

Members of the Governing Body will participate by video call-in only due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The public will be able to view the meeting at <https://www.facebook.com/CityofPrairieVillage>

**COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA
CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE
Council Chambers
Monday, June 15, 2020
6:00 PM**

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

IV. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

V. PRESENTATIONS

Presentation of 2019 audit - Berberich, Trahan & Company, P.A.
Stacey Hammond and Emily Sheldon

VI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

All comments must be submitted in writing to cityclerk@pvkansas.com prior to 3 p.m. on June 15 to be shared with Councilmembers prior to the meeting.

VII. CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed below are considered to be routine by the Governing Body and will be enacted by one motion (Roll Call Vote). There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Council member so requests, in which event the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered in its normal sequence on the regular agenda.

By Staff

1. Approval of regular City Council meeting minutes - June 1, 2020
2. Approval of Expenditure Ordinance #2991
3. Approval of Ordinance #2420 regarding solicitors
4. Approval of first addendum to the contract for planning advisory services

VIII. COMMITTEE REPORTS

Planning Commission

PC2020-102: Consider appeal of Planning Commission decision regarding exception to 19.44.025 of the City's Zoning Regulations at 7052 Cedar St.
Jamie Robichaud

IX. **MAYOR'S REPORT**

X. **STAFF REPORTS**

XI. **OLD BUSINESS**

XII. **NEW BUSINESS**

COU2020-28 Consider cancellation of 2020 JazzFest event
Meghan Buum/JD Kinney

COU2020-29 Consider approval of joint statement from the Prairie Village
Governing Body regarding racial justice
Sheila Myers

XIII. **COUNCIL COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE** (Council President presiding)

COU2020-27 Consider an amendment to Chapter II (Animal Ordinance) of the
Municipal Code and Section 19.36.005 (E.) of Zoning Regulations to
allow for residents to keep chickens
David Waters

2021 Budget -

- General Fund
- Department budgets
- Mill Levy
- Personnel services
- Contract services
- Capital outlay
- Equipment reserve
- Solid waste fund
 - Compost/glass pilot program


Capital infrastructure program budget:

- Infrastructure condition
- Park funding
- Drainage funding
- Street funding
- Building funding
- Sidewalk and curb funding

Wes Jordan and Prairie Village Staff

XIV. **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

XV. **ADJOURNMENT**



ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT

Council Meeting Date: June 15, 2020


Presentation of 2019 Audit - Berberich, Trahan & Company, P.A.

Attached please find the Draft Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) letter to the Mayor and City Council.

ATTACHMENTS:

- **Prairie Village Draft SAS Letter**
-

Prepared by:
Lisa Santa Maria
Finance Director
Date: June 9, 2020



Certified Public Accountants

CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

Report to the Honorable Mayor and City Council
[Date of Issuance of Communication]



Certified Public Accountants

[Date of issuance of communication]

To the Honorable Mayor and City Council of the
City of Prairie Village, Kansas

We are pleased to present this report related to our audit of the financial statements of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas (the City) for the year ended December 31, 2019. This report summarizes certain matters required by professional standards to be communicated to you in your oversight responsibility for the City's financial reporting process.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Honorable Mayor, City Council and management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. It will be our pleasure to respond to any questions you have about this report. We appreciate the opportunity to continue to be of service to the City.

[FIRM SIGNATURE]

4301 SW Huntoon St. Topeka, KS 66604 | t:785.234.3427 | toll-free: 800.530.5526 | f: 785.233.1768 | w: btandcccpa.com

An Independently Owned Member, RSM US Alliance

RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International.

CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

Report to the Honorable Mayor and City Council
[Date of Issuance of Communication]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Required Communications	
Our Responsibilities with Regard to the Financial Statement Audit	1
Overview of the Planned Scope and Timing of the Financial Statement Audit	1
Accounting Policies and Practices	1-2
Audit Adjustments	2
Disagreements with Management	2
Consultations with Other Accountants	2
Significant Issues Discussed with Management	2
Significant Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit	2
Certain Written Communications Between Management and Our Firm	2
Summary of Significant Accounting Estimates	3-4
Representation Letter	5-13

Required Communications

Generally accepted auditing standards (AU-C 260, *The Auditor's Communication with Those Charged with Governance*) require the auditor to promote effective two-way communication between the auditor and those charged with governance. Consistent with this requirement, the following summarizes our responsibilities regarding the financial statement audit as well as observations arising from our audit that are significant and relevant to your responsibility to oversee the financial reporting process.

Area	Comments
Our Responsibilities with Regard to the Financial Statement Audit	Our responsibilities under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide have been described to you in our arrangement letter dated December 5, 2019.
Overview of the Planned Scope and Timing of the Financial Statement Audit	We have issued a separate communication regarding the planned scope and timing of our audit and have discussed with you our identification of and planned audit response to significant risks of material misstatement.
Accounting Policies and Practices	Preferability of Accounting Policies and Practices Under generally accepted accounting principles, in certain circumstances, management may select among alternative accounting practices. We did not discuss with management any alternative treatments within generally accepted accounting principles for accounting policies and practices related to material items during the current audit period. Adoption of, or Change in, Accounting Policies Management has the ultimate responsibility for the appropriateness of the accounting policies used by the City. The City did not adopt any significant new accounting policies nor have there been any changes in existing significant accounting policies during the current period. Significant or Unusual Transactions We did not identify any significant or unusual transactions or significant accounting policies in controversial or emerging areas for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

Area	Comments
	<p>Management’s Judgments and Accounting Estimates</p> <p>Accounting estimates are an integral part of the preparation of financial statements and are based upon management’s current judgment. The process used by management encompasses their knowledge and experience about past and current events and certain assumptions about future events. You may wish to monitor throughout the year the process used to determine and record these accounting estimates. Summary information about the process used by management in formulating particularly sensitive accounting estimates and about our conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates is in the attached “Summary of Significant Accounting Estimates.”</p>
Audit Adjustments	<p>Audit adjustments proposed by us and recorded by the City are summarized in the attached representation letter.</p>
Disagreements with Management	<p>We encountered no disagreements with management over the application of significant accounting principles, the basis for management’s judgments on any significant matters, the scope of the audit, or significant disclosures to be included in the financial statements.</p>
Consultations with Other Accountants	<p>We are not aware of any consultations management had with other accountants about accounting or auditing matters.</p>
Significant Issues Discussed with Management	<p>No significant issues arising from the audit were discussed with or the subject of correspondence with management.</p>
Significant Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit	<p>We did not encounter any significant difficulties in dealing with management during the audit.</p>
Certain Written Communications Between Management and Our Firm	<p>Copies of certain written communications between our firm and the management of the City, including the representation letter provided to us by management, are attached.</p>

City of Prairie Village, Kansas

Summary of Significant Accounting Estimates

Year Ended December 31, 2019

The following describes the significant accounting estimates reflected in the City's December 31, 2019 financial statements:

Estimate	Accounting Policy	Management's Estimation Process	Basis for Our Conclusions on Reasonableness of Estimate
Total OPEB Liability - Health Insurance and KPERS Disability Benefits and Life Insurance	The total OPEB liabilities are computed by independent actuarial firms. The disclosures are based upon numerous assumptions and estimates, including the expected rate of investment return, the interest rate used to determine the present value, and medical care cost trend rates.	Management obtains and reviews the calculations prepared by the actuarial firms. The rates of return are based on historical and general market data.	Review of management's analysis resulted in our conclusion that the estimate appears reasonable.
Net Pension Liability - Police Department Retirement Plan	The net pension liability is computed by an independent actuarial firm. The disclosure is based upon numerous assumptions and estimates, including the expected rate of investment return and the interest rate used to determine the present value.	Management obtains and reviews the calculations prepared by the actuarial firm. The rate of return is based on historical and general market data.	Review of management's analysis resulted in our conclusion that the estimate appears reasonable.
Net Pension Liability - KPERS	The net pension liability is computed by an independent actuarial firm hired by KPERS.	Management of the City obtained and reviewed the Schedule of Employer and Non-employer Allocations and Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer and Non-employer as of June 30, 2019 that were audited by other auditors. Management compared their employer contributions as shown on these schedules to the City's actual contributions and	Review of management's analysis resulted in our conclusion that the estimate appears reasonable.

		recalculated its allocated percentage and its share of the collective net pension liability.	
Fair Value of Investments	The fair value of investments is computed by the custodians of the City's investments.	Management of the City obtained and reviewed the year-end valuations prepared by the custodians.	Review of the investment information prepared by the custodians resulted in our conclusion that the estimates appear reasonable.

DRAFT

BT&Co., P.A.
4301 SW Huntoon Street
Topeka, Kansas 66604-1659

This representation letter is provided in connection with your audit of the basic financial statements of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas (the City) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2019 for the purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP).

We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, as of the date of the auditors' report, the following representations made to you during your audit.

Financial Statements

1. We have fulfilled our responsibilities, as set out in the terms of the audit arrangement letter dated December 5, 2019 for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements referred to above in accordance with U.S. GAAP.
2. We have identified for you all of our funds and governmental functions.
3. We have properly classified all funds and activities.
4. We have properly determined and reported the major governmental funds based on the required quantitative criteria. We have determined the Bond and Interest Fund to be major for public interest reasons. We believe that all judgmentally determined major funds are particularly important to the financial statement users.
5. We are responsible for compliance with laws and regulations applicable to the City including adopting, approving, and amending budgets.
6. We have identified and disclosed to you all laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts including legal and contractual provisions for reporting specific activities in separate funds.
7. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.
8. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud.

9. Significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable and reflect our judgment based on our knowledge and experience about past and current events, and our assumptions about conditions we expect to exist and courses of action we expect to take.
10. Related-party transactions, including those with other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the City are such that exclusion would cause the City's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete, and interfund transactions, including interfund accounts and advances receivable and payable, sale and purchase transactions, interfund transfers, long-term loans, leasing arrangements, and guarantees, have been recorded in accordance with the economic substance of the transaction and appropriately accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the requirements of U.S. GAAP.
11. All events subsequent to the date of the financial statements and for which U.S. GAAP requires adjustment or disclosure have been adjusted or disclosed.
12. The effects of all known actual or possible litigation and claims have been accounted for and disclosed in accordance with U.S. GAAP.
13. The following have been properly recorded and/or disclosed in the financial statements:
 - a. Net positions and fund balance classifications.
 - b. Liens or encumbrances on assets or revenues or any assets or revenues which were pledged as collateral for any liability or which were subordinated in any way.
 - c. The fair value of investments.
 - d. Amounts of contractual obligations for construction and purchase of real property or equipment not included in the liabilities or encumbrances recorded on the books.
 - e. Debt issue provisions.
 - f. All significant estimates and material concentrations known to management which are required to be disclosed.
 - g. Risk financing activities.
 - h. Deposits and investment securities categories of risk.
 - i. The effect on the financial statements of standards which has been issued, but which we have not yet adopted.
 - j. Arrangements with financial institutions involving restrictions on cash balances.
14. We have no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets or liabilities. In that regard:
 - a. The City has no significant amounts of idle property and equipment.
 - b. The City has no plans or intentions to discontinue the operations of any activities or programs or to discontinue any significant operations.

15. We are responsible for making the accounting estimates included in the financial statements. Those estimates reflect our judgment based on our knowledge and experience about past and current events and our assumptions about conditions we expect to exist and courses of action we expect to take. In that regard, adequate provisions have been made:
 - a. To reduce receivables to their estimated net collectable amounts.
 - b. For pension obligations, post-retirement benefits other than pensions, and deferred compensation agreements attributable to employee services rendered through December 31, 2019.
16. There are no:
 - a. Material transactions that have not been properly recorded in the accounting records underlying the financial statements.
 - b. Violations or possible violations of laws or regulations whose effects should be considered for disclosure in the financial statements or as a basis for recording a loss contingency. In that regard, we specifically represent that we have not been designated as, or alleged to be, a "potentially responsible party" by the Environmental Protection Agency in connection with any environmental contamination.
 - c. Other material liabilities or gain or loss contingencies that are required to be accrued or disclosed.
 - d. Guarantees, whether written or oral, under which the City is contingently liable.
 - e. Lines of credit or similar arrangements.
 - f. Agreements to repurchase assets previously sold.
 - g. Security agreements in effect under the Uniform Commercial Code.
 - h. Liabilities which are subordinated in any way to any other actual or possible liabilities.
 - i. Debt issue repurchase options or agreements, or sinking fund debt repurchase ordinance requirements.
 - j. Leases and material amounts of rental obligations under long-term leases.
 - k. Authorized but unissued bonds or notes.
 - l. Derivative financial instruments.
 - m. Special and extraordinary items.
 - n. Arbitrage rebate liabilities.
 - o. Impairments of capital assets.
17. We have no direct or indirect, legal or moral obligation for any debt of any organization, public or private, or to special assessment bond holders that is not disclosed in the financial statements.
18. The City has satisfactory title to all owned assets.

19. We have complied with all aspects of contractual agreements that would have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of noncompliance. In connection therewith, we specifically represent that we are responsible for determining that we are not subject to the requirements of the Single Audit Act and Uniform Guidance, because we have not received, expended or otherwise been the beneficiary of the required amount of federal awards during the period of this audit.
20. Net positions (net investment in capital assets; restricted; and unrestricted) and fund balances are properly classified and, when applicable, approved.
21. Expenses or expenditures have been appropriately classified in or allocated to functions and programs in the statement of activities and allocations have been made on a reasonable basis.
22. Revenues have been appropriately classified in the statement of activities within program revenues and, general revenues.
23. Capital assets, including infrastructure assets, are properly capitalized, reported and depreciated.
24. We agree with the findings of specialists in evaluating the other postemployment benefit liabilities and pension liabilities and have adequately considered the qualifications of the specialists in determining the amounts and disclosures used in the financial statements and underlying accounting records. We did not give or cause any instructions to be given to specialists with respect to the values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and we are not otherwise aware of any matters that have had an impact on the independence or objectivity of the specialists.
25. We have no knowledge of any uncorrected misstatements in the financial statements.

Information Provided

26. We have provided you with:
 - a. Access to all information, of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements such as records, documentation, and other matters;
 - b. Additional information that you have requested from us for the purpose of the audit;
 - c. Unrestricted access to persons within the entity from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence; and
 - d. Minutes of the meetings of governing board and committees of board members, or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared.

27. All transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.
28. We have disclosed to you the results of our assessment of risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.
29. We have no knowledge of allegations of fraud or suspected fraud, affecting the entity's financial statements involving:
 - a. Management.
 - b. Employees who have significant roles in the internal control.
 - c. Others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.
30. We have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the City's financial statements received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, or others.
31. We have no knowledge of noncompliance or suspected noncompliance with laws and regulations.
32. We are not aware of any pending or threatened litigation and claims whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements.
33. We have disclosed to you the identity of the City's related parties and all the related-party relationships and transactions of which we are aware.
34. We are aware of no significant deficiencies, including material weaknesses, in the design or operation of internal controls that could adversely affect the City's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data.
35. We are aware of no communications from regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with, or deficiencies in, financial reporting practices.
36. With respect to supplementary information presented in relation to the financial statements as a whole:
 - a. We acknowledge our responsibility for the presentation of such information.
 - b. We believe such information, including its form and content, is fairly presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP.
 - c. The methods of measurement or presentation have not changed from those used in the prior period.
 - d. When supplementary information is not presented with the audited financial statements, we will make the audited financial statements readily available to the intended users of the supplementary information no later than the date of issuance of the supplementary information and the auditors' report thereon.

37. With respect to the required supplementary information presented as required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board to supplement the basic financial statements:
- a. We acknowledge our responsibility for the presentation of such required supplementary information.
 - b. We believe such required supplementary information is measured and presented in accordance with guidelines prescribed by U.S. GAAP.
 - c. The methods of measurement or presentation have not changed from those used in the prior period.
38. During the course of your audit, you may have accumulated records containing data which should be reflected in our books and records. All such data have been so reflected. Accordingly, copies of such records in your possession are no longer needed by us.
39. With respect to the financial statement preparation services performed in the course of the audit:
- a. We have made all management decisions and performed all management functions;
 - b. We assigned an appropriate individual to oversee the services;
 - c. We evaluated the adequacy and results of the services performed, and made an informed judgment on the results of the services performed;
 - d. We have accepted responsibility for the results of the services; and
 - e. We have accepted responsibility for all significant judgments and decisions that were made.

