500	1,113,800	2,164,000	-	-	-	_	-		
Restaurant	21,503,500	20,325,200	22,557,500	27,977,700	30,258,700	30,772,622	33,102,100	36,481,100	38,264,263	35,793,987		
Retail	79,927,700	75,527,604	70,967,100	69,447,300	76,166,200	81,550,844	84,349,700	87,340,100	91,335,573	101,384,028		
Utility	43,034,000	40,753,900	50,146,400	49,516,800	48,333,900	49,044,497	51,293,600	50,382,000	45,482,726	39,979,301		
Total	\$ 205,321,600 \$	195,392,404	\$ 202,372,900	\$ 205,793,300	\$ 212,852,100	\$ 219,783,326	\$ 233,491,300	\$ 241,873,400 \$	244,669,555	\$ 247,168,145		

ASSESSED VALUE AND ESTIMATED ACTUAL VALUE OF TAXABLE PROPERTY (1) LAST TEN YEARS

December 31, 2017

	Real Property (1)					
	Assessed	Estimated				
Fiscal year	Value	Value				
2008	\$284,173,687	\$2,440,934,520				
2009	279,586,647	2,411,417,190				
2010	273,059,648	2,364,085,300				
2011	275,222,619	2,384,685,380				
2012	276,238,682	2,384,270,380				
2013	278,850,945	2,389,964,100				
2014	286,327,787	2,413,478,020				
2015	300,666,306	2,477,316,120				
2016	319,573,168	2,414,256,750				
2017	359,573,532	2,557,425,060				

- (1) The County Assessor values real property as required by State Statute and rulings of the State Board of Tax Appeals. Prior to 1989, real property was valued at 24% of estimated values. Real property is now valued at different percentages which reflect the property's use. Residential land, improvement, and vacant lots (estimated at 83% of real property) are assessed at 11.5% of actual value. Commercial land and improvements are assessed at 25% of actual value.
- (2) Personal property is assessed at 30% of actual value. State assessed utilities are assessed at 33%.

Personal F	Property (2)	Total	Estimated	Ratio of Assessed Value	Motor Vehicles	Recreational Vehicles	Total Direct	
Assessed Value	Estimated Value	Assessed Value	Actual Value	to Estimated Actual Value	Assessed Value	Assessed Value	Tax Rate	
\$9,330,574	\$25,875,024	\$293,504,261	\$2,466,809,544	11.90%	\$32,244,915	\$69,068	18.182	
8,211,168	23,451,017	287,797,815	2,434,868,207	11.82%	31,919,314	63,215	18.179	
7,465,621	22,538,872	280,525,269	2,386,624,172	11.75%	31,100,970	62,281	18.877	
6,395,619	20,012,266	281,618,238	2,404,697,646	11.71%	30,896,870	59,999	19.491	
6,120,366	19,118,449	282,359,048	2,403,388,829	11.75%	30,641,443	55,022	19.478	
6,287,981	19,615,340	285,138,926	2,409,579,440	11.83%	31,498,552	37,086	19.490	
5,642,485	17,548,536	291,970,272	2,431,026,556	12.01%	32,571,090	63,084	19.493	
5,560,812	17,240,189	306,227,118	2,494,556,309	12.28%	33,778,600	54,486	19.500	
5,585,204	17,296,960	325,158,372	2,431,553,710	13.37%	34,568,257	55,983	19.471	
5,768,976	17,835,450	365,342,508	2,575,260,510	14.19%	35,619,831	63,202	19.311	

DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING PROPERTY TAX RATES PER \$1,000 OF ASSESSED VALUATION LAST TEN YEARS

