

**COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA
CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE
Monday, October 17, 2005
7:30 p.m.**

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL

III. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

IV. CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed below are considered to be routine by the Governing Body and will be enacted by one motion (Roll Call Vote). There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Council member so requests, in which event the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered in its normal sequence on the regular agenda.

By Staff:

1. Approve Regular Council Meeting Minutes – October 3, 2005
2. Approve disposal of 11 Tactical Ballistic Vests by donation
3. Approve Proclamation for Hunger and Homelessness Week from November 13-20.
4. Approve an agreement with Alphagraphics for production of the 2006 Issues of the City Newsletter and Recreation Brochure.
5. Approve Interlocal agreement for Project 190856 with Johnson County Board of County Commissioners and the City of Overland Park for a maximum of \$552,000 from the County.
6. Approve Engineering Change Order No. 2 on Project 190848: Mission Road – 83rd Street to Somerset Drive for a reduction of \$3721.68 to the agreement with Affinis Corp.
7. Approve Engineering Change Order No. 2 on Project 190841: Mission Road – 71st Street to 75th Street for a reduction of \$687.66 to the agreement with Affinis Corp.

By Committee:

8. Proceed with Project 190851: 2006 Paving Program including the construction of sidewalks except at Roe Circle and those previously exempted and directing the sidewalk along 81st street to be placed adjacent to the curb. (Policy/Services Committee – Oct 3, 2005)
9. Approve the Design Agreement with Affinis Corporation in an amount no to exceed \$63,000 for Project 190851: 2006 Paving Program with funding from the Capital Infrastructure Program. (Policy/Services Committee – Oct 3, 2005)
10. Approve an Interlocal Agreement with the Johnson County Board of County Commissioners for Project 190857: Roe Avenue – 95th Street to 91st Street in an amount not to exceed \$213,000 from the County. (Policy/Services Committee – Oct 3, 2005)
11. Approve Amendments to the City Personnel Policy #211 Entitled “Vacations”. (Policy/Services Committee – Oct 3, 2005)
12. Approve Amendments to City Personnel Policy #215 Entitled “Funeral Leave”. (Policy/Services Committee – Oct 3, 2005)
13. Approve Amendments to City Personnel Policy #022 Entitled “Nepotism”. (Policy/Service Committee – Oct 3, 2005)
14. Approve the Third Amendment to the Police Pension Plan, eliminating automatic cash out distributions. (Policy/Services Committee – Oct 3, 2005)

V. COMMITTEE REPORTS

Policy/Services Committee – Steve Noll

POL2005-20 Consider Traffic Engineer Consultant

POL2005-22 Consider Storm Drainage Engineer Consultant

Legislative/Finance Committee – Ruth Hopkins

LEG2005-30 Selection of Consultant for Compensation and Benefits Study

VI. OLD BUSINESS

VII. NEW BUSINESS

VIII. ANNOUNCEMENTS

IX. ADJOURNMENT

If any individual requires special accommodations -- for example, qualified interpreter, large print, reader, hearing assistance -- in order to attend the meeting, please notify the City Clerk at 381-6464, Extension 4616, no later than 48 hours prior to the beginning of the meeting.

If you are unable to attend this meeting, comments may be received by e-mail at cityclerk@PVKANSAS.COM

**CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE
October 17, 2005
-Minutes-**

The City Council of Prairie Village, Kansas, met in regular session on Monday, October 17, 2005, at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building.

ROLL CALL

Mayor Ron Shaffer called the meeting to order with the following Council members responding to roll call: Al Herrera, Bill Griffith, Ruth Hopkins, Steve Noll, Greg Colston, Andrew Wang, Laura Wassmer, Pat Daniels, Kay Wolf, Diana Ewy Sharp and David Belz.

Also present were: Barbara Vernon, City Administrator; Charles Wetzler, City Attorney; Charles Grover, Chief of Police; Bob Pryzby, Director of Public Works; Doug Luther, Assistant City Administrator; Josh Farrar, Assistant to the City Administrator; Tom Trienens, Manager of Engineering Services; Captain Wes Jordan, Captain Tim Schwartzkopf and Joyce Hagen Mundy, City Clerk.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Stan Plessner, 7935 Canterbury, addressed the Council thanking the City for the street repair on Canterbury. He stated although it was a long two month process, the work was excellent and the contractor was cooperative and helpful. Mr. Plessner presented to the City Clerk a petition representing 14 of the 15 households on the east side of Canterbury requesting the construction of a sidewalk. He noted the number of children in the neighborhood continues to increase, making the need for a sidewalk greater.