Very truly yours,

CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

Wes Jordan, City Administrator

Date Signed _____

Lisa Santa Maria, Finance Director

Date Signed _____

City of Prairie Village, Kansas
Year End December 31, 2019
Adjusting Journal Entries

Number	Date	Account Name	Account Number	Debit	Credit	
1	12/31/2019	Cash, Including Investments	25-00-00-1001-000	50,250		
		Fund Balance	25-00-00-3000-000		(250,018)	
		Interest Income	25-00-00-4103-000		(5,294)	
		Realized Capital Gain (Loss)	25-00-00-4104-000		(87,139)	
		Debt Service (Interest and Other)	25-00-00-9100-000	292,201		
		Client-prepared entry to record activity related to 2016A bond interest				
				<u>342,451</u>	<u>(342,451)</u>	
2	12/31/2019	Bond Issuance Cost		56,143		
		GO Bonds Payable			(24,630,000)	
		Interest Payable			(173,849)	
		Deferred Bond Premium			(1,140,647)	
		Fund Balance		16,368,869		
		Other Financing Sources - Bond Proceeds		9,890,000		
		Other Financing Sources - Bond Premium		822,595		
		Principal Retirement Expense			(1,210,000)	
		Interest Expense		39,318		
		Amortization Expense			(22,429)	
		Entity-wide entry to record debt activity				
				<u>27,176,925</u>	<u>(27,176,925)</u>	
3	12/31/2019	Accrued Compensated Absences			(512,583)	
		Accrued Compensated Absences - LT			(62,046)	
		Fund Balance		547,967		
		UM Expense		26,662		
		Entity-wide entry to accrue compensated absences				
				<u>574,629</u>	<u>(574,629)</u>	
4	12/31/2019	Equipment		5,762,189		
		Infrastructure		64,528,482		
		Land		14,961,866		
		Improvements		1,445,899		
		Buildings		6,815,565		
		Construction in Process		26,302,601		
		Accumulated Depreciation			(20,130,040)	
		Fund Balance			(88,501,243)	
		Other Financing Sources - Proceeds from Sale		51,483		

UM Expense	207,773	
PS Expense	215,752	
PW Expense	2,478,865	
MJ Expense	2,102	
GG Expense	76,167	
CD Expense	1,226	
Gain on Sale of Capital Assets		(50,602)
Capital Outlay		(14,168,085)
Entity-wide entry to record capital asset activity		
	<u>122,849,970</u>	<u>(122,849,970)</u>

5	12/31/2019	Accrued Payroll	01-00-00-2200-000	253,621	
		Regular Wages	01-01-34-5000-000		(2,444)
		FICA	01-01-34-5016-000		(187)
		Regular Wages	01-01-38-5000-000		(4,470)
		FICA	01-01-38-5016-000		(342)
		Regular Wages	01-02-11-5000-000		(11,552)
		FICA	01-02-11-5016-000		(884)
		Regular Wages	01-02-12-5000-000		(10,626)
		FICA	01-02-12-5016-000		(813)
		Regular Wages	01-02-13-5000-000		(8,162)
		FICA	01-02-13-5016-000		(624)
		Regular Wages	01-02-14-5000-000		(11,290)
		FICA	01-02-14-5016-000		(864)
		Regular Wages	01-02-16-5000-000		(18,109)
		FICA	01-02-16-5016-000		(1,385)
		Regular Wages	01-03-21-5000-000		(4,638)
		FICA	01-03-21-5016-000		(355)
		Regular Wages	01-03-22-5000-000		(22,083)
		FICA	01-03-22-5016-000		(1,689)
		Regular Wages	01-03-23-5000-000		(4,895)
		FICA	01-03-23-5016-000		(374)
		Regular Wages	01-03-24-5000-000		(4,005)
		FICA	01-03-24-5016-000		(306)
		Regular Wages	01-03-25-5000-000		(89,197)
		FICA	01-03-25-5016-000		(6,824)
		Regular Wages	01-03-26-5000-000		(8,226)
		FICA	01-03-26-5016-000		(629)
		Regular Wages	01-03-27-5000-000		(4,327)
		FICA	01-03-27-5016-000		(331)
		Regular Wages	01-03-29-5000-000		(2,623)
		FICA	01-03-29-5016-000		(201)
		Regular Wages	01-03-31-5000-000		(6,900)
		FICA	01-03-31-5016-000		(528)
		Regular Wages	01-03-37-5000-000		(2,115)
		FICA	01-03-37-5016-000		(162)

Regular Wages	01-04-47-5000-000	(66)
FICA	01-04-47-5016-000	(5)
Regular Wages	01-04-48-5000-000	(7,402)
FICA	01-04-48-5016-000	(566)
Regular Wages	01-05-35-5000-000	(12,468)
FICA	01-05-35-5016-000	(954)

Client-prepared entry to correct
accrued payroll

253,621 (253,621)

6	12/31/2019	Deferred Outflows - OPEB	45,510	
		Total OPEB Liability		(238,813)
		Deferred Inflows - OPEB		(25,823)
		Fund Balance	196,131	
		GG Expense	22,995	
		Entity-wide entry to record total OPEB liability		
			<u>264,636</u>	<u>(264,636)</u>

7	12/31/2019	Deferred Outflows - Pension	541,238	
		Net Pension Liability		(2,866,444)
		Deferred Inflows - Pension		(77,999)
		Fund Balance	2,317,033	
		GG Expense	86,172	
		Entity-wide entry to record KPERS Net Pension liabilities		
			<u>2,944,443</u>	<u>(2,944,443)</u>

8	12/31/2019	Deferred Outflows - Pension	1,608,504	
		Net Pension Liability		(5,859,571)
		Deferred Inflows - Pension		(648,347)
		Fund Balance	4,224,900	
		PS Expense	674,514	
		Entity-wide entry to record police department net pension liability		
			<u>6,507,918</u>	<u>(6,507,918)</u>

9	12/31/2019	Accounts Payable	01-00-00-2000-000	1,982	
		Reserve for Encumbrance	01-00-00-3010-000		(1,982)
		To reverse 2020 invoice from AP and Reserve for Encumbrance			
				<u>1,982</u>	<u>(1,982)</u>



**CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE
JUNE 1, 2020**

The City Council of Prairie Village, Kansas, met in regular session on Monday, June 1, 2020, at 6:00 p.m. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Councilmembers attended a virtual meeting via the Zoom software platform. Mayor Mikkelson presided.

ROLL CALL

Roll was called by the City Clerk with the following Council Members in attendance remotely via Zoom: Chad Herring, Jori Nelson, Inga Selders, Ron Nelson, Tucker Poling, Bonnie Limbird, Sheila Myers, Piper Reimer, Dan Runion, Courtney McFadden, Ian Graves and Terrence Gallagher. Staff present via Zoom: Tim Schwartzkopf, Chief of Police; Keith Bredehoeft, Director of Public Works; City Attorney David Waters, attorney with Lathrop & Gage; Chris Brewster, Gould Evans; Wes Jordan, City Administrator; Jamie Robichaud, Deputy City Administrator; Meghan Boom, Assistant City Administrator; Ashley Freburg, Public Information Officer/Deputy City Clerk; Adam Geffert, City Clerk.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mrs. Myers made a motion to approve the agenda for June 1, 2020. Mr. Gallagher seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: "aye": Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

PRESENTATIONS

- Mayor Mikkelson read a proclamation designating June 5, 2020 as "National Gun Violence Awareness Day".
- Stuart Little provided a summary of the 2020 Kansas legislative session. During the regular session, bills on the property tax lid, cable right-of-way access and local authority issues were considered prior to the legislature adjourning due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Legislature met again on May 21, and passed several bills, including the following:
 - A bill limiting the Governor's authority to handle the pandemic response by inserting legislative committee oversight and veto power over current and future emergency response processes.
 - A bill expanding liability protection for businesses and health care providers



- A bill repealing the local government tax lid and replace it with a public hearing and notification process.
- A cable/right-of-way bill prohibiting municipalities from imposing additional requirements for the deployment of micro wireless facilities in the public right of way.

The Governor vetoed the tax lid bill and the bill limiting her authority to handle the COVID-19 crisis. Mr. Little stated that the Governor had called for a special session to be held later in the week to continue debate of the Kansas Emergency Management Act.

- Teen Council Graduation - Teen Council Chairs Ron Nelson and Jori Nelson thanked the four students for their participation in City events throughout the school year. Mayor Mikkelson recognized each member by name:
 - Harper Molen, Rockhurst Senior
 - Wenhan Sun, Barstow Senior
 - Sawyer Shutts, Bishop Miege Senior
 - Elizabeth Mikkelson, Shawnee Mission East Junior

CONSENT AGENDA

Mayor Mikkelson asked if there were any items to remove from the consent agenda for discussion.

1. Approval of regular City Council meeting minutes - May 18, 2020
2. Approval of Expenditure Ordinance #2990
3. Approval of school crossing guard agreement with All City Management Services, Inc.
4. Approval of short-term special use permit application for farm delivery

Mr. Nelson made a motion to approve the consent agenda as presented. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

- **Planning Commission - PC2020-104: Consider Ordinance 2419 to approve an amendment to the special use permit for Kansas City Christian School at 4801 W. 79th Street**

Mr. Brewster stated that the application was an amendment to the existing special use permit at Kansas City Christian School to allow the addition of daycare services. The prior permit, most recently amended in 2017, only allowed for kindergarten through 12th grade students. He noted that the maximum enrollment at the school would not change, nor would any major construction be required



since the daycare would be located in an existing room. Drop-off and circulation for the daycare would be a part of the same traffic flow and timeframe as the rest of the school.

The Planning Commission held a public hearing May 5 remotely via Zoom. No comments were submitted beforehand and no one was present in the meeting to speak in favor or against the application. The Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of the amended Special Use Permit to the City Council subject to the conditions outlined in the staff report and ordinance.

Mr. Gallagher made a motion to approve Ordinance 2419, amending the special use permit for the Kansas City Christian School. Mrs. Myers seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

- Mr. Herring stated that the Finance Committee met May 17 to discuss the current state of City finances and review the proposed 2021 budget. The Committee will next meet on Thursday, June 4 via Zoom.

Mr. Herring also noted that the Tree Board would meet on Wednesday, June 3, to discuss the protection and maintenance of right-of-way trees.

- Ms. Nelson shared several updates from the Environmental Committee:
 - The committee is considering ways to preserve older trees in the City, particular in areas of new construction.
 - The committee is co-sponsoring a native plant sale with Deep Roots Kansas City on June 6.
 - Research on software that measures greenhouse gasses in the atmosphere is in progress.
 - The committee is considering holding an electronic recycling event in 2021.
- Ms. Limbird stated that she attended a meeting concerning the Voter-to-Voter program, a non-partisan effort to increase voter turnout. She added that Mayors of some Northeast Johnson County cities were considering having a friendly competition to register voters, and offered to coordinate the City’s effort.
- Mrs. McFadden said that the VillageFest Committee met on May 21 to continue planning for the alternative VillageFest event on July 4.

MAYOR’S REPORT

- Mayor Mikkelson provided an update on the COVID-19 pandemic, noting that case trends continued to improve in Johnson County. He added that although the state and county were no longer enforcing gathering restrictions, the local emergency order remained in effect, and the City maintained the right to disperse mass gatherings.



- A task force composed of Councilmembers, City staff, and business owners in the community was created to consider communication and messaging strategies regarding response to the pandemic.
- The Mayor spoke about the senseless death of George Floyd and the subsequent protests across the country, including in Kansas City, Missouri. He stated that decades ago, the Prairie Village Police Department had implemented anti-bias and cultural diversity training to reduce the risk of similar incidents in Prairie Village. He added that body-worn cameras had been issued to officers earlier in the year.
- The Mayor moderated a webinar panel for Climate Action KC on May 28, and will attend a Climate Action KC advisory board meeting on June 6.
- The Mayor noted that the Tidal Wave car wash and the Inn at Meadowbrook had both opened, and that the Prairie Village YMCA had recently reopened as well.
- The Mayor was elected the Vice-Chair of the Johnson County/Wyandotte County Mayors Association.

STAFF REPORTS

Administration

- Ms. Freburg discussed the COVID-19 brainstorming session that was held the prior week with several City Council members and the Mayor. Ideas included developing a list of businesses that have reopened, and what safety requirements have been put in place and services that are being offered. The group will be holding a conference call with area business organizations later in the week. Additionally, the City's social media accounts will be used to share stories and photos from residents.
- Ms. Robichaud said that the City's annual large-item trash pickup would be taking place over the following two weekends.

Phase 3 of the state's reopening plan was scheduled to begin June 8; City Hall would reopen on that date as long as germ barrier installation has been completed. Mrs. Robichaud added that in-person City meetings could resume at that time as well, with a modified seating arrangement in the Council Chambers.

Mrs. McFadden asked if it would be possible to continue using Zoom for meetings rather than restarting in-person meetings. Ms. Robichaud said that would be up to the Council, though there were some items that would benefit from being presented in person.

After further discussion, Mrs. McFadden made a motion to continue to meet via Zoom until Council decided to meet via a different format. Ms. Nelson seconded the motion.

Mr. Gallagher suggested that Council reconsider meeting in person every 30 days. Mrs. McFadden stated that she was comfortable modifying her motion to



include Mr. Gallagher's recommendation, but asked that it be considered by the Council Committee of the Whole each month.

A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: "aye": Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

Ms. Robichaud asked whether other committee meetings and the monthly Arts Council receptions should follow the same guidance.

Ms. Limbird made a motion to cancel the July art reception and consider whether to hold Arts Council events on a monthly basis. Mr. Herring seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: "aye": Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

- Ms. Buum stated that summer tennis programming was underway. Although the Kansas City Junior Tennis League cancelled its season, Johnson County Parks and Recreation would be offering tennis lessons on Tuesday and Thursday mornings, and an alternate program for Prairie Village youth had been implemented.

Ms. Limbird asked if staff had reconsidered opening the pool. Ms. Buum said that the Red Cross was still not offering lifeguard training with in-person contact, per CDC guidelines. Mr. Poling asked how other cities that had chosen to open their pools were addressing lifeguard training. Ms. Buum stated some larger cities with multiple pool facilities had enough fully trained lifeguards to work at a single pool. Mrs. Myers added that Leawood used a different training provider that offered an online, socially-distanced training program. Ms. Buum reiterated that the situation at the Prairie Village pool had not changed, due to training challenges and staff safety concerns.

- Mr. Jordan said that the June plan of action was included in the meeting packet.

OLD BUSINESS

Ms. Nelson asked that the Council review the City's noise ordinance at an upcoming meeting, specifically in relation to new residential construction noise.

NEW BUSINESS

COU2020-22 Consider approval of revised 2020 VillageFest budget

Ms. Buum stated that the VillageFest Committee evaluated its budget proposal for the 2020 revised "Village Fest To Go" event on July 4. Council had allocated \$20,000 from the general fund for the event; the proposed budget was reduced to \$10,000.



Mrs. Myers made a motion to approve the revised budget as presented. Mr. Nelson seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

COU2020-23 Consider approval of contract with Metro Asphalt, Inc., for the 2020 street repair program

Mr. Bredehoeft stated the agreement was for a maintenance project that was not part of the normal annual street rehabilitation programs. He added that the City had worked with Metro Asphalt in previous years on similar projects. The contract totaled \$150,000.

Mr. Herring made a motion to approve the contract as presented. Mr. Nelson seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

COU2020-24 Consider approval of contract with Phoenix Concrete LLC for the 2020 concrete repair program

Mr. Bredehoeft said that the project would focus on the repair of curbs, sidewalks and ADA ramps in locations throughout the City. He added that other funding sources, including the traffic calming program and pool house repair project had been included. He noted that the City had worked with Phoenix Concrete on past projects, and that the agreement totaled \$725,000.

Mrs. Myers made a motion to approve the contract as presented. Mr. Herring seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

COU2020-25 Consider making the neck down traffic calming measure installed in 2018 on 67th Street between Roe Avenue and Nall Avenue a permanent installation

Mr. Bredehoeft said that the project began in 2018 with the addition of speed display signs and the installation of “neckdowns”, which narrow the street. He added that the additions had proven to be effective in reducing vehicle speed, and neighbors were supportive of making them permanent.

Ms. Nelson made a motion to make the neckdown traffic calming measure installed on 67th Street from Roe Avenue to Nall Avenue a permanent installation. Mr. Herring seconded the motion.



Mr. Nelson shared concern about how neckdowns and narrowed streets affect bicycle and pedestrian traffic.

A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

Mr. Gallagher made a motion that the City Council move to the Council Committee of the Whole portion of the meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. Nelson. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

COUNCIL COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

COU2020-26 Consider revisions to Solicitor Regulations - Chapter 5, Article 2

Mr. Geffert provided background on the proposed changes to the regulations, noting that Council had initially discussed the issue at its August 5, 2019 meeting. Each year, staff receive complaints from residents regarding the behavior of some solicitors working in the City. A discussion was held regarding proposed changes to the City’s Municipal Code to address complaints and revoke permits when necessary. Since that time, the City Attorney drafted revisions that give administrative staff more flexibility in issuing solicitor permits while at the same time protecting the free speech rights of the public.

Mr. Waters stated that the changes only applied to “commercial speech”, not political and religious speech, both of which are protected under Kansas law. The updated Ordinance modifies licensing requirements and provides administrative staff the ability to revoke permits when warranted. Mr. Jordan added that the Ordinance did not apply to persons under the age of 18 soliciting for seasonal work such as lawn care or snow removal.