December 31, 2017

1-1----

							Johnson				Johnson
							County	Consolidated	Unified	Johnson	County
Year Ended			Debt	Total		School	Community	Fire	Waste	County	Parks and
December 31,	State	General	Service	City	County	District	College	District	Water	Library	Recreation
2008	\$1.5	\$16.897	\$1.285	\$18.182	\$17.767	\$52.094	\$8.768	\$8.992	-	\$3.057	\$2.341
2009	1.5	17.277	0.902	18.179	17.716	55.318	8.784	8.991	-	3.151	2.346
2010	1.5	14.101	4.776	18.877	17.748	57.192	8.799	10.074	-	3.158	2.350
2011	1.5	14.763	4.728	19.491	17.700	56.135	8.776	10.098	-	3.145	2.343
2012	1.5	13.898	5.580	19.478	17.717	55.766	8.785	10.187	-	3.149	2.344
2013	1.5	14.790	4.700	19.490	17.745	55.611	9.551	11.004	-	3.155	2.347
2014	1.5	18.300	1.193	19.493	17.764	55.911	9.461	11.003	-	3.157	2.349
2015	1.5	17.796	1.704	19.500	19.582	54.059	9.469	11.757	-	3.912	3.101
2016	1.5	19.471	0.000	19.471	19.590	54.940	9.473	11.769	-	3.915	3.102
2017	1.5	19.311	0.000	19.311	19.318	53.663	9.503	11.760	-	3.921	3.112

Information provided by the Johnson County, Kansas County Clerk's Annual Abstract of Taxes

Note A: Overlapping rates are those of local and county government that apply to property owners within the City of Prairie Village. Not all overlapping rates apply to all Prairie Village property.

CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS PRINCIPAL PROPERTY TAXPAYERS

December 31, 2017 and Ten Years Ago


_		2017 Assessed		% of Total Assessed	2007 Assessed		% of Total Assessed
Taxpayer	Type of Business	Valuation	Rank	Valuation	Valuation	Rank	Valuation
Highwood Realty Limited	Real Estate	\$ -			\$ 17,430,106	1	6.06%
GRI Prairie Village LLC	Real Estate	9,770,703	1	2.67%			
GRI Corinth North LLC	Real Estate	7,968,751	2	2.18%			
Prairie Property LL, LLC	Real Estate						
State Line OPCO LLC	Real Estate	4,776,750	3	1.31%	4,113,650	2	1.43%
Tower Properties Company	Real Estate	3,675,891	4	1.01%			
Prairie Property LL, LLC	Real Estate						
Kansas City Power & Light	Utility				2,885,995	3	1.00%
Prairie Property Kenilworth LLC	Real Estate	2,681,570	5	0.73%			
GRI Corinth South LLC	Real Estate	2,445,252	6	0.67%			
TVO Kenilworth LLC	Real Estate				2,167,175	4	0.75%
CNL Retirement	Adult Living Facility				1,838,873	5	0.64%
MB - 18 LLC	Real Estate	1,616,385	7	0.44%			
Prairie Village Office Center	Real Estate				1,419,850	6	0.49%
HCP MA4 Kansas City KS, LP	Real Estate	1,346,081	8	0.37%			
Meadowbrook Center, LLC	Real Estate				1,173,200	7	0.41%
PV Legacy Investors LLC	Commercial	1,157,501	9	0.32%			
Prairie Property Paddock LLC	Real Estate	1,093,190	10	0.30%			
Corinth Paddock LLC	Real Estate				1,045,281	8	0.36%
Kansas Gas Service	Utility				1,001,641	9	0.35%
Towers Properties Company	Real Estate				987,500	10	0.34%
Total		\$ 36,532,074		10.00%	\$ 34,063,271	- -	11.85%


Source: Johnson County Clerks Office

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS LAST TEN YEARS

December 31, 2017

		Collections Fiscal Year			Total Collections to Date		
Fiscal Year Ended December 31,	Total Tax Levy for Fiscal Year	Amount	Percent of to Tax Levy	Delinquent Tax Collections	Amount	Percentage to Tax Levy	
2008	\$5,316,020	\$5,149,164	96.86%	\$28,134	\$5,177,298	97.4%	
2009	5,217,507	5,229,025	100.22%	36,973	5,265,998	100.9%	
2010	5,324,557	5,149,721	96.72%	82,606	5,232,327	98.3%	
2011	5,492,575	5,244,806	95.49%	54,410	5,299,216	96.5%	
2012	5,499,790	5,434,660	98.82%	34,368	5,469,028	99.4%	
2013	5,556,292	5,445,494	98.01%	49,635	5,495,128	98.9%	
2014	5,690,849	5,490,171	96.47%	45,253	5,535,424	97.3%	
2015	5,972,115	5,629,840	94.27%	39,405	5,669,245	94.9%	
2016	6,331,169	5,931,772	93.69%	86,808	6,018,580	95.1%	
2017	7,055,343	6,383,508	90.48%	32,993	6,416,501	90.9%	






RATIOS OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BT TYPE LAST TEN YEARS