Kate Michaelis, 5800 Foxridge, Mission, Kansas, urged the City Council to give careful consideration to any request from the School District for financial assistance. She expressed her concern with the actions of the state toward the funding of education and stressed the importance of maintaining a quality education system to maintaining a quality and growing city.

Bill Cunningham, representing The Larkin Group, addressed the Council regarding recent comments regarding the quality of work performed by the Larkin Group and the subsequent recommendation not to continue the long-standing agreement with The Larkin Group to serve as the City's Storm Drainage Consultant.

Mr. Cunningham conceded his staff did make errors and make Tom Trienen's job more difficult, especially in the area of easements. There was a failure to verify information; however, the statements and inferences that his firm does not care about the work they do for Prairie Village is not correct. Mr. Cunningham stated he reviewed all records and e-mails from the City and responded to them. He stated not receiving the recommendation of the Policy/Services Committee was an eye-opener for them and they are taking the following actions to improve their service.

They will be outsourcing easement work and have quality checks done in addition to internal audits. They are making changes in the project managers assigned to City projects to ensure quality. Mr. Cunningham stated he has enjoyed working with Prairie Village for the last 28 years and respects the city's progressiveness in dealing with storm drainage issues. He stated regardless of the award of the consultant agreement, he and his staff will continue to honor their commitment to Prairie Village for the current projects within the City.

Bill Severns, 7535 Fontana, addressed the Council as a resident and representative of The Larkin Group. He stated the work of a consultant is primarily that of a relationship. The Larkin Group and the City of Prairie Village have had that relationship for the past 50 years. The key to good relationships is communication and making adjustments. He stressed The Larkin Group's commitment to its relationship with the City of Prairie Village.

Mayor Shaffer acknowledged the presence of two Boy Scouts from Troop #282 attending the meeting.

CONSENT AGENDA

Bill Griffith requested item #8 be removed from the Consent Agenda. Kay Wolf moved approval of the Consent Agenda for Monday, October 17, 2005 as amended:

1. Approve Regular Council Meeting Minutes – October 3, 2005
2. Approve the disposal of 11 Tactical Ballistic Vests by donation

3. Adopt a proclamation declaring the week of November 13 – 20, 2005 as Hunger and Homelessness Week
4. Approve an agreement with Alphagraphics, Inc. for the production of the 2006 issues of the City Newsletter and Recreation brochure.
5. Approve an Interlocal Agreement for Project 190856: 2005 CARS – 95th Street Nall to Mission Road with Johnson County Board of County Commissioners and the City of Prairie Village with the maximum county share of \$552,000.
6. Approve Engineering Change Order No. 2 with the Affinis Corporation on Project 190848: Mission Road – 83rd Street to Somerset Drive for a reduction of \$3721.68, bringing the final contract cost to \$37,248.32.
7. Approve Engineering Change Order No. 2 with the Affinis Corporation on Project 190841: Mission Road – 71st Street to 75th Street for a decrease of \$687.66 bringing the final contract cost to \$56,282.34.
8. Removed
9. Approve the Design Agreement with Affinis Corporation in an amount no to exceed \$63,000 for Project 190851: 2006 Paving Program with funding from the Capital Infrastructure Program.
10. Approve an Interlocal Agreement with the Johnson County Board of County Commissioners for Project 190857: Roe Avenue – 95th Street to 91st Street in an amount not to exceed \$213,000 from the County.
11. Approve Amendments to the City’s Personnel Policy #211 Entitled “Vacations”.
12. Approve Amendments to the City’s Personnel Policy #215 Entitled “Funeral Leave”.
13. Approve Amendments to the City’s Personnel Policy #022 Entitled “Nepotism”.
14. Approve the Third Amendment to the Police Pension Plan, eliminating automatic cash out distributions

A roll call vote was taken with the following members voting “aye”: Herrera, Griffith, Hopkins, Noll, Colston, Wang, Wassmer, Daniels, Wolf, Ewy Sharp (nay on #6 & #7), and Belz.

Bill Griffith noted in his ward the sidewalk was removed from 67th Terrace because of opposition; however, he feels it should have been constructed. He opposes the growing mentality of residents that there should not be sidewalks on their side of the street. The Council was elected to look out for the interests of all community and the Council has determined by policy that sidewalks are in the best interest of the community.