Mr. Herring made a motion to approve the proposed changes to the solicitor ordinance. The motion was seconded by Ms. Nelson. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

COU2020-27 Consider an amendment to Chapter 2 (Animal Ordinance) of the Municipal Code to allow for residents to keep chickens

Ms. Selders gave a statement regarding public comment she had received in favor of the keeping of backyard chickens, and Ms. Reimer shared a personal story of raising chickens in her own home. Ms. Nelson provided a presentation with proposed regulations and processes for residents to keep chickens.



Mr. Nelson said that the Homes Associations of Kansas City had notified City staff that all J.C. Nichols homes associations in Prairie Village contained restrictions preventing the keeping of livestock and poultry. Mr. Jordan added that City Ordinances did not supersede deed restrictions and covenants. He also asked that staff be given the latitude to decide whether a permit was necessary for residents to keep chickens, noting that residents were currently allowed to keep bees without a permit as long as regulations were followed.

After further discussion, Ms. Selders made a motion to direct City staff to draft an amendment to Chapter 2 of the Animal Ordinance in the Municipal Code to allow residents to keep chickens. The motion was seconded by Ms. Nelson. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Graves; “nay”: R. Nelson, Runion, McFadden, Gallagher. The motion passed 8-4.

Mr. Graves moved that the City Council end the Council Committee of the Whole portion of the meeting. The motion was seconded by Ms. Reimer. A roll call vote on the original motion as amended was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Announcements were included in the Council meeting packet.

ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Reimer made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. Nelson. A roll call vote was taken with the following votes cast: “aye”: Herring, J. Nelson, Selders, R. Nelson, Poling, Limbird, Myers, Reimer, Runion, McFadden, Graves, Gallagher. The motion passed 12-0.

Mayor Mikkelson declared the meeting adjourned at 9:26 p.m.

Adam Geffert
City Clerk

CITY TREASURER'S WARRANT REGISTER

DATE WARRANTS ISSUED:

Warrant Register Page No. 1

June 8, 2020

Copy of Ordinance
2991

Ordinance Page No. _____

An Ordinance Making Appropriate for the Payment of Certain Claims.

Be it ordained by the governing body of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas.

Section 1. That in order to pay the claims hereinafter stated which have been properly audited and approved, there is hereby appropriated out of funds in the City treasury the sum required for each claim.

NAME	DATE	AMOUNT	TOTAL
EXPENDITURES:			
Accounts Payable			
21811-21907	5/8/2020	1,416,698.56 ✓	
21909-21984	5/15/2020	173,984.67 ✓	
21985	5/19/2020	1,834.89 ✓	
21986-21989	5/26/2020	231,869.26 ✓	
21990-22061	5/29/2020	353,309.39 ✓	
Payroll Expenditures			
5/8/2020		308,333.92 ✓	
5/22/2020		323,581.22 ✓	
Electronic Payments			
Electronic Pmnts	5/1/2020	1,652.91	
	5/4/2020	5,098.48	
	5/6/2020	\$ 11.95	
	5/8/2020	8,639.39	
	5/12/2020	40,330.31	
	5/13/2020	9,766.21	
	5/26/2020	172.92	
	5/27/2020	5,098.48	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:			2,880,382.56
Voided Checks			
	Check #	(Amount)	
C&R Johnson County Key Service	21829	(545.59)	
TOTAL VOIDED CHECKS:			(545.59)
GRAND TOTAL CLAIMS ORDINANCE			2,879,836.97

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Passed this 8th day of June 2020.

Signed or Approved this 8th day of June 2020.

(SEAL)
ATTEST: *Quincy Kramer* 06.04.2020
City Treasurer

ATTEST: *Luh* 6.3.2020
Finance Director

ORDINANCE NO. 2420

AN ORDINANCE REGULATING BUSINESSES WITHIN THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS, REGARDING SOLICITORS AND COMMERCIAL SOLICITATION, AMENDING CHAPTER V (BUSINESS REGULATIONS), ARTICLE 2 (SOLICITORS) OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, AND PROVIDING SUBSTITUTE PROVISIONS AND REPEALING THE SECTIONS AMENDED.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS:

Section 1. Chapter V, Article 2, of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, titled "SOLICITORS", and Sections 5-201 through 5-204 (inclusive) therein, are hereby amended to read as follows, and new sections 5-205 and 5-206 are hereby established, as follows:

5-201. LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS. It is hereby found and determined by the governing body that crimes have been committed by persons posing as solicitors and that a variety of frauds and misleading devices are sometimes employed in such solicitations. It is further found that some solicitors present a continuing danger of fraud, robbery, and other crimes to the residents of the city. The city further has an interest in reducing litter and trash associated with commercial handbills, which often end up as litter and contribute to storm water management problems. In addition, it is found that residents of the city are subject to being annoyed by solicitors. In response to these findings, it is hereby determined by the governing body that it is necessary, in the interest of the public safety and welfare, for the governing body to regulate the time, place, and manner of solicitation in the city, and registration of certain commercial solicitors. This article advances the city's interests while protecting the free speech rights of the public guaranteed by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

5-202. DEFINITIONS. For the purpose of this chapter the words and phrases defined in the sections hereunder shall have the meanings therein respectively ascribed to them, unless a different meaning is clearly indicated by the context.

- (a) City as used in this chapter means the City of Prairie Village, Kansas.
- (b) Person as used in this chapter means any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, or company, and includes any trustee, receiver, assignee, agent or other similar representative thereof.
- (c) Commercial handbill means any printed or written matter, any sample or device, circular, leaflet, pamphlet, paper booklet, door hanger or any other printed or otherwise reproduced original or copies which:
 - (1) Advertises for sale merchandise, products, or commodities;
 - (2) Directs attention to any business or mercantile or commercial establishment, or other activity, for the purpose of either directly or indirectly promoting the economic interest thereof by sales; or
 - (3) Directs attention to or advertises any meeting, theatrical performance, exhibition, or event of any kind, for which an

admission fee is charged or for which a solicitation shall be made for the purpose of private gain or profit.

- (4) Exemption for mail and newspapers. The term "commercial handbill" does not include mail delivered by the United States Postal Service or newspapers duly entered with the Post Office Department of the United States and newspapers filed and recorded with any recording officer, as provided by general law, or any periodical or current magazine regularly published at least annually and sold to the public.

- (d) Solicit and Solicitation as used in this chapter mean and include any one or more of the following:

- (1) Selling or offering for sale for a profit, advertising, marketing, or taking or attempting to take orders for the sale for a profit, of goods or services of any kind, character or description, while traveling from house-to-house, door-to-door, street-to-street, or from place-to-place in the city, whether as agent, contractor, employee, representative, or principal.
- (2) Ringing a doorbell, knocking on a door, or otherwise attempting to contact a resident, tenant, or occupant of any residence, dwelling, business, or other location for the purpose of selling, offering, or advertising any goods or services for profit, or to propose a commercial transaction related solely to the economic interests of the solicitor and the person solicited.
- (3) Hanging, leaving, or depositing any commercial handbill, regardless of whether a doorbell is rung, a door is knocked, or any other physical or verbal contact is made.

A solicitation as defined herein shall be deemed completed when made, whether or not the person making the same makes any sale.

- (e) Solicitor as used in this chapter means a person who solicits for himself, herself, or any other person.

5-203. PROHIBITED ACTS. It shall be unlawful for any person to:

- (a) Solicit at a residence, apartment, or other dwelling, or at a business at which a sign bearing the words "No Solicitors," "No Trespassers," or words of similar import indicating that such persons are not wanted on the premises, is painted, affixed or otherwise exposed to public view; provided that this paragraph shall not apply to any solicitor who gains admittance to such residence at the invitation or with the consent of the occupant thereof. For purposes of this section, the "No Solicitors" decal issued by the City Clerk prominently displayed on the door shall constitute sufficient notice to all solicitors. However, other similar signs, as defined above, are also sufficient.
- (b) Solicit prior to 10:00 a.m. or after 8:00 p.m. local time, of any day. In addition, it shall be unlawful for any person to solicit between 5:30 p.m. and 7:00 p.m. local time, of any day.
- (c) Engage in soliciting upon any premises or in any residence, apartment, or other dwelling, or at a business (including any privately-owned parking lots) after having been asked by the owner or occupant to leave the premises, business, or residence.
- (d) Make more than one solicitation call at the same residence, apartment, or other dwelling, or at a business, for identical goods or

services within any consecutive 14-day period, without receiving a prior invitation therefor from the occupants of the premises. This provision shall be construed to include solicitation upon the same premises by employees, agents, or other persons acting on behalf of the same person more than once during the aforesaid period without a prior invitation as herein provided.

- (e) Fail to provide, at the request of the purchaser, a written receipt for purchases exceeding \$5.00 in cash or tangible property which receipt shall be signed by the person making the sale and shall set forth a brief description of the goods or services sold, the total purchase price thereof, amount of cash payment, if any, and the balance due and terms of payment.
- (f) Fail at the outset of a solicitation to disclose to the prospective buyer or solicitee the solicitor's name and the name of the company, product or organization he or she represents.
- (g) Make any assertion, representation or statement which misrepresents the purpose of the solicitor's call, or use any plan, scheme, or ruse which misrepresents such purpose.
- (h) Interact in a forceful or otherwise verbally or physically aggressive manner with residents or businesses to whom a solicitation is made in the course of such solicitation.
- (i) Solicit in such a way as would restrict or interfere with the ingress or egress of the abutting property owner or tenant, increase traffic congestion or delay, or constitute a hazard to traffic, life or property, or an obstruction to adequate access to fire, police or sanitation vehicles.
- (j) Solicit if the solicitor:
 - (1) Is required to register pursuant to the Kansas Offender Registration Act, K.S.A. 22-4901 *et seq.*, and amendments thereto, or pursuant to an offender registration act of any other state;
 - (2) has been convicted of a felony, misdemeanor, or ordinance violation involving deceit, fraud, possession of a firearm, theft, or violence within the past ten (10) years; or
 - (3) has been convicted of any law regulating the act of soliciting as defined in this chapter within the past five (5) years.
- (k) Solicit or attempt to solicit at any entrance other than the main entrance of the residence, apartment, or other dwelling, or business.
- (l) Cut across or walk upon any lawn, front yard, or courtyard except upon sidewalks, walkways, or driveways, if such sidewalks, walkways, or driveways are provided.
- (m) Throw or deposit any commercial handbill in or upon any vehicle, unless the vehicle is occupied and the occupant is willing to accept it.
- (n) Fail to carry upon the solicitor's person a government-issued photo identification card (such as a driver's license) if the solicitor is sixteen (16) years of age or older, and/or fail to carry upon the solicitor's person the city license required under section 5-204 below.

5-204. LICENSE REQUIREMENT; APPLICATION PROCEDURE.

- (a) It shall be unlawful for any person to solicit for any business, including such person's own business, unless such business has received a business license as required by Chapter V, Article 1 of this Code, to

the extent such Chapter V, Article 1 would otherwise require that such business obtain a license thereunder.

- (b) Except as otherwise provided in this article, it shall be unlawful for any person to solicit within the city without a solicitation license, which solicitation license shall be required in addition to any business license required by subsection (a) above. Each applicant for a solicitation license shall, at a minimum, provide the following information to the city for licensure:
 - (1) Name, date of birth, physical description of applicant.
 - (2) Government-issued photo identification, such as a driver's license.
 - (3) Applicant's Kansas Sales Tax number.
 - (4) Address of applicant and area where applicant intends to solicit.
 - (5) A brief description of the name of the business to be conducted and the goods or services to be solicited or sold, and a copy of any required city business license.
 - (6) If applicant is employed, name and address of the employer, together with credentials establishing applicant's relationship to such employer.
 - (7) Length of time for which the solicitation license is requested, not to exceed fourteen (14) days, after which time a new license must be obtained.
 - (8) If a vehicle is to be used, a description of the same, together with the state vehicle license number carried on such vehicle.
 - (9) Consent to a police record check to determine whether or not the applicant:
 - (A) Is required to register pursuant to the Kansas Offender Registration Act, K.S.A. 22-4901 *et seq.*, and amendments thereto, or pursuant to an offender registration act of any other state;
 - (B) has been convicted of a felony, misdemeanor, or ordinance violation involving deceit, fraud, possession of a firearm, theft, or violence within the past ten (10) years; or
 - (C) has been convicted of any law regulating the act of soliciting as defined in this chapter within the past five (5) years.
 - (10) Submit a copy of a paid personal property tax receipt from the Johnson County Treasurer or another county treasurer in the State of Kansas for all goods or merchandise brought into Johnson County from outside the State of Kansas to be sold or disposed of in a place of business temporarily occupied for their sale.
- (c) The license fee for soliciting shall be as prescribed by city ordinance or fee schedule adopted by the city. Such fee is required to cover city staff application review and processing time.
- (d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, a city solicitor license shall not be required for persons under the age of eighteen (18) years of age soliciting for themselves, and not part of a business required to be licensed under Chapter V, Article 1 of this Code, for part-time,

temporary, or seasonal work related to lawn care services or snow removal.

5-205. REVOCATION OF LICENSE. The City Administrator, or his/her designee, may revoke any license issued under the provision of Section 5-204, for any of the following causes:

(a) Fraud, misrepresentation or false statement contained in the application for license or made in the course of carrying on the business

(b) Any violation as described in Section 5-203.

Notice of revocation of a license shall be provided in writing to the applicant by the City Clerk. Any person aggrieved by the denial of an application or the revocation of a license shall have the right of appeal to the Governing Body. Such appeal shall be taken by filing with the City Clerk within fourteen (14) days after notice of revocation or denial of the license has been mailed to the applicant's last known address setting forth the grounds for appeal. The Governing Body shall set a time and place for a hearing on such appeal and notice of such hearing shall be given to the applicant in the same manner as provided herein for notice of hearing on revocation. The decision and order of the Governing Body on such appeal shall be final and conclusive.

5-206. VIOLATION/PENALTY. In addition to license revocation as provided in section 5-205, any person violating any of the provisions of this article is guilty of a public offense and upon conviction thereof shall be punished as provided in Section 1-116 of this code.

Section 2. Sec. 5-201 through Sec. 5-204, inclusive, of the Prairie Village Municipal Code, in existence as of and prior to the adoption of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be enforced from and after its passage, approval, and publication as provided by law.

PASSED by the City Council of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas on June 15, 2020.

APPROVED by the Mayor on _____, 2020.

CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

Eric Mikkelson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Adam Geffert, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

David E. Waters, City Attorney



Approval of First Addendum to the Contract for Planning Advisory Services

BACKGROUND

In early 2015, the City issued an RFP for city planning consultant services. City staff and council members interviewed the firms that responded to the RFP and recommended entering into an agreement with Gould Evans for city planning services. That original agreement was entered into on June 15, 2015. The agreement does automatically renew for successive one-year terms unless either party chooses to terminate or amend the agreement.

The hourly rate in the current contract for city planning services is \$104 for Chris Brewster and \$115 for all other associates. The contract allows for a 3% increase each year; however, Gould Evans has not raised the rates at all for the past 5 years. Gould Evans has requested an addendum to their contract this year to increase their rates as follows:

- Increase Chris Brewster’s rate from \$104 per hour to \$120.56 per hour
- Increase associate rate from \$115 per hour to \$133.32 per hour
- Add an additional rate structure for other Gould Evans staff at \$95 per hour

The City budgets \$45,000 per year for city planning services, and we believe that budget is adequate to absorb the requested rate increase. The City has spent the following on planning consulting services the past five years:

2015:	\$43,310	2018:	\$54,229
2016:	\$50,591	2019:	\$36,544
2017:	\$40,348	2020 YTD:	\$7,044

City staff recommends approving the contract addendum and continuing to utilize Gould Evans for city planning services. Chris Brewster is an extension of our staff in the Codes Department and plays an important role in our planning and development processes. It is important to have his expertise and knowledge as we continue to see increased development in the community. In addition, we have several important projects currently in the works in which Gould Evans is playing an important role, including Village Vision 2.0 and ongoing updates to the City’s zoning regulations. In addition, Gould Evans wrote the City’s neighborhood design standards for Phase 1 and Phase 2 and is an important resource for myself and our building official in interpreting those standards during the plan review process.

The original contract and the proposed Addendum is attached for the Council’s review

RECOMMENDATION

Authorize the First Addendum to the Contract for Planning Advisory Services.

PREPARED BY

Jamie Robichaud
Deputy City Administrator
Date: June 10, 2020

**FIRST ADDENDUM TO THE CONTRACT FOR PLANNING ADVISORY SERVICES
DATED JUNE 15, 2015**

This Addendum to Contract is made this 15th day of June, 2020 by and between the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, a Kansas municipal corporation (hereinafter "City") and Gould Evans, P.C., a Missouri corporation, (hereinafter referred to as "Consultant").