December 31, 2017

		General					Percentage
	Fiscal	Obligation		City	Personal	Debt per	of Personal
-	Year	Bonds	Total	Population	Income	Capita	Income
	2008	\$ 1,205,000	\$ 1,205,000	21,422	\$ 742,850,694	56	0.16%
	2009	10,366,329	10,366,329	21,479	744,827,283	483	1.39%
	2010	8,562,696	8,562,696	21,477	743,717,619	399	1.15%
	2011	10,974,064	10,974,064	21,477	743,717,619	511	1.48%
	2012	9,150,430	9,150,430	21,795	1,060,108,800	420	0.86%
	2013	7,301,798	7,301,798	21,769	1,068,139,523	335	0.68%
	2014	5,418,165	5,418,165	21,892	1,010,315,800	247	0.54%
	2015	4,679,531	4,679,531	21,877	1,034,213,298	214	0.45%
	2016	18,629,312	18,629,312	21,877	1,041,760,863	852	1.79%
	2017	17,434,325	17,434,325	21,805	1,072,893,220	800	1.62%

Source: US Census Bureau and City of Prairie Village Records.



RATIOS OF GENERAL BONDED DEBT OUTSTANDING LAST TEN YEARS

December 31, 2017

							Ratio of		Ratio of
							Net Bonded		Net Bonded
				Gross	Less Debt	Net	Debt to	Net Bonded	Debt to
	Year Ended		Assessed	Bonded	Service	Bonded	Assessed	Debt Per	Actual
-	December 31,	Population	Value	Debt	Fund	Debt	Value	Capita	Value
	2008	21,422	293,504,261	1,205,000	35,735	1,169,265	0.40%	54.58	0.05%
	2009	21,479	287,797,815	10,366,329	20,013	10,346,316	3.59%	481.69	0.42%
	2010	21,447	280,525,269	8,562,696	35,287	8,527,409	3.04%	397.60	0.36%
	2011	21,447	281,618,238	10,974,064	4,442	10,969,622	3.90%	511.48	0.46%
	2012	21,795	282,359,048	9,150,430	3,479	9,146,951	3.24%	419.68	0.38%
	2013	21,769	285,138,926	7,301,797	43,448	7,258,349	2.55%	333.43	0.30%
	2014	21,892	291,970,272	5,418,165	128,884	5,289,281	1.81%	241.61	0.22%
	2015	21,877	306,227,118	4,679,531	81,628	4,597,903	1.50%	210.17	0.18%
	2016	21,877	325,158,372	18,629,312	105,728	18,523,584	5.70%	846.71	0.76%
	2017	21,805	365,342,508	17,434,325	72,058	17,362,267	4.75%	796.25	0.67%

DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING DEBT

December 31, 2017

		Percentage Applicable	Amount Applicable
	Net Debt	to City of	to City of
Name of Government Unit	Obligation	Prairie Village	Prairie Village
Direct Debt:	\$17,434,325	100.00%	\$17,434,325
City of Prairie Village			
Overdenning debt			
Overlapping debt:	- / /		
Johnson County	312,063,100	3.71%	11,577,541
Johnson County Parks and Recreation	1,215,000	3.71%	45,077
Johnson County Community College	7,210,000	3.71%	267,491
Shawnee Mission School (U.S.D. 512)	341,795,000	10.10%	34,521,295
Fire District #2	190,000	40.67%	77,273
Total overlapping debt	662 473 100		46 499 677
Total overlapping debt	662,473,100		46,488,677
Total direct and overlapping debt	\$679,907,425		\$63,923,002
Direct and overlapping debt per capita	2,931.58		
Direct and overlapping debt as a percentage of assessed value	17.50%		
Direct and overlapping debt as a percentage of actual value	2.48%		

⁽¹⁾ Information provided by Johnson County Clerk's Office.

Note: Overlapping governments are those that coincide, at least in part, with the geographic boundaries of the City. This schedule estimates the portion of the overlapping debt of those overlapping governments that is borne by the resident and businesses of the City. This process recognizes that, when considering the City's ability to issue and repay long-term debt, the entire debt burden borne by the residents and businesses should be taken into account. However, this does not imply that every taxpayer is a resident, and therefore responsible for repaying the debt, of each overlapping government.