Al Herrera noted the sidewalks proposed for Roe Circle would not connect to anything and would have to be constructed 36’ from the residents’ front doors.

Bob Pryzby noted this project includes several streets which were carried over from the 2005 program with the Council taking action of those streets last year therefore, they were not considered again.

Diana Ewy Sharp asked why the City Council could not follow its own policy.

Pat Daniels asked if there was any way to reconsider the 2005 streets, noting he has regretted his previous vote on sidewalks for those streets and asked what needed to be done to reconsider. Mayor Shaffer stated the residents would need to be notified again.

Steve Noll stated residents have the option to request the construction of sidewalks at any time. Bill Griffith stated the petition process implies that only those residents living on the street are impacted by the sidewalks or lack thereof. He reminded the Council they were elected to look out for the interests of all residents.

Steve Noll moved the Council proceed with Project 190851: 2006 Paving Program including the construction of sidewalks except at Roe Circle and those previously exempted and directing the sidewalk along 81st Street to be placed adjacent to the curb. The motion was seconded by Kay Wolf.

The following votes were cast: “aye” Herrera, Noll, Colston, Wang, Wolf and Belz; and “nay” Griffith, Hopkins, Daniels, Wassmer and Ewy Sharp. The Mayor declared the motion passed.

Diana Ewy Sharp requested the Council discuss and review the sidewalk policy as it is not being followed. Mayor Shaffer stated the Public Works Director follows the ordinance and policy as written. Kay Wolf noted earlier discussion of the policy was delayed for input from the Village Vision process.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Policy/Services Committee

POL2005-20 Consider Traffic Engineer Consultant

The Policy/Services Committee interviewed two firms to serve as the City’s Traffic Engineer Consultant, Affinis Corporation and TranSystems. The primary function of the Traffic Engineer Consultant is the completion of the 5-year traffic study and other special studies or projects as assigned which are paid on an hourly basis. Both firms were determined to be qualified. The committee requested TranSystems submit a copy of their fee structure. The proposed fees submitted by TranSystems were higher than those being paid to existing consultants with the City. The committee directed Mr. Pryzby to request fees from the Affinis Corporation and advise TranSystems that fees were being requested from Affinis and offer them the opportunity to resubmit their fees.

On October 3rd, the committee reviewed the fee proposals submitted by both firms. Although both firms possessed the desired qualifications, the committee expressed concern with the limited number of traffic engineering staff at Affinis and recommended the City award the City Traffic Engineer Consultant agreement to TranSystems.

On behalf of the Policy/Services Committee, Steve Noll moved the City Council enter into an agreement with TranSystems to serve as the City's Traffic engineer for a three year period. The motion was seconded by Greg Colston.

Bill Griffith asked why there were two fee schedules submitted by TranSystems. Mr. Noll responded the second submittal was presented based on a proposed project to provide a better benchmark of true fees and to allow for a more accurate comparison, whereas the first submittal merely listed the rate charges for positions without identifying what positions would be involved on a project.

Bob Pryzby reviewed the policy for the selection of consultants; requiring first advertising, selecting candidates for interviews, interviewing, determining if qualified and finally asking for rate schedules. Both firms were determined to be qualified and in order to get a more accurate comparison of costs, the fee schedule requested was based on a specific project identified. Greg Colston confirmed the first submittal from TranSystems was too general to do an accurate reflection of costs.

The motion was voted on and passed unanimously.

POL2005-22 Consider Storm Drainage Engineer Consultant

The Policy/Services Committee interviewed URS, Burns & McDonald and The Larkin Group to serve as the City's Storm Drainage Engineer Consultant. All three firms were determined to be qualified and fee schedules were requested. On October 3rd, the Policy/Services Committee considered the three firms. The committee felt Burns & McDonald were highly qualified, but expressed concerns that the City would serve as a training ground for new employees because of the size of City projects in relation to other projects overseen by Burns & McDonald. The committee was impressed with both the presentations of Burns & McDonald and The Larkin Group. However, concern was expressed with recent quality of work performed by Larkin employees on projects. It was noted that although going with the lowest fees is not always the best action to take, the URS group did present some interesting environmental solutions to drainage issues.

Steve Noll noted this consultant was more involved in on-going capital improvement projects throughout the year. It was confirmed the agreement could be terminated prior to three years with due cause.