WHEREAS, on June 15, 2015, the City entered into the Contract for Planning Advisory Services with the Consultant;

WHEREAS, Consultant provides all planning advisory services for the City and has done so for the same fee as approved in Exhibit B of the original Contract since 2015 with no annual increase;

WHEREAS, the Consultant has requested to amend their schedule of billing rates as outlined in Exhibit B of the original Contract;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements herein made and contained, it is mutually agreed by the parties that the Contract shall be amended as follows:

1. Payment for the work provided by the Consultant shall be made as provided on Exhibit A, Schedule of Billing Rates, attached hereto. The Consultant shall keep and maintain time records relating to the scope of services described in the original Contract. As necessary, these records and other appropriate documentation may be required to support invoices submitted to the City. Upon approval thereof, payment will be made to the Consultant in the amount approved.
2. The Consultant agrees that it shall abide by the Prairie Village Non-Discrimination Code (Section 5-801 et seq) and shall not discriminate against any person in the performance of work under the present contract because of race, religion, color, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, age, national origin, or ancestry. If the City determines that the Consultant has violated any applicable provision of any local, state, or federal law, or has discriminated against any person because of race, religion, color, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, age, national origin, or ancestry, such violation and/or discrimination shall constitute a breach of contract and the City may cancel, terminate, or suspend this Contract in whole or in part.
3. All other provisions and terms contained within the Contract shall continue in applicability and force. As appropriate, the Consultant shall renew or maintain any required bond and insurance requirements and provide to the City sufficient evidence to the same.
4. This Addendum to Contract was approved by the Governing Body of the City of Prairie Village on the 15th day of June, 2020

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunder set their hands.

The City of Prairie Village, Kansas

Gould Evans, P.C.

By: _____
Eric Mikkelson
Mayor

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

ATTEST TO:

Adam Geffert
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

David Waters, City Attorney

EXHIBIT A

SCHEDULE OF BILLING RATES

The consulting services required will be billed on an hourly rate basis.

Hourly Rates

Chris Brewster:	\$120.56
Abby Kinney and Yu Jia	\$95.00

For services required of professionals whose hourly rate exceeds \$120.56, Consultant agrees that the hourly rate of those professionals will be provided at a blended hourly rate of \$133.32

The Hourly Rates specified above shall be in effect for one year from the date of this Addendum. Thereafter, consultant may increase the Hourly Rates by not more than 3% per year.



May 26, 2020

Mrs. Jamie Robichaud
7700 Mission Road
Prairie Village, KS 66208

Re: Planning Advisory Services – Billable Rates

Mrs. Robichaud,

Pursuant to our contract for Planning Advisory Services, originally dated June 15, 2015, and renewed in June 2018, Gould Evans can increase the billable rates for our associates by 3% per year, from the start date of the contract. Over the four years of the contract we have not exercised this condition of the contract. We would like to request the 3% annual increase in the billable rate for Chris Brewster and blended rate for additional Gould Evans associates, for the contract years 2016-2017, 2017-2018, 2018-2019, and 2019-2020 be applied to the billable rates for the 2020-2021 contract year. This change would set the billable rates to \$120.56 for Chris Brewster and a blended rate of \$133.32 for Gould Evans associates, respectively. We request that this change take effect June 15, 2020.

Additionally, we would like to add to the current Gould Evans staff billable rates, Abby Kinney and Yu Jia, at the rate of \$95/hour. This will assist in diversifying our billable rates and provide opportunities for Gould Evans to reduce the cost of services to the City of Prairie Village.

It has been a privilege to work with the community and staff of Prairie Village and we look forward to the future.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Graham Smith".

Graham Smith, AICP
Associate Principal

CONTRACT FOR PLANNING ADVISORY SERVICES

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into on June 15, 2015 between the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, hereinafter referred to as "the City", and Gould Evans, P.C., a Missouri corporation, hereinafter referred to as "the Consultant", in consideration of the mutual benefits, terms, and conditions hereinafter specified.

1. **Scope of Services.** The Consultant agrees to perform the services identified on Exhibit A attached hereto, including the provision of all labor, materials, equipment, and supplies.

Time and Performance. The Consultant's services shall commence upon execution of this Agreement by both parties. The Consultant will exercise reasonable skill, care and diligence in the performance of its services, and will carry out its responsibilities in accordance with the customarily accepted planning practices in Consultant's community. The City shall be responsible for the timely delivery of data and support information requested by the Consultant in a manner that will allow the Consultant the ability to perform its services.

2. **Payment.** Payment for the work provided by the Consultant shall be made as provided on Exhibit B, Schedule of Billing Rates, attached hereto. The Consultant shall keep and maintain time records relating to the scope of services described above. As necessary, these records and other appropriate documentation may be required to support invoices submitted to the City. Upon approval thereof, payment will be made to the Consultant in the amount approved.
3. **Ownership and Use of Documents.** All documents, drawings, specifications, and other materials produced by the Consultant in connection with the services rendered under this Agreement shall be the property of the City whether the project for which they are made is executed or not. The Consultant shall be permitted to retain copies, including reproducible copies, of drawings and specifications for information, reference, and use in connection with the Consultant's endeavors. The Consultant shall not be responsible for any use of the said documents, drawings, specifications, or other materials by the City on any project other than the project specified in the Agreement.
4. **Compliance With Laws.** The Consultant shall, in performing the services contemplated by this Agreement, faithfully observe and comply with all federal, state, and local laws, ordinances, and regulations applicable to the services to be rendered under this Agreement.
5. **Indemnification.** The Consultant shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the City, its officers, agents, and employees, from and against any and all claims, losses, or liability, including attorneys' fees, arising from injury or death to persons or damage to property occasioned by any act, omission, or failure of the Consultant, its officers, agents, and employees, in performing the work required

by this Agreement. This paragraph shall not apply to any damage resulting from the sole negligence of the City, its agents, and employees. To the extent that any of the damages referenced by this paragraph were caused by or resulted from the concurrent negligence of the City, its agents, or employees, this obligation to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless is valid and enforceable only to the extent of the negligence of the Consultant, its officers, agents, and employees.

6. **Insurance.** Consultant shall maintain, at its own expense, the following insurance with insurance companies reasonably acceptable to City:

- (a) Professional Liability Insurance in the amount of five hundred thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) (per claim and aggregate, with all coverage retroactive to the earlier of the date of this Agreement and the commencement of Consultant's services under this Agreement) covering personal injury, bodily injury and property damages to the extent caused by consultant's negligent acts, errors or omissions, which coverage shall be maintained for a period of three (3) years after the date of final payment under this Agreement.
- (b) Commercial General Liability Insurance, occurrence form, (including completed operations and broad-form contractual liability) in the amount of five hundred thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) combined single limit per occurrence and aggregate covering personal injury, bodily injury and property damage, which insurance shall name the City as an additional insured.
- (c) Commercial Automobile Liability Insurance, including owned, hired and non-owned vehicles, if any, in the amount five hundred thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) covering bodily injury and property damage, which insurance shall name the City as an additional insured.
- (d) Worker's Compensation Insurance, which shall fully comply with applicable law, and employer's liability insurance with limits of not less than one hundred thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) per accident / \$1 Million disease policy limit / \$1 Million disease each employee. Consultant shall provide a valid waiver executed by its workers compensation and employer's liability insurance carrier of any right of subrogation against City or its employees for any injury to a covered employee working on City's premises.

Upon execution of this Agreement, Consultant shall provide to City Certificates of Insurance reflecting the required coverages at their request. The certificates shall specify the date when such insurance expires. Each policy and each Certificates of Insurance shall provide that City shall be given not less than thirty (30) days' written notice before cancellation, non-renewal of coverage of such insurance except for non-payment. A renewal certificate shall be furnished to City prior to the expiration date of any coverage, and Consultant shall give City written notice of any reduction or other material modification in such insurance no later than thirty (30) days prior to such change.

7. **Independent Contractor.** The Consultant and the City agree that the Consultant is an independent contractor with respect to the services provided pursuant to this Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall be considered to create the relationship of employer and employee between the parties hereto. Neither the Consultant nor any employee of the Consultant shall be entitled to any benefits accorded City employees by virtue of the services provided under this Agreement. The City shall not be responsible for withholding or otherwise deducting federal income tax or social security, or for the duties of an employer with respect to the Consultant or any employee of the Consultant.
8. **Assignment.** The Consultant shall not sublet or assign any of the services covered by this Agreement without the express written consent of the City.
9. **Non-Waiver.** Waiver by the City of any provision of this Agreement or any time limitation provided for in this Agreement shall not constitute a waiver of any other provision.
10. **Term and Termination.** This Agreement shall be for an initial term of three (3) years ending June 14, 2018, and shall thereafter automatically renew for successive one (1) year terms unless either party notifies the other at least ninety (90) days prior to the expiration of the then term that the Agreement will not be renewed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, each party may terminate this Agreement with ten (10) days' prior written notice to the other party. In the event of such termination, provided the Consultant is not then in default under this Agreement, the City shall pay the Consultant its compensation to and through the actual date of termination, upon documentation of same by written invoice to the City.
11. **Attorneys' Fees and Costs.** In the event either party shall bring suit against the other to enforce any provision of this Agreement, the prevailing party in such suit shall be entitled to recover its costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, incurred in such suit from the losing party.
12. **Notices.** Notices to the City shall be sent to the following address:

City of Prairie Village
City Hall
7700 Mission Road
Prairie Village, KS 66206
ATTN: City Clerk

Notices to Consultant shall be sent to the following address:

Gould Evans, P.C.
4041 Mill Street
Kansas City, MO 64111
ATTN:


13. **Applicable Law.** This Agreement is to be governed by the laws of the State of Kansas. Venue for any disputes shall be in the District Court of Johnson County, Kansas.
14. **Integrated Agreement.** This Agreement, together with attachments or addenda, represents the entire and integrated agreement between the City and the Consultant and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations, or agreements, written or oral. This Agreement may be amended only by written instrument signed by both the City and the Consultant.

**CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE,
KANSAS**


GOULD EVANS, P.C.

By: 

Laura Wassmer, Mayor

By: 
Its: Managing Principal

Attest



Joyce Hagen-Mundy, City Clerk

Approved as to Form:



Catherine P. Logan, City Attorney

EXHIBIT A
SCOPE OF SERVICES

- Provide a general planning advisory service consisting of the review of applications and the preparation of staff reports and the provision of advice regarding planning, zoning/appeals, and subdivision matters.
- Prepare special studies, surveys, designs and reports which may include zoning, subdivision, parks, redevelopment, utilities and other matters in the field of planning.
- Attend regular monthly meetings of the Prairie Village Planning Commission and Board of Zoning Appeals. Also attend Council Committee and City Council meetings as needed.
- Provide GIS/AIMS mapping as needed.

For more involved special projects beyond the routine planning services, a specific scope of services and lump sum or different billing rate may be negotiated if agreed upon by both parties in a written task order.

EXHIBIT B
SCHEDULE OF BILLING RATES

The consulting services required will be billed on an hourly rate basis.

Hourly Rates

Chris Brewster \$ 104

Services may be provided by professionals at an hourly rate of less than \$104.

For services required of professionals whose hourly rate exceeds \$104, Consultant agrees that the hourly rate of those professionals will be provided at a blended hourly rate of \$115.

The Hourly Rates specified above shall be in effect for the initial one year term of the Agreement. Thereafter, consultant may increase the Hourly Rates by not more than 3% per year.



PC2020-102: Consider Appeal of Planning Commission Decision Regarding Exception to 19.44.025 of the City's Zoning Regulations at 7052 Cedar St.

BACKGROUND

At the February 4, 2020 Planning Commission meeting, the Planning Commission considered a request for an exception to Section 19.44.025 of the City's Zoning Regulations at 7052 Cedar St. The applicant requested an exception to the fence standards to keep their fence in a location that does not meet the required setback along 71st Street. The property is a corner lot on the northwest corner of 71st and Cedar Streets. The zoning regulations require the following in Chapter 19.44.025:

- Fences located on the side street of a corner lot shall not be less than five (5) feet from the right-of-way line, except that if an adjacent lot faces the side street, the fence shall be setback from the right-of-way line a distance of fifteen (15) feet, or not less than one-half the depth of the front yard of an adjacent building, whichever is the greater setback.

The purpose of the above regulation is to preserve the relationship of buildings, lots, and yards to the streetscape, recognizing the different situations that typically arise on corner lots. The Zoning Regulations do allow for the Planning Commission to grant exceptions to the fence standards if the exception would result in a project that is more compatible, provides better screening, provides better storm drainage management, or provides a more appropriate utilization of the site. The Planning Commission is not required to grant an exception even if the request meets these criteria, but in order to approve the requested exception, it can only be for the reasons listed above.

In order for the applicant to meet the requirements of the Zoning Regulations, the fence should've been built 17.5 feet from the lot line along 71st Street since an adjacent lot faces the side street, or the applicant would have needed to request an exception to the fence standards prior to constructing the fence. However, the fence was built only 12.5 feet from the lot line along 71st Street, encroaching 5 feet into the required setback at certain points on the fence. City staff discovered during a final inspection that the fence was not built where it was shown on the plans when the applicant applied for a building permit nor in the location where the building inspector marked with flags and discussed with the property owners prior to issuing a permit. The applicant was then informed they would need to move the fence to comply with the City's Zoning Regulations or request an exception from the Planning Commission.

At their February 4 meeting, the Planning Commission voted 5-1 to deny the request for an exception, citing their concerns that approving the exception would set a dangerous precedent in allowing residents to knowingly ignore the City's regulations and then come ask for an exception after the fact. They also felt the denial was consistent with a similar application they considered in January of 2020, which was also denied.

The applicant notified the City of his intent to appeal the Planning Commission's decision to the City Council on February 18, 2020. Per Section 19.32.020 of the Zoning Regulations, the decision of the Planning Commission on site plans can be appealed to the Governing Body by the applicant's written notice submitted to the City Clerk within 10 working days of the Planning Commission's decision.

Below is a more detailed summary of our correspondence with this resident regarding this issue:

- **July 29, 2019** - Applicant's contractor applied for a fence permit.
- **July 29, 2019** - Building Inspector David McAuliffe went to the property on the same day to measure the required setback and placed flags in the location the fence was allowed to go, which is the City's standard practice on all corner lot fence permits. Mr. McAuliffe explained to the homeowners where they were allowed to have their fence vs. where their contractor had originally marked it, which would have not been allowed. The homeowners expressed disappointment that they couldn't put the fence where they wanted it. Mr. McAuliffe then told the homeowners that they could request an exception from the Planning Commission if they wanted to put the fence in the original location their contractor marked on the lot.
- **August 12, 2019** - Mr. McAuliffe arrived on site to do the final inspection and noted that the materials were on site but the fence had not been installed.
- **November 26, 2019** - Mr. McAuliffe arrived on site to do a final inspection, but his wheel measure tool broke and he had to reschedule the inspection.
- **December 6, 2019** - Mr. McAuliffe conducted the final inspection on the fence. He noted that the east end of the fence along 71st Street was in violation of the Zoning Regulations since the fence was encroaching 5 feet into the required setback. Mr. McAuliffe contacted the permit holder by phone and sent the inspection report to him, informing them of their options to either move the fence to the correct location or request an exception from the Planning Commission.
- **January 2, 2020** - Applicant submitted a site plan application to request the exception to the fence regulations at the February 4, 2020 Planning Commission meeting.
- **February 4, 2020** - Planning Commission considered the requested exception and voted 5-1 to deny the request.
- **February 5, 2020** - The applicant requested information on how to appeal the Planning Commission's decision. City staff followed up and informed him that he would have to submit a formal request, in writing, by February 19, 2020, within 10 working days of the Planning Commission's decision.
- **February 18, 2020** - The applicant submitted written notice that he would like to formally request to appeal the Planning Commission's decision to the City Council.
- **February 19, 2020** - City staff notified the applicant that his appeal of the Planning Commission's decision was scheduled for the April 6th City Council meeting.
- **March 16, 2020** - City staff informed the applicant that the appeal may be delayed due to COVID-19 and the Council meeting remotely.
- **March 30, 2020** - City staff notified the applicant that the appeal would be delayed to a future meeting when the Council meets in person.
- **May 18, 2020** - City staff notified the applicant that his appeal was rescheduled to June 15, 2020.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends upholding the Planning Commission's decision and denying PC2020-102, a requested exception to 19.44.025 of the City's Zoning Regulations at 7052 Cedar St.

ATTACHMENTS

- Formal Appeal Request from Applicant
- Excerpt from February 4, 2020 Planning Commission minutes
- PC2020-102 original staff report prepared by Chris Brewster
- PC2020-102 application materials
- Correspondence between the applicant and city staff
- Chapter 19.44.025 of the City's Zoning Regulations

PREPARED BY

Jamie Robichaud
Deputy City Administrator
Date: June 10, 2020

March 16, 2020

RE: City Council Appeal - 7052 Cedar St. Property Fence

Dear City Council Members,

On February 4th, the Prairie Village Planning Commission met to hear our appeal regarding the current location of the fence that resides on our property. After a brief discussion and a vote, it was determined that we would be required to move our fence in order to adhere to the current Prairie Village property restrictions.