For debt repaid with property taxes, the percentage of overlapping debt applicable is estimated using taxable assessed property values. Applicable percentages were estimated by determining the portion of another government unit's taxable assessed value that is within the City's boundaries and dividing it by each unit's total taxable assessed value. This approach was also applied to the other debt of the overlapping governmental units.

LEGAL DEBT MARGIN LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	2008	2009	2010
Total assessed valuation of taxable property	\$292,384,855	\$287,052,268	\$280,255,557
Debt limit percent of assessed value	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%
Debt limit	87,715,457	86,115,680	84,076,667
Total net debt applicable to limit	1,205,000	10,366,329	8,562,696
Legal debt limit	86,510,457	75,749,351	75,513,971
Total net debt applicable to the limit as percentage of debt limit	1.37%	12.04%	10.18%

Note: Under State finance law, the City's outstanding general obligation debt should not exceed 30 percent of the total property value.

Source: Assessed valuation from Johnson County Clerk.

2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
\$281,618,238	\$282,359,048	\$285,138,926	\$291,970,272	\$306,227,118	\$325,158,372	\$365,342,508
30.00%	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%
84,485,471	84,707,714	85,541,678	87,591,082	91,868,135	97,547,512	109,602,752
10,974,064	9,150,430	7,301,797	5,418,165	4,679,531	18,629,312	17,434,325
73,511,407	75,557,284	78,239,881	82,172,917	87,188,604	78,918,200	92,168,427
12.99%	10.80%	8.54%	6.19%	5.09%	19.10%	15.91%

DEMOGRAPHICS AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS LAST TEN YEARS

December 31, 2017

Fiscal		School	Unemployment	Per Capital	Personal
Year	Population	Enrollment	Rate	Personal Income	Income
2008	21,422	5,884	4.8%	34,667	742,636,474
2009	21,479	5,833	4.8%	34,667	744,612,493
2010	21,447	5,652	6.8%	34,667	743,503,149
2011	21,447	5,730	5.1%	34,667	743,503,149
2012	21,795	5,567	4.5%	48,640	1,060,108,800
2013	21,769	5,593	4.5%	49,067	1,068,139,523
2014	21,892	5,573	3.3%	46,150	1,010,315,800
2015	21,877	5,644	3.4%	47,274	1,034,213,298
2016	21,877	5,657	3.3%	47,619	1,041,760,863
2017	21,805	5,806	2.9%	49,204	1,072,893,220

⁽¹⁾ Obtained from Johnson County Economic Research Institute and Bureau of Census. Unemployment rates are for Johnson County.

PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS

December 31, 2017 and Ten Years Ago

	Dece	December 31, 2017 December 3						
<u>Employer</u>	Employees	Rank	Percentage of Total Employment	Employees	Rank	Percentage of Total Employment		
USD #512	529	1	2.43%	564	1	2.62%		
Hy-Vee	196	2	0.90%	230	2	1.07%		
Hen House #22				199	3	0.93%		
WireCo WorldGroup Inc	156	3	0.72%	Not Available				
Claridge Court	141	4	0.65%					
Brighton Gardens	110	5	0.50%	140	4	0.65%		
Claridge Court				125	5	0.58%		
City of Prairie Village				110	6	0.51%		
Hen House #22	102	6	0.47%					
Better Homes & Garden Real Estate	100	7	0.46%	Not Available				
Macy's	96	9	0.44%	100	7	0.46%		
Bijin Salon & Day Spa	98	8	0.45%	Not Available				
Nations Holding Company	82	10	0.38%					
Meadowbrook County Club				70	8	0.33%		
Life Care Home Health				50	9	0.23%		
Blue Moose		_		45	_ 10	0.21%		
Total	1,610		7.38%	1,633	=	7.59%		

Source: City of Prairie Village.

FULL-TIME CITY EMPLOYEES BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Function/Program	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
General Government										
City Administrator	-	-	-	-	-	-	i -	-	-	3.9
Legal	-	-	-	-	-		1-1	-	-	0.1
Licensing/Personnel	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	6.0
Finance (Includes IT)	-	-	-	-	-		1-1	1-1	-	2.1
Municipal Court	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-		-	6.1
Planning	-	-	-	-	-	-1	-	1-1	-	3.1
City Governance *	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.35	2.4	2.4	-
Administrative Services *	13.10	12.10	11.60	11.60	11.6	11.7	11.7	11.7	12.7	-
Municipal Court *	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	-
Public Works	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	29.0	29.0
Police	60.0	60.0	63.0	63.0	63.0	63.0	62.0	61.0	61.0	62.0
Parks & Recreation	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8
Total	129.6	128.6	131.1	131.1	131.1	132.0	130.95	130.0	132.0	133.1

Source: City of Prairie Village Budget Document.