On behalf of the Policy/Services Committee, Steve Noll moved the City Council enter into an agreement with URS to serve as the City's Storm Drainage Engineer Consultant for a three-year period. The motion was seconded by Greg Colston.

Al Herrera stated he voted against the committee recommendations and felt the presentation by URS was the least impressive of the three interviews. Mr. Herrera stressed the value of the 50-year relationship with The Larkin Group, its knowledge of the city and history with the City. He acknowledged some working relationship problems have occurred during the past year but he does not feel they are significant enough to end the relationship established. Mr. Herrera noted the learning curve that will be required of URS to become effective with the City and the time required for them to provide the same services will be greater than that of Larkin staff. He feels it is a mistake to terminate the city's relationship with the Larkin Group and strongly opposes the motion.

David Belz stated he voted for URS because of the communication from Mr. Trienens that The Larkin Group was not being responsive to the City. He noted that since that meeting he has spoken with Mr. Pryzby and Bill Severns to express those opinions and after those discussions feels it would be crazy for the City not to continue with The Larkin Group and would be voting against the motion.

Diana Ewy Sharp confirmed with the city attorney that the city is not required to accept the low bid. Mr. Wetzler stated the basis for selection is the qualifications of the consultant. Mrs. Ewy Sharp stated she did not feel it would be a good decision to leave a company with 50 years history with the City.

Andrew Wang asked if there was a statutory requirement for a three-year agreement as opposed to one year. Mr. Pryzby responded the City has 3 basic agreements for consultants in the area of Storm Drainage, Traffic Engineering and City Engineer. Rather than to interview for these each year, approximately nine years ago the City went to three-year staggered agreements. He stated other consulting agreements with the City are for shorter terms. Mr. Wetzler further advised the Council that by statute the Council can not enter into more than a one-year agreement without an escape clause. It can not take action to bind future Councils. Therefore, all agreements contain standards for termination of an agreement.

Mr. Wang asked if there would be a negative impact if no action were taken at this time. Mr. Pryzby responded the delay would negatively impact the preliminary design of 2006 projects and design of on-going projects. Mr. Pryzby pointed out to the Council that it was not voting on an agreement, but on the selection of a consultant. Each project done by the consultant has its own agreement, with an escape clause, that must be approved by the Council.

Pat Daniels stated he feels long term relationships are important and acknowledged there are ups and downs in any relationship. However, from time to time, he feels it is beneficial to seek fresh alternatives. He does not see this as a harmful but as a constructive step in this particular case. Mr. Daniels would like to have the selection be for a one-year term. He is not supportive of the recommendation of URS but would like to have a broader search conducted.

Ruth Hopkins noted the minutes reflected an abstention and asked the reason behind the abstention, if there was a conflict of interest with any of the firms. Mr. Daniels stated he had abstained because he felt more candidates should be considered.

Greg Colston stated he originally supported The Larkin Group, but changed his mind based on comments made by Tom Trienens. He favored presentation made by The Larkin Group.

Steve Noll advised the Council that as chair of the committee he does not vote except in the case of a tie vote. In this instance the vote was 2 in favor of URS, 1 opposed and an abstention. This should not be perceived as a strong recommendation from the committee. For the past decade that he has chaired the Policy/Services Committee, the work completed by The Larkin Group has been good and he supports their continuation as the City's Storm Drainage Consultant. He will be voting in opposition to the motion on the floor.

Bill Griffith stated he has been in Larkin's situation, not on the winning side of a proposal, and he does not feel the Council should go against the recommendation merely because of last minute comments. He asked if the Council decided to oppose all three firms could the firms be asked to make a presentation before the entire Council. He does not feel he can vote without hearing from all the firms.

Kay Wolf confirmed that URS had been informed they were the recommended firm. Mrs. Wolf noted in the past the City has had minimal response to requests for proposal particularly in situations where the incumbent had a long-standing relationship with the City. Mr. Pryzby responded that eight firms were specifically sent requests for proposal in addition to the legal advertisement for proposals and responses were only received from four firms. The committee chose to interview only the top three. Mrs. Wolf applauded The Larkin Group for appearing before the Council but reports from staff do not indicate the problems have been satisfactorily addressed. Mr. Pryzby responded the staff has had conversations and taken steps to communicate problems. Some of the issues are new and the problems enumerated have involved all of the city's consultants – they are not unique to The Larkin Group.