This is our formal notification to appeal that decision to the Prairie Village City Council. We have lived in Prairie Village since May of 2010. We lived at 7127 Cherokee Drive until last July when we moved 0.8 miles to our current residence. We love Prairie Village. We plan on spending the rest of our lives in this city. The last thing we want is to make our property an eyesore or something that would draw attention in a negative light for the neighborhood.

According to the Staff Report that was provided to the Planning Commission, the fence in question meets all applicable standards in regard to site design and engineering, building design, and landscape design. During installation of the fence, a decision was made to go five feet beyond a marked flag in order to run the fence parallel with the house.

The Planning Commission's reasoning for our denial was "there are rules in place for a reason." It was disheartening to learn that the only reason our appeal was denied was because we didn't ask for approval prior to making a decision that needed to be made at that moment in time.

At no point have we denied that it was a conscience decision on our part. On the day of installation, the fence installer pointed out that the fence was going to have an awkward appearance since our house doesn't sit perfectly square on the property. That is when we made the decision to align the fence up with the house.

The installer had already begun digging postholes and setting the fence with a quick-drying concrete. Additionally, the fence we ordered was manufactured in 6-foot panels that would've needed to have five feet of one of them sawed off. By the time we were notified of the situation, we needed to make an executive decision as to the where the fence would run. We chose to run the fence parallel with the house to create a more aesthetically pleasing look.

At no point was this a malicious decision to the city or the planning commission. We incorrectly assumed it wouldn't be a problem. It is our belief that not only does the fence currently give the property a much nicer look, but it also provides a more useful and safer fenced-in space for our children and dog.

We have been more than willing to do everything asked of us during this appeal process which includes inviting every neighbor within 200 feet of our property to our home to voice any concerns. No one has raised any objections. In fact, all of the neighbors we've spoken with love

what we've done to the property. Enclosed is a letter from one of those neighbors who live directly across from us on 71st Street.

The most disappointing aspect of this process for us continues to be the reasoning behind the planning commission's denial. Outside of "we have rules in place for a reason" we don't feel we've been given a legitimate reason as to how our fence causes any issues to anyone or anything for the city of Prairie Village. And we feel our specific situation wasn't looked at individually.

And it's especially disappointing considering the planning commission recently approved the building of a brand-new law office on 75th Street directly next to a residence. Not to mention the fact that there are now huge two-story colonial style homes being approved to be built in Prairie Village. Colonial style homes which in no way conform to the style or feel of the rest of the Prairie Village neighborhood.

We are asking the city council to approve the existing location of our fence. We believe the fence enhances the look and adds value to our property. While the front (east side) of the gate is five feet too close to 71st Street, each fence post moving west becomes farther from 71st Street, so the fence post on the most western side would need to be moved less than 12 inches. Assuming all fenceposts are moved to meet the standards, the entire fence row will not be parallel to the house or 71st Street, which creates an unpleasant curb appeal.

Spending thousands of dollars in supplies and labor to move portions of a fence less than a foot doesn't seem to be the best use of time and/or money. We are prepared to pay a one-time fine for violating the city's codes and administration process. We are humbly asking for the city council to reconsider the denial of our fence location.

Sincerely,

Ryan and Megan DeSpain

City of Prairie Village
Planning Commission
7700 Mission
Prairie Village, KS, 66208

March 15, 2020

Ref: Ryan and Megan DeSpain – Fence Issue

To whom it may concern:

My name is Doug Klein. My wife and I live across the street from the DeSpains. We were informed of the issue regarding their fence when they invited neighbors over to discuss any concerns we may have about the structure. We have lived in our home for 18 years and we believe that the fence in question should not have to be moved.

Our home faces directly across from their side yard, giving us a permanent view of the fence. We see it every time we are in the kitchen, answer the front door, leave our home, or just stand in our front yard. For years we looked across the street to a hedge which was always filled with leaves and in disrepair. It was an eyesore. Before this new construction, there was a blind spot from the overgrown hedge along the chain-link fence on the west side of their lot. The DeSpains removed the overgrowth and now there is a clear line of site to traffic.

I understand the city has made changes to the codes for new builds to keep the integrity of our neighborhoods. Unfortunately, homes are still being built which are completely out of place, tower over their neighbors, and take up almost the entire lot leaving no green space. What you see when driving by the DeSpain's house is a lovely home with a fence which blends into the property very well. We understand it is a couple of feet out of place, but we are asking you to please make an exception and leave the fence where it is.

The DeSpains have bettered the neighborhood with their home and property improvements. I'm sure you only know them as an address and fence right now. I feel you should know a little more about the DeSpains...Ryan is a stay at home father who takes care of their two daughters, both of whom are under two years old. Megan is an ER Doctor at Advent Health who works long hours taking care of countless patients each day. They are the kind of neighbors everyone dreams of having and are a great addition to our neighborhood and community.

Please work with them and allow them keep the fence where it is.

Best Regards,

Douglas and Michelle Klein
4901 W. 71st Street
Prairie Village, KS 66208

EXCERPT OF PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES
FEBRUARY 4, 2020

NON-PUBLIC HEARINGS

PC2020-102 Site Plan Review - Fence with Exception
 7052 Cedar St.
 Zoning: R-1B
 Applicant: Ryan and Megan DeSpain

Mr. Brewster stated that the applicant was requesting an exception to the fence standards for a recently constructed home at the corner of 71st Street and Cedar Street. The west property boundary is considered the rear lot line of the subject lot and the side lot line of the adjacent house to the west, making the configuration a “reverse corner” for purposes of interpreting the fence standards.

In this circumstance, the zoning ordinance requires the fence to be set back from 71st Street either 15 feet, or one-half the front yard of the adjacent house to the west, whichever is greater. [19.44.025.C.3] The fence was already constructed in violation of this requirement and is 12.5 feet from the 71st Street right-of-way at its closest point near the southeast corner of the house, where 18 feet would be required. At the west end, closer to the side lot line of the adjacent house, the fence is at or slightly deeper than the required 18-foot setback from 71st Street.

Mr. Brewster noted that a Building Inspector went to the property and flagged the location where the fence should have been installed. However, the fence was built with a different alignment, which is five feet closer to the right-of-way than what is allowed in zoning regulations. He added that the Planning Commission could grant an exception, but that staff recommended the site plan be denied because the fence was built in violation of the permit that was issued.

Ryan DeSpain, owner of the property, stated that the fence was purchased at Lowe’s, and installed by Lowe’s employees. He noted that the flagged fence line was not aesthetically pleasing when they began installing the fence, so he made a decision to have the installers place the fence in its current location rather than placing it in the location that it was approved to be placed and flagged by the building inspector.

The Planning Commission expressed concern with approving the exception because they felt it would set a dangerous precedent in allowing residents to knowingly ignore the City’s regulations and then only come ask for an exception after the fact if they get caught.

Mr. Breneman made a motion to deny the exception to the fence standards. Mr. Lenahan seconded the motion, which passed 5-1, with Mr. Valentino in opposition.

The applicant asked what his next steps were. He was informed by Mr. Wolf that he would need to move his fence to come into compliance with the City’s zoning regulations and that staff would work with him on a timeline for getting that accomplished.

Mr. DeSpain asked if there was any way to appeal the Planning Commission's decision. Mrs. Robichaud stated that the applicant had the right to appeal the decision to the City Council, and would follow up with him on his options for doing so.

STAFF REPORT

TO: Prairie Village Planning Commission
FROM: Chris Brewster, Gould Evans, Planning Consultant
DATE: February 4, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting

Application: PC 2020-102

Request: Site plan review for a fence, with an exception

Action: *A Site Plan requires the Planning Commission to apply the facts of the application to the standards and criteria of the ordinance, and if the criteria are met to approve the application. Fence standards have specific criteria to evaluate for granting exceptions.*

Property Address: 7052 Cedar

Applicant: Ryan & Megan Despain

Current Zoning and Land Use: R-1B Single-Family District - Single-Family Dwelling


Surrounding Zoning and Land Use: **North:** R-1B Single-Family District – Single-Family Dwellings
East: R-1B Single-Family District - Single-Family Dwellings
South: R-1B Single-Family District – Single-Family Dwellings
West: R-1B Single-Family District - Single-Family Dwellings

Legal Description: PRAIRIE VILLAGE LOT 4 BLK 53 PVC-1940


Property Area: 10,267.70 sq. ft. (0.24 ac.)

Related Case Files: none

Attachments: Application, Plot Plan (w/o fence), Photos




General Location – Map



General Location – Aerial



Site – Aerial



Birdseye



Street View (looking north on Cedar Street, subject property on left, prior to construction)



Street View (looking west on 71st Street, subject property on right, prior to construction)

BACKGROUND:

The applicant is requesting an exception to the fence standards to construct a fence in the side yard that does not meet the required setback on 71st Street. The property is a corner lot on the northwest corner of 71st and Cedar Streets, and 71st is the side street along the south property boundary. The west property boundary is the rear lot line of the subject lot and the side lot line of the adjacent house to the west, making this configuration a “reverse corner” for purposes of the fence standards. Houses on two of the other corners (northeast and southeast) have the same reverse corner configuration, fronting on Cedar Street. The house on the remaining corner (southwest) has a standard corner facing 71st Street, with its rear-yard street side fence along Cedar Street. In this circumstance, the zoning ordinance requires the fence to be setback from 71st Street either 15 feet, or one-half the front yard of the adjacent house to the west, whichever is greater. [19.44.025.C.3] The fence has already been constructed, and is 12.5 feet from the 71st Street right-of-way at its closest point near the southeast corner of the house, where 18 feet would be required. At the west end, closer to the side lot line of the adjacent house the fence is at or slightly deeper than the required 18-foot setback from 71st Street.

ANALYSIS:

This property is zoned R-1B. The fence standards in section 19.44.025 apply to this property, and the following specific section is the subject of this application:

C. Location.

3. Fences located on the side street of a corner lot shall not be less than five (5) feet from the right-of-way line except that if an adjacent lot faces the side street, the fence shall be setback from the right-of-way line a distance of fifteen (15) feet or not less than one-half the depth of the front yard of an adjacent building, whichever is the greater setback. [19.44.025.C.3]

This section intends to preserve the relationship of buildings, lots and yards to the streetscape, recognizing the different situations that typically arise on corner lots.

The factors that affect this particular situation are the following:

- The lot has a reverse corner orientation, with a street side yard on 71st Street, which abuts the front yard of the house to the west.
- The home immediately to the west has a front yard on 71st Street, and according to Johnson County AIMS mapping the building setback from the front lot line on 71st Street approximately 35 feet
- The regulations applicable to this application would require the fence to be setback 15 feet from the 71st Street right-of-way at least 15 feet, or one-half the depth of the adjacent house front yard, whichever is greater. In this case, one-half of the 35-foot front setback, or 17.5 feet, is the required setback for the fence.
- The location of the fence was noted on the permit and building officials flagged the property for the proper location of the fence in relation to 71st street. However, the fence was built at the current location, which is 5 feet past the required setback at the deepest point, but as the fence continues closer to the house to the west, the distance past the required setback is less due to the skew of the house and fence on the lot. It complies with the setback at the far west property line.
- The proposed fence generally meets all other fence requirements in Section 19.44.025, except for the location.

The fence standards allow the Planning Commission, through site plan review, to approve adjustments to the height and location of fences if it “results in a project that is more compatible, provides better screening, provides better storm drainage management, or provides a more appropriate utilization of the site.” [19.44.025.G.1.]

The applicant held a neighborhood meeting on January 20, 2020, as required by the Prairie Village Citizen Participation Policy, and has provided background on that meeting to supplement the application.

CRITERIA:

The following are the Site Plan review criteria: [Section 19.32.030.]

A. Generally.

1. The plan meets all applicable standards
2. The plan implements any specific principles or policies of the comprehensive plan that are applicable to the area or specific project.
3. The plan does not present any other apparent risks to the public health, safety, or welfare of the community.

The nature of this application is that it does not meet applicable standards, and is requesting an exception subject to specific criteria discussed below. Otherwise, this site is capable of meeting all requirements for residential property; although the orientation as a corner lot presents a different rear yard-fencing configuration in relation to the street than would typically occur.

B. Site Design and Engineering.

1. The plan provides safe and easy access and internal circulation considering the site, the block and other surrounding connections, and appropriately balances vehicle and pedestrian needs.
2. The plan provides or has existing capacity for utilities to serve the proposed development.
3. The plan provides adequate stormwater runoff.
4. The plan provides proper grading considering the prevailing grades and the relationship of adjacent uses.

This site is currently served by utilities and this plan does not affect any utility, access or runoff issues not already addressed through the building permit. No changes to the grade, building footprint or impervious surface are proposed or impacted by consideration of this application, and therefore stormwater runoff will not be affected.

C. Building Design.

1. The location, orientation, scale, and massing of the building creates appropriate relationships to the streetscape and to adjacent properties.
2. The selection and application of materials will promote proper maintenance and quality appearances over time.
3. The architectural design reflects a consistent theme and design approach. Specifically, the scale, proportion, forms and features, and selection and allocation of materials reflect a coordinated, unified whole.
4. The building reinforces the character of the area and reflects a compatible architectural relationship to adjacent buildings. Specifically, the scale, proportion, forms and features, and materials of adjacent buildings inform choices on the proposed building.

This plan does not affect building design criteria not already addressed through the building permit.

D. Landscape Design.

1. The plan creates an attractive aesthetic environment and improves relationships to the streetscape and adjacent properties.
2. The plan enhances the environmental and ecological functions of un-built portions of the site.
3. The plan reduces the exposure and adverse impact of more intense activities or components of the site or building.

The intent of the proposed location standards for fences is to improve and preserve the relationship of sites and buildings to the neighborhood street frontages. In this case, there is no sidewalk along 71st and the fence is at least 12.5 feet from the right-of-way at all locations. The fence is highly transparent with a black, simulated wrought iron design, and does not present any significant obstructions of visibility along the street or the frontage areas for adjacent properties.

The fence standards also have the following specific criteria for the Planning Commission to approve exceptions [Section 19.44.G.1.]:

- **Project that is more compatible,**
- **Provides better screening,**
- **Provides better storm drainage management, or**
- **Provides a more appropriate utilization of the site.**

The subject property is a new house that was recently built. It has a rear yard that is the required minimum depth of 25' from the common side lot line of the house to the west. The subject house is approximately 36 feet from the side lot line on 71st Street at its closest corner on the east/front of the house. Due to the skew of the house and lot, the side setback from the street is greater the closer it gets to the house to the west. The house to the west is approximately 35 feet from the front lot line on 71st street (according to AIMS mapping estimates). This would mean the fence for the subject lot could be up to 17.5 feet from the 71st Street lot line, according to Section 19.44.025.C.3. The fence was constructed parallel to the house rather than to the street, and is 12.5 feet from 71st street at the extension from the front of the house, but is 17.5 feet or greater from 71st Street at the common rear/side lot line with the house to the west.

RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the information submitted with the application and considerations in this staff report, planning staff recommends that the site plan be denied.

App# 0022066
Cwt# 014465



CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE
The Star of Kansas

Planning Commission Application

For Office Use Only	
Case No.:	PC2020-102
Filing Fee:	\$100.00
Deposit:	
Date Advertised:	
Date Notices Sent:	
Public Hearing Date:	

Please complete this form and return with Information requested to:

Assistant City Administrator
City of Prairie Village
7700 Mission Rd.
Prairie Village, KS 66208

Applicant: RYAN & MEGAN DESPAIN Phone Number: (816) 518-8533

Address: 7052 CEDAR ST E-Mail ryan.cdespain@gmail.com

Owner: RYAN & MEGAN DESPAIN Phone Number: (816) 518-8533

Address: 7052 CEDAR ST, PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KS 66208 Zip: 66208

Location of Property: 7052 CEDAR ST, PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KS 66208

Legal Description: PRAIRIE VILLAGE LOT 4 BLK 53 PVC-1940

Applicant requests consideration of the following: (Describe proposal/request in detail) Applying for a variance for our existing fence that is
on our property.

AGREEMENT TO PAY EXPENSES

APPLICANT intends to file an application with the PRAIRIE VILLAGE PLANNING COMMISSION or the PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS of the CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS (City) for _____.

As a result of the filing of said application, CITY may incur certain expenses, such as publication costs, consulting fees, attorney fees and court reporter fees.

APPLICANT hereby agrees to be responsible for and to CITY for all cost incurred by CITY as a result of said application. Said costs shall be paid within ten (10) days of receipt of any bill submitted by CITY to APPLICANT. It is understood that no requests granted by CITY or any of its commissions will be effective until all costs have been paid. Costs will be owing whether or not APPLICANT obtains the relief requested in the application.