^{*} Note: Categories changed to reflect the categories used in the budget document.

OPERATING INDICATORS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM

LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Function/Program Public Safety/Municipal Justice	2017	<u>2016</u>	2015	2014	2013	2012	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	2009	2008
911 Calls Received	7.809	7,610	7.600	7.500	7.000	7 44 4	7 700	7.500	7 000	
DUI Arrests	138	201	7,698 221	7,599 203	7,602	7,414	7,720	7,569	7,806	7,999
Traffic/Parking Complaints	8,049				290	221	249	292	236	228
Accidents	488	8,587	10,201	9,498	9,475	7,971	7,643	8,426	7,099	9,815
Investigations Cases	347	549 356	496 395	405	455	523	539	531	444	585
Total Court Cases Processed	10,347	9,347		365	333	386	437	560	414	417
Total Court Gases Frocessed	10,347	9,347	11,014	12,707	15,419	12,677	13,279	12,597	11,687	13,729
Public Works										
Curb Miles Swept	1,911	1,609	2,272	2,950	3,229	3,101	1,970	1,933	2.106	2,897
Number of Catch Basins Cleaned	2,179	1,209	2,126	3,255	2,131	1,713	1,818	1,557	1,923	2,492
Number of Potholes Patched	562	2,154	2,154	1,336	2,392	1,244	3,951	7,986	2,124	4,660
Streets Slurry Sealed (Square Yards)	95,200	84,800	83,193	92,866	84,094	72,332	69,650	63,513	68,725	64,668
Streets Milled and Overlaid (Linear Feet)	40,762	35,055	34,520	21,648	8,500	14,450	26,500	17,451	12,350	13,482
Sidewalk Replaced (Square Yards)	11,307	19,800	12,027	9,396	9,301	7,806	12,583	10,966	5,713	7,556
Curb and Gutter Replaced (Linear Feet)	46,347	52,500	68,641	27,696	27,722	32,121	49,797	52,925	36,016	33,982
Acres of Lawn Mowed	1,255	1,112	1,145	1,219	1,203	1,187	1,230	1,231	1,160	1,214
Urban Management and Planning										
Building Permits Processed	1,778	1,635	1,613	1,315	1,390	1,313	1,157	1,138	973	1,007
Building Inspections Performed	3,981	3,706	3,234	2,931	3,602	2,622	2,477	2,371	2,041	2,230
Plan Reviews Performed	660	650	560	284	297	272	241	260	235	259
		555	000	201	201	212	2-11	200	200	200
Leisure and Recreation										
Facility Reservations Processed	956	528	1,055	1,021	866	755	855	675	724	1,016
Facility Reservation Revenue	42,592	41,230	47,557	40,747	41,264	41,025	39,983	32,536	35,578	27,557
Pool Memberships Sold	3,959	3,836	3,956	4,108	3,706	4,163	4,119	4,583	4,018	4,192
Pool Revenue	376,189	375,869	348,890	335,478	338,941	397,568	384,098	396,373	365,902	357,444
Tennis Lessons Taught	63	109	105	107	132	136	140	258	192	133
Junior Tennis League Memberships Sold	37	72	55	70	70	72	64	67	68	82
Tennis Program Revenue	7,388	14,657	14,247	13,019	12,209	13,563	12,049	13,514	14,293	16,742

Source: City of Prairie Village

CAPITAL ASSET STATISTICS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Function/Program	2017	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	2009	2008
Police										
Stations	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Public Works										
Miles of streets	116.6	114.6	114.4	114.4	114.4	114.4	114.4	114.4	114.4	114.4
Streetlights	2,106	2,106	2,091	2,091	2,091	2,091	2,091	2,165	2,165	2,165
Parks & Recreation										
Parks	13.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0
Park acreage	64.6	61.6	61.6	61.6	61.6	61.6	61.6	64.0	64.0	64.0
Pools	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Golf courses (private)	-	-	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

Source: City of Prairie Village Budget Document.