Al Herrera noted the Council's past vote to overturn the recommendation of the committee in the awarding of the tree trimming and custodial agreements. He stated he was present for all three interviews of an hour each and was impressed only with that of The Larkin Group and Burns & McDonald. He stated he would expect a firm with a long-standing relationship to come out to maintain that relationship. Mr. Herrera expressed frustration with committee members voting for URS after stating they were not impressed with them, it does not make sense. He feels it would be a major mistake to ask the three firms to repeat their presentations to the entire Council.

Laura Wassmer stated that given the dissention seen on the Council, she is not comfortable making a decision and would like to be more aware of the facts.

Pat Daniels stated he has friends that have worked with all three firms. He was not impressed with the interviews and does not have anything against The Larkin Group, but feels it is time for a fresh look.

Diana Ewy Sharp confirmed all firms met the qualifications. She does not feel a price can be placed on 50 years of experience. The Larkin Group has performed leaps and bounds on city drainage problems. The issues with staff need to be worked out with staff. She does not see any compelling reason to change consultants.

David Belz stated he voted for URS in the hope that it would do as it did and provide a wake-up call to The Larkin Group who may have become complacent. After

talking with Mr. Pryzby he feels The Larkin Group has gotten the message and he does not want to terminate their relationship with the City.

Mr. Pryzby responded staff has followed the City policy, requests for proposal were mailed and published, firms were selected for interviews, interviews were conducted and firms were identified as meeting the required qualifications. Fee Schedules were requested from each firm. The City is not required to select the low bid, but to select a qualified firm. He feels taking everyone through the process again would be a disservice to all of the companies.

Mayor Shaffer called for the vote on the motion on the floor to select URS as the City's Storm Drainage Consultant. The motion failed by a vote of 10 to 1 with Colston voting "aye".

Diana Ewy Sharp moved the City enter into an agreement with The Larkin Group to serve as the City's Storm Drainage Engineer Consultant for a three-year period. The motion was seconded by Al Herrera.

Ruth Hopkins stated she feels there are sufficient unanswered questions to merit further study by the entire City Council. Mr. Griffith and Ms Wassmer agreed.

Al Herrera expressed frustration in the lack of respect for the time and energy put into interviewing and discussing this question by the Policy/Services and questioned why everything was not taken directly to the Council Committee of the Whole. He stated the minutes of all the meetings and the packet with background information is available to all Council members. If Council members have questions or concerns, they can question any committee member or staff member. He does not feel the entire process should be, or needs to be, repeated and to do so would be a serious error on the part of the Council.

Laura Wassmer stated she does not feel the entire process needs to be repeated, she simply wants the basic facts.

Diana Ewy Sharp stated the basic facts have been presented in the packet information and minutes. She stressed that damage that will be done to the city's capital improvement program if this selection is delayed. She had questions and investigated them and learned that the issue is not one of performance or qualifications but of errors made and not responded to as quickly as desired. This is not a compelling reason to terminate a relationship.

Bob Pryzby confirmed delay will impact the 2006 city programs. He repeated prior to the interviews staff prepared a list of problems experienced with all city consultants so they could be addressed in the interview process. The issues are communication issues and involve all consultants.

Pat Daniels moved to amend the motion to award only a one-year agreement. The motion was seconded by Steve Noll. Diana Ewy Sharp stated the City Attorney has already stated any agreement approved is only for a one-year period. The amendment to the motion was voted on with the following votes cast: 5 ayes, 5 nays and 1 abstention. Mayor Shaffer declared the amendment to the motion failed.

Ruth Hopkins stated comments made by staff indicate the problems have not been resolved and she is not comfortable going against a committee recommendation.

Bill Griffith stated if the recommendation is defeated and the city takes a 180, he can assure the Council of even fewer responses submitted on requests for proposal.

Bob Pryzby stated the issues with The Larkin Group are more clerical representing a lack of quality assurance, not design issues. He noted the City had bigger issues with Affinis Corporation. He does not have a basis to not accept the proposal of URS or of The Larkin Group.

Tom Trienens confirmed the problems experienced were not only with Larkin and that they were of the clerical nature – not checking work for accuracy, requiring him to spend a large amount of time correcting work. He noted the only firm to mention quality assurance in their presentation was Burns & McDonald.