[Signature] 1-2-20
Applicant's Signature/Date

[Signature] 1-2-20
Owner's Signature/Date



**The City of Prairie Village
Inspection Report**

Project Address 7052 Cedar St **Permit #** 19-1077

Contractor/Owner Fields Construction

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Footing/Foundation/Site | <input type="checkbox"/> Above Ceiling Rough | <input type="checkbox"/> Waterheater |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Piers | <input type="checkbox"/> Gas | <input type="checkbox"/> Furnace <input type="checkbox"/> AC |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Slab | <input type="checkbox"/> Electrical Service ____ Amps
(KCPL 471-5275) | <input type="checkbox"/> Deck |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Building Rough-in | <input type="checkbox"/> Midphase | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Plumbing Rough-in | <input type="checkbox"/> Insulation | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Electrical Rough-in | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Check / Investigation | <input type="checkbox"/> Firewall |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mechanical Rough-in | | <input type="checkbox"/> Pool/Spa |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Final |

REMARKS Fence must be located 18' off the property line on the 71st St side to provide required setback.

APPROVED NOT APPROVED - Call for re-inspection after corrections are made.

Inspector David McAuliffe **Date** 7-29-19 **Time** 3:00



The City of Prairie Village Inspection Report

Project Address 7052 Cedar St Permit # 19-1077

Contractor/Owner Fields Construction

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Footing/Foundation/Site | <input type="checkbox"/> Above Ceiling Rough | <input type="checkbox"/> Waterheater |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Piers | <input type="checkbox"/> Gas | <input type="checkbox"/> Furnace <input type="checkbox"/> AC |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Slab | <input type="checkbox"/> Electrical Service ____ Amps | <input type="checkbox"/> Deck |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Building Rough-in | (KCPL 471-5275) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Plumbing Rough-in | <input type="checkbox"/> Midphase | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Electrical Rough-in | <input type="checkbox"/> Insulation | <input type="checkbox"/> Firewall |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mechanical Rough-in | <input type="checkbox"/> Field Check / Investigation | <input type="checkbox"/> Pool/Spa |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Final |

REMARKS The fence must be approximately 18' off the property line. The right of way is 27.5' from the center line of 71st St. The set back from the right of way or property line is 18'. On the west side of the property it appears that the fence is meeting the setback requirement. On the east side of the fence it appears that the fence is close to five feet into the required setback. The fence will either need to be relocated per the location allowed on the permit, or an application can be made to seek site plan approval from the planning commission, which is the only means to have an exception from the zoning regulations.

APPROVED NOT APPROVED - Call for re-inspection after corrections are made.

Inspector David McAuliffe Date 12-6-19 Time 3:30





January 7, 2020

<Name>

<Address>

<City,>> <ST_> <Zip>

RE: 7052 Cedar Street – Fence Setback

Dear Neighbor,

As you may know, we recently built a new home at 7052 Cedar Street this past year. Our home rests on the NW corner of 71st Street and Cedar Street. We installed a fence on the lot with a separate fence permit from the City of Prairie Village. After the fence installation, the City was reviewing the fence and found that it does not conform to the setback from the right of way along the South side of our lot.

According to the inspection report, the west end of the fence in question meets the city's setback requirements. The issue at hand is the east corner of the fence. According to the inspection report it "appears that the fence is close to five feet into the required setback."

To comply with the right of way ordinance, the SE corner of our existing fence would need to be moved 5' 7" closer to the house (north). We have been instructed to file an application with the City of Prairie Village Planning Commission to request permission to keep the fence as it's currently installed.

The application requires us to provide an opportunity for our neighbors (all property owners within 200 feet of our property) to be notified of a meeting to discuss the fence if they choose to attend. The meeting will be held on Monday, January 20th at 6:00pm at the address in question. Afterwards, a written summary report will be submitted to the Secretary of the Prairie Village Planning Commission identifying the persons in attendance and any concerns expressed.

Thank you for your time and consideration as to whether our fence issue is of personal concern.

Sincerely,

Ryan and Megan DeSpain

7052 Cedar St. Public Meeting Notes

We held a voluntary public meeting at our residence (7052 Cedar St.) on Monday, January 20, 2020 at 6:00pm. Prior to the meeting on January 7th, we mailed out letters to the 28 neighbors who are located within 200 feet of our property. The letters included a letter explaining our PC2020-102 Site Plan Application, pictures of the existing fence on the property, and a site plan. The letter also invited everyone to express any concerns/objections in-person during the public meeting mentioned earlier.

Two neighbors showed up at the meeting. A sign-in sheet is also included.

After explaining the situation to our neighbors, both agreed that our fence was neither an aesthetic issue nor a line of sight issue for them. From an aesthetics perspective, they both agreed that the fence (as currently installed) looked appropriate and was a nice upgrade to the property.

Additionally, no one thought the fence presented a danger in regard to seeing East/West of 71st St. when facing South on Cedar St. preparing to turn onto 71st St. It was brought up that the cars parked along 71st St. presented a much greater line-of-sight issue than the fence in question.

The meeting at our residence lasted approximately one hour and both neighbors in attendance provided a vote of approval for the fence to remain as is.

If there are any additional materials, documents, or photos needed from me, please let me know and we will provide anything else that is required.

Thank you,

Ryan DeSpain

7052 Cedar St. Fence Meeting
Monday, January 20, 2019 6:00pm

Name / Address: Doug and Shelley Klein, 4901 W 71st Street

Name / Address: Ann Warren 4908 W. 71st St.

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

Name / Address: _____

PLOT PLAN

LOT 4, BLOCK 53
 PRAIRIE VILLAGE (BLKS 51-56)
 PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

7052 CEDAR STREET
 SW ¼, SEC. 16-12-25

LOT AREA: 10,267 SF
 HOUSE FOOTPRINT AREA: 2,297 SF

ZONING: R-1B

JOB NUMBER: 32952

DATE: 7/18/2018


PREPARED FOR: CHRIS GEORGE HOMES

REVISED: 8/2/2018

REVISED: 9/10/2018

UPDATED: 8/24/2018 - VERIFY SETBACKS & ELEVATION

NOTE: TOP OF FOOTING ELEVATION AND SIDE, FRONT AND REAR SETBACKS WERE VERIFIED OR ADJUSTED AS NOTED ON 10/3/2018.



Elevation & SETBACKS verified

Approved 10-5-18

LEGEND

- BAR FOUND AS DESCRIBED
- ⊙ SET ½" X 24" REBAR WITH PLASTIC KS CLS 93 CAP



SCALE: 1"=20'


ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE VERIFIED BY BUILDER AND ALL GRADES AS SHOWN SHALL BE VERIFIED BY BUILDER TO INSURE PROPER DRAINAGE AND ADEQUATE FALL TO SEWER.

NO TITLE INFORMATION WAS FURNISHED ON THIS SURVEY.

NOTES:

1. THE TOP OF FOOTING WAS MEASURED ON 10/3/2018. THE BASEMENT FLOOR, TOP OF FOUNDATION, AND FINISH FLOOR ELEVATIONS WILL LIKELY BE 0.2' HIGHER THAN PLAN WHEN THEY ARE CONSTRUCTED.

Notice: The content of this document has been reviewed for Building Line set back, Basement floor and top of wall elevation content only overall drainage and erosion control issues shall be compliant with the City of Prairie Village Public Works Department approved drainage plan.



CIVIL ENGINEERS
 LAND SURVEYORS - LAND PLANNERS

122 N. WATER STREET
 OLATHIE, KANSAS 66061
 PHONE: (913) 764-1076
 FAX: (913) 764-8635

14 W. PEORIA
 PAOLA, KANSAS 66071
 PHONE: (913) 557-1076
 FAX: (913) 557-6904

Jamie Robichaud

Subject: 7052 Cedar Summary

From: David McAuliffe

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 1:07 PM

To: Jamie Robichaud <jrobichaud@pvkansas.com>

Subject: 7052 Cedar Summary

On July 29th, Fields Construction made an application for a fence at 7052 Cedar, a corner lot at cedar and 71st St. In following staff procedures I went out on that day to measure the setback as the side yard abuts the neighbors front yard to the west of 7052. When I measured the fence I had noticed that an outline was already marked in the yard by the fence contractor as to where the fence was to be located. I measured the property line for 7052 Cedar and the property to the west to establish the required setback. After using my measure wheel I determined the setback to be approximately 18' from the property line. At some point I made contact with the homeowners and showed them where they were allowed to have the fence vs. where they contractor had marked for it to be which was closer to the property line than what was allowed. The homeowners expressed their disappointment in not being able to place the fence where they wanted it on their property, I discussed the option of appealing to the planning commission through site plan approval, although I told the homeowners I was unaware if anyone had previously appealed for any precedence. I was scheduled to inspect the fence on 8-12-19, it was noted that materials were on site but not installed. It was next scheduled to be inspected on November 20th, 2019 but due to scheduling conflicts I moved it to the following week. On 11-26-19 I went to measure and noticed the fence wasn't straight with the road, but my wheel measure broke and I wasn't able to measure it. On 12-6-19, after buying a new wheel measure I determined that the east end was about 5' into the setback. I contacted the permit holder by phone and sent the inspection report to him informing him of their options of either move the fence to the correct location or file a site plan application to the planning commission for approval.

David McAuliffe
Building Inspector
City of Prairie Village
7700 Mission Rd
Prairie Village, Kansas 66208
dmcauliffe@pvkansas.com
Office:913-385-4688
Fax:913-385-4654

Jamie Robichaud

From: Ryan DeSpain <ryancdespain@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2020 4:24 PM
To: Jamie Robichaud
Cc: Adam Geffert; Mitch Dringman
Subject: Re: Planning Commission Meeting Reminder

Hello, Jamie. We would like to appeal the planning commission's decision to the City Council.

Is there a form I need to fill out? Please advise on how we would need to proceed.

Thank you in advance.

On Feb 5, 2020, at 9:55 AM, Jamie Robichaud <jrobichaud@pvkansas.com> wrote:

Hi Ryan,

Adam is out of the office today, so he forwarded your email to me for a response.

I'm sorry you were disappointed with the outcome. At the end of the day, staff is obligated to recommend denial on an application like this because if we recommended approval, it would set a precedent that anyone who intentionally violates our regulations can get away with it just by coming to Planning Commission after the fact. We used taxpayer resources to come out and flag the property to ensure you knew exactly where the fence could go, and any variation from that location that we flagged would have needed Planning Commission's approval before you placed the fence there. If you had concerns about the location of the fence, it is always your right to appeal that concern to the Planning Commission and request an exception. However, intentionally violating the regulations and then asking for forgiveness later left us no choice but to recommend denial. I know this is an unfortunate and frustrating situation, but the City's hands are tied on this. We had a very similar application last month and it was denied as well, so the Planning Commission's decision was consistent with past applications. If I could offer one piece of advice if you choose to move forward with filing an appeal to our City Council, it's this – please remain respectful and professional. Your demeanor last evening when your application was denied made many of our Planning Commissioners and staff uncomfortable, to the point we considered having them escorted to their vehicles by police officers. That type of behavior will not bode well at the City Council meeting, so I would encourage you to respectfully explain the situation and professionally accept the decision they make – whether it is in favor or against your request for an exception.

If you wish to appeal the decision to the City Council, you can do so by submitting formal notice in writing within 10 working days of the Planning Commission decision. This means that we will need to receive your notice which should include the reasons for your appeal no later than Wednesday, February 19. When we receive that, we will schedule it for a City Council meeting date to be considered and will let you know when that date will be. I can't tell you whether the City Council will approve or deny the request, just like we couldn't predict whether the Planning Commission would approve or deny your request. I can tell you that staff does have an obligation to continue to recommend denial of your

request no matter how unfortunate the situation, and we will make that recommendation to the City Council if you choose to appeal the decision.

If the City Council denies your appeal, you will be required to move the fence to a location that meets our zoning regulations. Our staff will work with you to give you adequate time to have the fence relocated as long as you keep us informed of your timeline. However, if the fence is not moved in a reasonable amount of time, we will be obligated to issue you a citation and you will be required to appear in municipal court.

And to answer your last question below – the reason the members sitting before you last night did not match the pictures on the website is because you were at the Planning Commission meeting last night, not the City Council meeting.

Please let me know if I can help with anything else.

Respectfully,

Jamie Robichaud

Deputy City Administrator

City of Prairie Village

7700 Mission Road | Prairie Village, KS 66208

jrobichaud@pvkansas.com | 913-385-4601

From: Adam Geffert <ageffert@pvkansas.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 05, 2020 8:03 AM
To: Jamie Robichaud <jrobichaud@pvkansas.com>
Subject: Fwd: Planning Commission Meeting Reminder

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ryan DeSpain <ryancdespain@gmail.com>
Date: February 4, 2020 at 11:05:37 PM CST
To: Adam Geffert <ageffert@pvkansas.com>
Subject: Re: Planning Commission Meeting Reminder

Adam, it's safe to say that I was disgusted by what happened tonight. Whether it's actually what happened or not... it felt like there was no reason for me to have even jumped through the hoops and have shown up.

Statements like "we have rules in place for a reason" and "I can't approve this appeal because you didn't ask for permission ahead of time" make it seem like no one bothered to look at our situation individually.

I hope I receive the proper information in regards to an appeal of this decision in a timely manner. Until I receive said information, I will be looking to hire legal representation. I have no other option.

For the council to not take into account the fact that in order to move our fence would mean moving some sections of it less than eight inches is obtuse and insulting.

The fact that these matters aren't looked at individually instead of hiding behind statements of "we have rules in place for a reason" seems extremely short-sided and willfully inflexible.

Frankly, it feels as though we have been targeted from the outset of this process. I want to thank you for being so cordial and polite throughout this process. I wish I could say the same for the rest of the council members.

Also... tonight's city council members sitting before me did not match the names and faces of anyone listed as the Ward representatives of those listed on the website. I was confused as to who exactly was making the decision tonight.

Again, thanks for your cooperation, kindness and communication to this point.

Thanks,

Ryan

On Jan 31, 2020, at 4:49 PM, Adam Geffert
<ageffert@pvkansas.com> wrote:

Ryan,

The recommendation is made by our City Planner, based on the information that he collected and reviewed for the staff report. However, the recommendation is not a final decision – you'll have an opportunity to speak with the Planning Commission directly at Tuesday's meeting, after which they will ultimately vote to determine the outcome. If you have any questions prior to the meeting, just let me know.

Thanks!
Adam

From: Ryan DeSpain <ryancdespain@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2020 2:00 PM
To: Adam Geffert <ageffert@pvkansas.com>
Subject: Re: Planning Commission Meeting Reminder

This is an EXTERNAL email. Please use caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links in unexpected emails or from unknown senders.

The “recommendation” at the end of the report is for the plan to be denied. What does that mean, exactly?

On Jan 31, 2020, at 1:35 PM, Adam Geffert
<ageffert@pvkansas.com> wrote:

Hi Ryan,

Just a reminder that the Planning Commission will meet on Tuesday, February 4th at 7:00 p.m. The meeting will be held in the Council Chambers at Prairie Village City Hall.

I’ve attached the staff report for your application prepared by our City Planner. Please review this prior to attending the meeting. If you have any questions, just let me know.

Have a great weekend!

Adam Geffert
City Clerk, City of Prairie Village
7700 Mission Road | Prairie Village, KS 66208
ageffert@pvkansas.com | 913-385-4616

<PC2020-102 Staff Report.pdf>

19.44.025 Fences and Walls.**A. Purpose and Intent**

1. To buffer or screen uses that may have negative impact on adjacent uses.
2. To provide privacy in outdoor spaces.
3. To provide safety from hazards such as swimming pools, hot tubs, spas and other similar facilities.
4. To enhance the quality of appearance of developed land use. (Ord. 2117, Sec. 2, 2006)

B. Design

1. Appearance – Those fences which have surface material, whether it be wood, chain link, metal bars or other permitted material, attached on one side of posts and/or rails, thus producing a finished side and an unfinished side, shall be installed with the finished sides exposed toward the street and adjacent properties. When doubt exists as to which way the surface of the proposed fence shall face, the Building Official shall make the final determination.
2. Prohibited Fences – The installation of farm type fences such as barbed wire, high tensile wire, wire mesh, welded wire, woven wire, pipe and cable, electric and razor ribbon fences or any similar type fence shall be prohibited.
3. Height – No fence shall exceed six (6) feet in height except tennis court enclosures which may not exceed twelve (12) feet in height and except fences which are located within the building envelope of a lot shall not exceed eight (8) feet in height. The height of the fence shall be deemed to be the average distance from the finished grade to the highest point on the fence panel, excluding posts which may project above the fence panel not more than eight (8) inches. Where the terrain is not level, the average dimension may, at the discretion of the Building Official, be applied to each eight (8) foot section of the fence. Fences built in combination with retaining walls and/or berms shall be measured from the finished grade on the high side of the wall. In addition, fences and walls built on slopes shall comply with the required height measurement along the line of the fence location.
4. Decorative Fences – Decorative fences shall be designed so that they are at least 50% open and do not exceed two and a half (2 ½) feet in height. Split rail and wrought iron fences are examples of this type of fence. (Ord. 2117, Sec. 2, 2006)

C. Location

1. Decorative fences may be located in the front yard but shall be located no closer than ten (10) feet from a street right-of-way line.
2. Fences, other than decorative fences, shall not be located in the front yard and may be attached to or extended from the front corner of the dwelling.
3. Fences located on the side street of a corner lot shall not be less than five (5) feet from the right-of-way line except that if an adjacent lot faces the side street, the fence shall be setback from the right-of-way line a distance of fifteen (15) feet or not less than one-half the depth of the front yard of an adjacent building, whichever is the greater setback.
4. If the rear of a through lot is fenced, a gate shall be installed to provide access to the right-of-way.
5. Diagrams depicting the location of fences on various types of lots are attached. (Ord. 2117, Sec. 2, 2006)

D. Retaining Walls

1. Retaining walls shall be designed and constructed to support lateral loads. Applications for retaining walls exceeding four (4) feet in height, whether terraced or not, shall be accompanied by design calculations and plans sealed by a professional engineer licensed in the State of Kansas. Said plans shall be reviewed prior to the issuance of a building permit. Retaining walls shall setback a minimum of two (2) feet from side and rear

property lines, and retaining walls exceeding six (6) feet in height shall be required to be setback from side and rear property lines an additional one (1) foot for each two (2) feet, or part thereof, in excess of six (6) feet in height, e.g. a ten (10) foot high retaining wall would be required to set back a minimum of four (4) feet from the property line. Allowances will be made for tie backs to existing grade. Diagrams depicting the location of retaining walls on various types of situations are attached. Any exceptions or deviations from this formula shall require site plan approval by the Planning Commission. (Ord. 2117, Sec. 2, 2006)

E. Drainage and Utility Easements

1. Fences and walls shall not restrict natural surface drainage nor be constructed to divert or channel water flow with increased velocity. Fences shall not be constructed in drainage easements if they affect the flow of storm water.
2. Fences installed in a utility easement may need to be removed in order to access the utilities. Fences constructed in easements are at the risk of the owner and shall not be the responsibility of the utility or city to replace them. (Ord. 2117, Sec. 2, 2006)


F. Permits Required

1. All fences, and retaining walls as defined herein, unless otherwise excepted, shall require a building permit. No fence may be erected, constructed or replaced until said permit has been procured from the Building Official. The Building Official may allow minor deviations and adjustments relative to the dimensions set out in this section where topographic or other natural features, utility locations, meters, trees or other conditions so warrant and where the spirit and intent of this section will be preserved.
2. Enclosures erected around compost piles in compliance with the conditions set forth in Chapter 15. Article 3 of the City Code are excluded from these regulations and shall not require a permit. (Ord. 2117, Sec. 2, 2006)

G. Site Plan Approval


1. As a part of the site plan approval process as set out in Section 19.32 Site Plan Approval, the Planning Commission may approve solid walls or make adjustments to the height and location of fences, solid walls and retaining walls provided that it results in a project that is more compatible, provides better screening, provides better storm drainage management, or provides a more appropriate utilization of the site.
2. An application may be made to the Planning Commission for site plan approval of a solid wall, retaining wall or a fence that is unique and does not have the locational or design characteristics set out in these regulations. (Ord. 2117, Sec. 2, 2006; Ord. 2248, Sec. 2, 2011)

Figure 19.44 - 1: Prairie Village Fence Regulations




FENCE REGULATIONS
PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

Figure 19.44 - 2: Prairie Village Fence Standards 1



FENCE STANDARDS
PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

Figure 19.44 - 3: Prairie Village Fence Standards 2



FENCE STANDARDS
PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS



JAZZFEST COMMITTEE
Council Meeting Date: June 15, 2020

COU2020-28:

Consider cancellation of the 2020 JazzFest event

RECOMMENDATION

Recommend cancellation of the 2020 JazzFest Event

BACKGROUND

The JazzFest committee met via Zoom on June 9, 2020 to consider the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the festival.

The committee considered the various elements of the event, and agreed that with public health as the primary concern, it would be next to impossible to alter the event to accommodate the expected social distancing guidelines, as the event typically draws thousands of patrons.

Additionally, the funding model of the event relies heavily on both ticket sales and sponsorship dollars from area businesses. Given the current economic climate and anticipated reduced ticket and concession sales, it would not be fiscally prudent to hold the event.

The committee made a motion to recommend canceling the 2020 Jazz Festival.

ATTACHMENTS

Draft committee minutes - June 9, 2020

PREPARED BY

Meghan Buum
Assistant City Administrator

June 10, 2020

JazzFest Committee Meeting Minutes
June 10, 2020; via Zoom

In attendance: Chairman JD Kinney, Council Liaison Inga Selders, Amanda Hassett, Dave Hassett, Alex Toepfer, Elissa Andre, Jim Barnes, Joyce Hagen Mundy, John Wilinski, Kyle VanLanduyt, Meghan Buum

Meeting Minutes: JD Kinney opened the meeting. He reported that he met via Zoom on June 4 with Inga Selders and Meghan Buum to discuss the 2020 festival.

Based on that conversation, it was his belief that the committee should recommend canceling the 2020 festival for a variety of factors, including:

- While we have a “playbook” to base the festival planning, the uncertainty surrounding the coronavirus makes it difficult to plan an event while taking into account the safety of attendees, volunteers, and staff
- Attendance at the event is estimated to be upwards of three thousand patrons.
- Financially, the committee factored in multiple considerations:
 - The event is just one year beyond a serious downturn due to rain. While the 2019 festival was successful, reserve funds are still being rebuilt.
 - The committee anticipated extra costs due to implementing appropriate safety measures.
 - The committee anticipated reduced income in ticket and beverage sales.
 - Fundraising during the economic downturn has been delayed.

JD committed to returning to the committee as chair in 2021, and expressed his hope the committee members would continue to participate next year as well. He would like to start the conversation now to plan for a safe and successful 2021 event.

JD Kinney made a motion to recommend to the City Council that the 2020 Jazz Festival be canceled in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. John Wilinski seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Pending City Council approval, the committee discussed the remaining tasks needed for the year.

- Elissa will make an announcement on the event Facebook page. She suggested posting music videos or interviews from previous festival performers or interviews to post to our social media during the week the event would have been held. Alex will reach out to past artists to see if they have videos or performances to share.
- Joyce will draft a letter to send to past sponsors to let them know about the event cancellation
- The committee will meet in the fall to get started on the 2021 event

Meeting adjourned at 6 p.m.



ADMINISTRATION
Council Meeting Date: June 15, 2020

COU2020-29: Consider approval of a joint statement from the Prairie Village Governing Body regarding racial justice

RECOMMENDATION

Recommend approval of a joint statement from the Prairie Village Governing Body and City Supervisory Staff regarding racial justice. The template of this statement was prepared by staff with comments from the Council President.

ATTACHMENTS

Joint Statement

PREPARED BY

Wes Jordan
City Administrator

June 10, 2020

Joint Statement from the City of Prairie Village Regarding Racial Justice

As elected leaders and city staff who represent the City of Prairie Village, our hearts are heavy following the tragic and senseless death of George Floyd. Mr. Floyd's death, and others who have died at the hands of prejudice, have evoked pain and anger for many within Prairie Village and throughout the nation. So their deaths will not be in vain, we pledge to fight systemic and individual racism. The countless number of race-related crimes against Black people throughout history is appalling, inexcusable, and unacceptable.

Let us give words meaning through our actions and solemn oaths to protect the civil liberties of all we serve. We stand united to eliminate the evil foothold of racism in our own community through ongoing review of organizational policies and procedures, the services we provide to our citizens, our schools, and our criminal justice system. Our culture must reflect a continuous fight against injustices that would preclude a racially diverse Prairie Village.

We support the rights of the public to peacefully assemble to honor those who have been victims of racism and violence. We encourage public dialogue and debate. We invite conversations related to improving diversity and inclusion in Prairie Village. We commit to actively learning from the experiences of others and to listening with empathy. Today and every day, we stand with the Black community. We stand with those who call for constitutional justice, social equity, and basic human rights. We continue our pursuit of the notion that all people are created equal. While racism did not start in our lifetime, we pledge to work tirelessly to ensure it ends in our lifetime.

Signed, on the 15th day of June, 2020, by:

[insert names of those Council Members who wish to sign]

Joint Statement from the City of Prairie Village Regarding Racial Justice. As elected leaders ~~and city staff~~ who represent the City of Prairie Village, our hearts are heavy following the tragic and senseless ~~death~~ ~~killing~~ of George Floyd ~~and other people of color wrongfully killed or brutalized by police or armed vigilantes~~. Mr. Floyd's death, and others who have died at the hands of prejudice, have evoked pain and anger for many within Prairie Village and throughout the nation. So their deaths will not be in vain, we pledge to fight systemic and individual racism. The countless number of race-related crimes against Black people throughout history ~~and continuing to the present~~ is appalling, inexcusable, and unacceptable.

Let us give words meaning through our actions and solemn oaths to protect the ~~humanity, dignity, and~~ civil liberties of all we serve. ~~We recognize that racism is, tragically, ingrained in our society. We recognize that racism comes in many forms, including not only overt racial hatred but also insidious unconscious biases. We stand ready to proactively educate ourselves about all aspects of racism in our society and ourselves. We pledge to listen to the voices and experiences of people of color even when those perspectives challenge us.~~ We stand united to ~~eliminate the evil foothold of~~ ~~address~~ racism in our own community through ongoing review of organizational policies and procedures, the services we provide to our citizens, our schools, and our criminal justice system. ~~Our community lacks diversity in large part because of our shameful history of racial segregation, including redlining. We must work to increase diversity in Prairie Village, and Our the culture of our city government~~ must reflect a continuous fight against injustices that would preclude a racially diverse Prairie Village.

We support the rights of the public to peacefully assemble to honor those who have been victims of racism and violence. ~~We reject the militarization of police forces. Police should be guardians, not warriors.~~ We encourage public dialogue and debate. We invite conversations related to improving diversity and inclusion in Prairie Village. ~~We commit to actively learning from the experiences of others and to listening with empathy.~~ Today and every day, we stand with the Black community. We stand with those who call for constitutional justice, social equity, and basic human rights. We continue our pursuit of the notion that all people are created equal. While racism did not start in our lifetime ~~and will not end in our lifetime~~, we pledge to work tirelessly ~~to ensure it ends~~ ~~against it~~ in our lifetime.

Signed, on the 15th day of June, 2020, by:

Commented [PT1]: Setting the precedent of having staff joining public statements like this is unfair to staff because at some point in the future staff could feel pressure to sign on to such statements on other issues that they may not agree with. It's important to keep a line of separation between the role of elected officials and the role of staff – the role of staff is executive and administrative only; this protects staff in the long term and helps protect the integrity of democratic government. Further, the democratically elected Governing Body represents the city and only the Governing body speaks on behalf of the city.

Commented [PT2]: Words matter. Calling his murder a "death" is whitewashing.

Commented [PT3]: This moment is not only about Mr. Floyd, it's about the continuing slaughter and dehumanization of people of color.

Commented [PT4]: It's really important to not frame racism as a problem of the past – it's a problem of the present. "History" tends to connote the distant past.


Commented [PT5]: It's important to recognize that racism is not merely racial animus, and that unconscious bias is ubiquitous. The work of recognizing and counteracting racism is proactive and constant.

Commented [PT6]: Claiming that racism can be eradicated is part of the illusion of "color blindness" that holds back progress in this area because it fails to recognize that counteracting racism is a process, not a destination.

Commented [PT7]: We should publicly acknowledge our problem with diversity and the fact that it was intentional and we now have a responsibility to act to address the consequences of the segregationist policies on which the community was (in part) based.

Commented [PT8]: This moment is about police brutality as much as racism – we should take a stand on the culture of policing.

Commented [PT9]: Claiming that racism can be eradicated is part of the illusion of "color blindness" that holds back progress in this area because it fails to recognize that counteracting racism is a process, not a destination.



COU2020-27: Consider an Amendment to Chapter II (Animal Ordinance) of the Municipal Code and Section 19.36.005 (E.) of Zoning Regulations to allow for residents to keep chickens.

SUGGESTED MOTIONS

1. Move to approve amendments to Chapter II of the Municipal Code as presented.
2. Direct staff to make amendments to section 19.36.005 (E.) of Zoning Regulations consistent with approved amendments to Chapter II of the Municipal Code to allow for residents to keep chickens.

BACKGROUND

At the June 1, 2020, Council Committee of the Whole, staff was directed to draft recommended changes to Chapter II (Animal Ordinance) of the Municipal Code to allow for residents to keep chickens. The City Attorney, David Waters, drafted changes as attached for consideration of approval to move to the City Council meeting for final approval. During the drafting process, the City Attorney also determined that section 19.36.005 (E.) of current zoning regulations would also need to be amended due to the following language that would prohibit the ability for residents to keep chickens:

19.36.005 (E): The raising, storage, or handling of farm crops, the raising, feeding or keeping of farm animals, livestock or poultry, other than customary household pets as identified in the P.V.M.C. 6.04.020, and the keeping or display of farm or other heavy equipment or machinery is prohibited in all districts.

If approved, staff would begin the process of the amending the section 19.36.005 (E.) of the current zoning regulation as specified in the enclosed attachment. The amendment to zoning regulations before the Planning Commission requires a Public Hearing that will need to be published 20 days in advance of consideration. If advanced as recommended by the Planning Commission, the projected timeline for final consideration of approval by the Governing Body would likely be the second meeting in August.

ATTACHMENTS

- Chapter II Municipal Code
- 19.36.005 (E.) Zoning Regulations

PREPARED BY

Wes Jordan
City Administrator
Date: June 10, 2020

ORDINANCE NO. 2421

AN ORDINANCE REGARDING ANIMAL CONTROL AND REGULATION WITHIN THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS, REGULATING THE KEEPING OF CHICKENS; AMENDING CHAPTER II (ANIMAL CONTROL AND REGULATION), ARTICLE 1 (GENERAL PROVISIONS) OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, AND ADDING NEW SECTIONS THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS:

Section 1. Existing Section 2-143 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended solely for the purposes of renumbering such section as Section 2-144; existing Section 2-144 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended solely for the purposes of renumbering such section as Section 2-145; and existing Section 2-145 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended solely for the purposes of renumbering such section as Section 2-146.

Section 2. A new Section 2-143 is hereby established in Chapter II (Animal Control and Regulation), Article 1 (General Provisions), in the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, as follows:

2-143 KEEPING OF CHICKENS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS.

A. Definitions.

- (1) "Chicken" means *Gallus gallus domesticus* of the female sex; This definition does not include male chickens or roosters, or other fowl, such as, but not limited to, peacocks, turkeys, or waterfowl, all of which are not permitted under this Section.
- (2) "Chicken Coop" or "Coop" means an enclosed structure for housing chickens that provides shelter from the elements.
- (3) "Chicken Run" or "Run" means an enclosed outside yard or area for keeping chickens.
- (4) "Chicken Tractor" or "Tractor" means a movable chicken coop lacking a floor.
- (5) "Chick" means a chicken of less than sixteen (16) weeks of age.
- (6) "Dwelling" shall have such meaning as provided in Chapter 16 (zoning and subdivision regulations).
- (7) "Lot" shall have such meaning as provided in Chapter 16 (zoning and subdivision regulations).

Other terms used herein but not defined herein shall have such meanings as provided in Section 2-102 of this Article, if so defined.

B. Keeping of Chickens Allowed. Subject to the provisions of this Section 2-143, and the other provisions of this Article, the keeping of chickens shall be permitted within the city limits.

(1) No person or household shall own or harbor more than six (6) chickens of sixteen (16) weeks of age or older, or more than one clutch (eight) of chicks per parcel, on any one lot, regardless of how many dwellings are on the lot.

(2) Only female chickens are allowed.

(3) The keeping of chickens, as outlined in this section, shall only be permitted in the R-1A, R-1B, and R-2 zoning districts, as defined in the city's zoning and subdivision regulations.

(4) Nothing in this Section shall permit the keeping and selling of chickens for profit, and commercial chicken operations are prohibited.

It shall be unlawful to keep chickens except in accordance with this Section.

C. Requirements for Enclosures; Locations and Setbacks.

(1) Chickens must be kept in a coop or chicken tractor at all times. A coop must include an attached adjacent chicken run. A chicken tractor must include an enclosed coop portion and a separate attached area lacking a floor. Only one coop (with run) or one chicken tractor may be maintained on any one lot.

(2) Coops (including the chicken run) and tractors must be built with a minimum of twelve (12) square feet per chicken for a minimum of 72 square feet total, but not to exceed 84 square feet total. Of this, a minimum of two-square-feet-per-chicken of inside or enclosed space in the coop or tractor must be provided. If and to the extent setbacks or other requirements of this Section limit the size of a coop/run or tractor, then a person shall only own or harbor such number of chickens as may fit within such limited size, in consideration of the minimum requirements for coops, runs, and chicken tractors.

(a) Coops (including the coop portion of any chicken tractor) shall be enclosed on all sides and shall have a roof and doors. Access doors must be able to be shut and locked. Opening windows and vents, whether in the coop, the run, or the tractor, must be covered with predator- and bird-proof wire of less than one-inch openings.