Mayor Shaffer called for a vote on the original motion awarding the agreement for Storm Drainage Consultant to The Larkin Group for a three-year term. The following votes were cast: “aye” Herrera, Noll, Wang, Ewy Sharp and Belz; “nay” Griffith, Hopkins, Colston, Wassmer, Daniels and Wolf. The motion was defeated.

Mayor Shaffer directed Mr. Pryzby to supply additional information to the Council on the questions raised.

Laura Wassmer left.

Legislative/Finance Committee

LEG2005-30 Selection of Consultant for Compensation and Benefits Study

Bill Griffith noted a professional conflict of interest and left the room.

Ruth Hopkins reported the Legislative/Finance Committee interviewed the firms of FBD Consulting, Hay Group and Insight Management Consultants to conduct an independent review and analysis of the City's compensation and benefit program.

On behalf of the Legislative/Finance Committee, Ruth Hopkins moved the City Council approve an agreement with FBD to prepare a compensation and benefit study for the City at a cost of \$25,460 with an option for the Council to add the development of a performance management program at a cost of \$3,000 to \$5,000 if the review indicates improvement in the system is needed, and authorize the transfer of \$25,460 from the Contingency Fund to Human Resources Account 1-5-32-5160. The motion was seconded by Kay Wolf and passed by a vote of 9 to 1 with Ewy Sharp voting "nay" with Bill Griffith abstaining.

OLD BUSINESS

Village Voice

David Belz confirmed the next issue of the Village Voice will include an advertisement/promotion of the Prairie Village Gift Cards. Doug Luther advised the Council the gift cards are now available for purchase on-line through the city's website.

Pat Daniels confirmed the next issue of the Village Voice will also include information on the results of the Vision Village Process. Mr. Luther responded in addition to information in the Village Voice, the information is available on the city's website.

Open Ignition Ordinance

David Belz asked the status of the open ignition ordinance and its enforcement. Chief Grover stated if a car is running, the patrol officer will check to see if it is locked and if not, will go to the resident's door and advise them of the violation and give them an informational pamphlet. A ticket will not be issued on the first violation. Mr. Belz suggested the possibility of leaving a flyer on the window of those cars abiding by the ordinance thanking them for their compliance.

Mission Road

Mayor Shaffer confirmed the Mission Road School Speed Zones were totally operational.

Prairie Park

Mayor Shaffer asked about the sidewalk along the park/fountain. Mr. Pryzby noted the concrete was not acceptable and therefore, it is being removed and replaced by the contractor.

NEW BUSINESS

School Safety Question

Diana Ewy Sharp asked for additional information on the e-mail expressing concern with the school crossing at Somerset and Mission Road. Chief Grover responded he's had several communications with Mrs. Sada beginning after the closing of Somerset Elementary School. He is investigating other cities' policies on school crossing guards and will be coming back to the Council with a proposed policy to address this issue.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Committee meetings scheduled for the next two weeks include:

Smoke Free Task Force	10/18/2005	7:00 p.m.
Prairie Village Arts Council	10/19/2005	6:00 p.m.
Environmental/Recycle Committee	10/26/2005	7:00 p.m.
Policy/Services Committee	11/07/2005	6:00 p.m.
Legislative/Finance Committee	11/07/2005	5:30 p.m.
Council	11/07/2005	7:30 p.m.

The Prairie Village Arts Council is pleased to feature an exhibit of Ted Defeo's Underwater Photography in the R.G. Endres Gallery during the month of October. In November the Mid-America Pastel Society will be featured in the R. G. Endres Gallery.

Flu Shots will be given November 2, 2005 7-9 a.m. in the Public Works Conference Room or 1-3 p.m. in the Multi- Purpose Room at City Hall.

Shawnee Mission East will be hosting its annual College Clinic on Wednesday, October 19th beginning at 6:30 p.m. More than 200 colleges will be represented. The municipal campus will be used for parking.

The City has completed another successful Peanut Butter Drive. We thank all the schools, churches and businesses for their participation. A full report will be included in your next packet.

Mayor Shaffer reminded Council members of the upcoming Legislative Regional Suppers and directed them to inform staff if they planned to attend.

The Mayor's Holiday Tree Lighting ceremony will be held on Monday, November 28th at 6:30 p.m.

The 50th Anniversary books, **Prairie Village Our Story** are being sold to the public.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned
at 9:00 p.m.

Joyce Hagen Mundy
City Clerk