(b) The coop, run, and tractor shall be constructed with durable materials that will hold up to weather and the environment. Sturdy wire and/or wooden fencing shall be used to keep chickens within the run or tractor. New

materials shall be used, unless used or reclaimed materials are approved by the City building inspector. The use of scrap, waste board, sheet metal, or similar materials is prohibited.

- (3) Coops, runs, and tractors may only be located in the rear yard of a parcel, as such rear yard is defined in Chapter 16 (zoning and subdivision regulations). Coops, runs, and tractors must be located at least ten (10) feet from the property line of a lot, and at least twenty-five (25) feet from any dwelling, church, school, or business structure located on any other parcel.

D. Standards of Care; Feed; Waste.

- (1) Chickens shall be provided with adequate care, adequate food, adequate health care, adequate shelter, and adequate water, as defined in this Article.
- (2) All feed and other items associated with the keeping of chickens shall be protected from or to prevent rats, mice, or other rodents or other vermin from gaining access to or coming into contact with the feed. The owner and persons responsible for the chickens shall take such actions as are necessary to reduce the attraction of predators and rodents and the potential infestation of insects and parasites.
- (3) Odors from chickens, chicken manure, chicken waste, chicken feed, or other substances related to the keeping of chickens shall not be perceptible beyond the property lines of any lot. Noise from chickens shall not be loud enough at the property lines of any lot as to disturb persons of reasonable sensibilities.
- (4) Owners and persons responsible for chickens shall handle the care and disposal of any chicken waste. The coop, yard, and tractor, and the whole of any lot, must be kept free from trash and accumulated waste or droppings. Any composting of droppings/manure must comply with the provisions of Chapter XV, Article 2, of the city code, including but not limited to as to aggregate size of any compost pile which may contain chicken waste.
- (5) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 2-128, and any exceptions contained therein, no person shall publicly slaughter any chicken.
- (6) The provisions of this Article, including but not limited to Sections 2-125 (Public Nuisance), 2-126 (Unlawful to Harbor or Keep any Animal without Proper and Necessary Precautions), 2-128 (Cruelty to Animals), 2-137 (Disease Control), 2-138 (Removal of Animal Feces), and 2-139

(Removal of Dead Animal) shall otherwise apply as to the keeping of chickens as described in this Section.

E. Application of Dangerous Animal Regulations. Notwithstanding anything in this Article to the contrary, the attack or killing of a chicken by an animal shall not, by itself, cause such animal to be classified as a dangerous animal, a potentially dangerous animal, or a vicious animal.

F. Enforcement. Violations of this Section shall be handled by either the City building inspector, code enforcement, animal control, the director of solid waste management, or through police action, as may be necessary given the nature of the violation.

Section 3. Existing Section 2-103 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended to read as follows (with underlined portions being added, and stricken-out portions being deleted):

2-103 KEEPING OF LIVESTOCK, POULTRY AND FOWL PROHIBITED.

- a) Except as provided in subsection (b) below, and as provided in Section 2-143 below, it shall be unlawful for any person to own, harbor, shelter, keep, control, manage, or possess livestock, poultry or fowl on any premises within the City and no special or temporary permit will be issued for these. For the purpose of this section, livestock, poultry, and fowl include, but are not limited to: cows, pigs, horses, donkeys, mules, sheep, goats, chickens, ducks, geese, guinea fowl, peacocks, pigeons, swans and those animals considered miniature or pygmy breeds, e.g., pot-bellied pigs, miniature donkeys, miniature horses, and pygmy goats.
- b) The following persons or organizations shall be allowed to own, harbor, shelter, keep, control, manage, or possess any livestock, poultry and fowl:
 1. The keeping of such animals in zoos, bona fide educational or medical institutions, museums or any other place where there are kept live specimens for the public to view or for the purpose of instruction or study;
 2. The keeping of such animals for exhibition to the public of such animals by a circus, carnival or other exhibit or show;
 3. The keeping of such animals in a bona fide, licensed veterinary hospital for treatment; ~~and~~
 4. Commercial establishments processing such animals for the purpose of sale or display; and
 5. The keeping of chickens as provided in Section 2-143 below.

Section 4. Existing Section 2-109 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended to read as follows (with underlined portions being added, and stricken-out portions being deleted):

2-109 HARBORING OR KEEPING OF PERMITTED ANIMALS

- a) No person shall own, harbor, shelter, keep, control, manage, or possess, within the City, any potentially dangerous or dangerous animal, or any safe animal including the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) and the domestic cat (*Felis domesticus*), without obtaining permits and licenses required under this Chapter. The following animals are the only animals allowed without a permit or license:
1. Gerbils (*Tateriltus gracillio*);
 2. Hamsters (*Critecus critecus*);
 3. Rabbits (*Lepus Cunicullus*);
 4. Domestic Mice (*Mus musculus*);
 5. Domestic Rat (*Rattus norvegicus*);
 6. Any animal, usually tame and commonly sold at pet stores, including Ferrets (*Mustela furo*), Chinchillas (*Chinchillidae*), Canaries (*Serinus canaria*), Cockatoos, Macaws, Parakeets, and Parrots (*Psittacines*); ~~and~~
 7. Bees, subject to Section 2-140-; and
 8. Chickens, subject to Section 2-143.
- b) Any person who owns, harbors, shelters, keeps, controls, manages, or possesses, within the City, any animal without a permit, except as exempted by this section, shall be charged with a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof, shall be subject to the penalties in section ~~2-143~~ 2-144, and/or such specific penalties as be provided elsewhere in this Article. This shall include instances where any person owns, harbors, shelters, keeps, controls, manages, or possesses, within the City, an animal which has been declared by another municipality to be potentially dangerous or dangerous, or similar designation.

Section 5. Existing Section 2-125 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended to read as follows (with underlined portions being added, and stricken-out portions being deleted):

2-125 PUBLIC NUISANCE

- a) A Public Nuisance is ~~any animal that~~:
1. Any animal that materially ~~Materially~~ damages private or public property;
 2. Any animal that scatters ~~Scatters~~ solid waste that is bagged or otherwise contained; ~~or~~
 3. Any animal that excessively ~~Excessively~~ barks, whines, howls, or creates any other disturbance which is continuous or during times covered by the City Noise

Ordinance, as amended from time to time (12:00am to 9:00am Friday-Saturday, 11:00pm to 7:00am Sunday-Thursdays) (disturbance factors include, but are not limited to: time of day, volume, length of time, etc.). If the violation is not witnessed by the animal control officer and/or law enforcement officer, the complainant making such statement must agree to sign a complaint and testify in court if requested; or

- 4. Any violation of this Article that constitutes a health hazard, or that unreasonably interferes with the use and enjoyment of neighboring property.
 - b) It is unlawful for the person responsible for any animal to negligently, carelessly, willfully or maliciously permit such animal to become a public nuisance.
 - c) Anyone having the authority of an animal control officer, including but not limited to law enforcement officers, is given the authority to seize and impound any animal which is a public nuisance as defined by this section.

Section 6. Sections 2-103, 2-109, 2-125, 2-143, 2-144, and 2-145 of the Prairie Village Municipal Code, in existence as of and prior to the adoption of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect and be enforced from and after its passage, approval, and publication as provided by law.

PASSED by the City Council of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas on _____, 2020.

APPROVED by the Mayor on _____, 2020.

CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

Eric Mikkelson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Adam Geffert, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

David E. Waters, City Attorney

ORDINANCE NO. 2421

AN ORDINANCE REGARDING ANIMAL CONTROL AND REGULATION WITHIN THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS, REGULATING THE KEEPING OF CHICKENS; AMENDING CHAPTER II (ANIMAL CONTROL AND REGULATION), ARTICLE 1 (GENERAL PROVISIONS) OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, AND ADDING NEW SECTIONS THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS:

Section 1. Existing Section 2-143 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended solely for the purposes of renumbering such section as Section 2-144; existing Section 2-144 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended solely for the purposes of renumbering such section as Section 2-145; and existing Section 2-145 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended solely for the purposes of renumbering such section as Section 2-146.

Section 2. A new Section 2-143 is hereby established in Chapter II (Animal Control and Regulation), Article 1 (General Provisions), in the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, as follows:

2-143 KEEPING OF CHICKENS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS.

A. Definitions.

- (1) "Chicken" means *Gallus gallus domesticus* of the female sex; This definition does not include male chickens or roosters, or other fowl, such as, but not limited to, peacocks, turkeys, or waterfowl, all of which are not permitted under this Section.
- (2) "Chicken Coop" or "Coop" means an enclosed structure for housing chickens that provides shelter from the elements.
- (3) "Chicken Run" or "Run" means an enclosed outside yard or area for keeping chickens.
- (4) "Chicken Tractor" or "Tractor" means a movable chicken coop lacking a floor.
- (5) "Chick" means a chicken of less than sixteen (16) weeks of age.
- (6) "Dwelling" shall have such meaning as provided in Chapter 16 (zoning and subdivision regulations).
- (7) "Lot" shall have such meaning as provided in Chapter 16 (zoning and subdivision regulations).

Other terms used herein but not defined herein shall have such meanings as provided in Section 2-102 of this Article, if so defined.

B. Keeping of Chickens Allowed. Subject to the provisions of this Section 2-143, and the other provisions of this Article, the keeping of chickens shall be permitted within the city limits.

(1) No person or household shall own or harbor more than six (6) chickens of sixteen (16) weeks of age or older, or more than one clutch (eight) of chicks per parcel, on any one lot, regardless of how many dwellings are on the lot.

(2) Only female chickens are allowed.

(3) The keeping of chickens, as outlined in this section, shall only be permitted in the R-1A, R-1B, and R-2 zoning districts, as defined in the city's zoning and subdivision regulations.

(4) Nothing in this Section shall permit the keeping and selling of chickens for profit, and commercial chicken operations are prohibited.

It shall be unlawful to keep chickens except in accordance with this Section.

C. Requirements for Enclosures; Locations and Setbacks.

(1) Chickens must be kept in a coop or chicken tractor at all times. A coop must include an attached adjacent chicken run. A chicken tractor must include an enclosed coop portion and a separate attached area lacking a floor. Only one coop (with run) or one chicken tractor may be maintained on any one lot.

(2) Coops (including the chicken run) and tractors must be built with a minimum of twelve (12) square feet per chicken for a minimum of 72 square feet total, but not to exceed 84 square feet total. Of this, a minimum of two-square-feet-per-chicken of inside or enclosed space in the coop or tractor must be provided. If and to the extent setbacks or other requirements of this Section limit the size of a coop/run or tractor, then a person shall only own or harbor such number of chickens as may fit within such limited size, in consideration of the minimum requirements for coops, runs, and chicken tractors.

(a) Coops (including the coop portion of any chicken tractor) shall be enclosed on all sides and shall have a roof and doors. Access doors must be able to be shut and locked. Opening windows and vents, whether in the coop, the run, or the tractor, must be covered with predator- and bird-proof wire of less than one-inch openings.

(b) The coop, run, and tractor shall be constructed with durable materials that will hold up to weather and the environment. Sturdy wire and/or wooden fencing shall be used to keep chickens within the run or tractor. New

materials shall be used, unless used or reclaimed materials are approved by the City building inspector. The use of scrap, waste board, sheet metal, or similar materials is prohibited.

- (3) Coops, runs, and tractors may only be located in the rear yard of a parcel, as such rear yard is defined in Chapter 16 (zoning and subdivision regulations). Coops, runs, and tractors must be located at least ten (10) feet from the property line of a lot, and at least twenty-five (25) feet from any dwelling, church, school, or business structure located on any other parcel.

D. Standards of Care; Feed; Waste.

- (1) Chickens shall be provided with adequate care, adequate food, adequate health care, adequate shelter, and adequate water, as defined in this Article.
- (2) All feed and other items associated with the keeping of chickens shall be protected from or to prevent rats, mice, or other rodents or other vermin from gaining access to or coming into contact with the feed. The owner and persons responsible for the chickens shall take such actions as are necessary to reduce the attraction of predators and rodents and the potential infestation of insects and parasites.
- (3) Odors from chickens, chicken manure, chicken waste, chicken feed, or other substances related to the keeping of chickens shall not be perceptible beyond the property lines of any lot. Noise from chickens shall not be loud enough at the property lines of any lot as to disturb persons of reasonable sensibilities.
- (4) Owners and persons responsible for chickens shall handle the care and disposal of any chicken waste. The coop, yard, and tractor, and the whole of any lot, must be kept free from trash and accumulated waste or droppings. Any composting of droppings/manure must comply with the provisions of Chapter XV, Article 2, of the city code, including but not limited to as to aggregate size of any compost pile which may contain chicken waste.
- (5) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 2-128, and any exceptions contained therein, no person shall publicly slaughter any chicken.
- (6) The provisions of this Article, including but not limited to Sections 2-125 (Public Nuisance), 2-126 (Unlawful to Harbor or Keep any Animal without Proper and Necessary Precautions), 2-128 (Cruelty to Animals), 2-137 (Disease Control), 2-138 (Removal of Animal Feces), and 2-139

(Removal of Dead Animal) shall otherwise apply as to the keeping of chickens as described in this Section.

- E. Application of Dangerous Animal Regulations. Notwithstanding anything in this Article to the contrary, the attack or killing of a chicken by an animal shall not, by itself, cause such animal to be classified as a dangerous animal, a potentially dangerous animal, or a vicious animal.
- F. Enforcement. Violations of this Section shall be handled by either the City building inspector, code enforcement, animal control, the director of solid waste management, or through police action, as may be necessary given the nature of the violation.

Section 3. Existing Section 2-103 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended to read as follows (with underlined portions being added, and stricken-out portions being deleted):

2-103 KEEPING OF LIVESTOCK, POULTRY AND FOWL PROHIBITED.

- a) Except as provided in subsection (b) below, and as provided in Section 2-143 below, it shall be unlawful for any person to own, harbor, shelter, keep, control, manage, or possess livestock, poultry or fowl on any premises within the City and no special or temporary permit will be issued for these. For the purpose of this section, livestock, poultry, and fowl include, but are not limited to: cows, pigs, horses, donkeys, mules, sheep, goats, chickens, ducks, geese, guinea fowl, peacocks, pigeons, swans and those animals considered miniature or pygmy breeds, e.g., pot-bellied pigs, miniature donkeys, miniature horses, and pygmy goats.
- b) The following persons or organizations shall be allowed to own, harbor, shelter, keep, control, manage, or possess any livestock, poultry and fowl:
 - 1. The keeping of such animals in zoos, bona fide educational or medical institutions, museums or any other place where there are kept live specimens for the public to view or for the purpose of instruction or study;
 - 2. The keeping of such animals for exhibition to the public of such animals by a circus, carnival or other exhibit or show;
 - 3. The keeping of such animals in a bona fide, licensed veterinary hospital for treatment; ~~and~~
 - 4. Commercial establishments processing such animals for the purpose of sale or display; and
 - 5. The keeping of chickens as provided in Section 2-143 below.

Section 4. Existing Section 2-109 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended to read as follows (with underlined portions being added, and stricken-out portions being deleted):

2-109 HARBORING OR KEEPING OF PERMITTED ANIMALS

- a) No person shall own, harbor, shelter, keep, control, manage, or possess, within the City, any potentially dangerous or dangerous animal, or any safe animal including the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) and the domestic cat (*Felis domesticus*), without obtaining permits and licenses required under this Chapter. The following animals are the only animals allowed without a permit or license:
1. Gerbils (*Tateriltus gracillio*);
 2. Hamsters (*Critecus critecus*);
 3. Rabbits (*Lepus Cunicullus*);
 4. Domestic Mice (*Mus musculus*);
 5. Domestic Rat (*Rattus norvegicus*);
 6. Any animal, usually tame and commonly sold at pet stores, including Ferrets (*Mustela furo*), Chinchillas (*Chinchillidae*), Canaries (*Serinus canaria*), Cockatoos, Macaws, Parakeets, and Parrots (*Psittacines*); ~~and~~
 7. Bees, subject to Section 2-140-; and
 8. Chickens, subject to Section 2-143.
- b) Any person who owns, harbors, shelters, keeps, controls, manages, or possesses, within the City, any animal without a permit, except as exempted by this section, shall be charged with a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof, shall be subject to the penalties in section ~~2-143~~ 2-144, and/or such specific penalties as be provided elsewhere in this Article. This shall include instances where any person owns, harbors, shelters, keeps, controls, manages, or possesses, within the City, an animal which has been declared by another municipality to be potentially dangerous or dangerous, or similar designation.

Section 5. Existing Section 2-125 of the Code of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas, is hereby amended to read as follows (with underlined portions being added, and stricken-out portions being deleted):

2-125 PUBLIC NUISANCE

- a) A Public Nuisance is ~~any animal that~~:
1. Any animal that materially ~~Materially~~ damages private or public property;
 2. Any animal that scatters ~~Scatters~~ solid waste that is bagged or otherwise contained; ~~or~~
 3. Any animal that excessively ~~Excessively~~ barks, whines, howls, or creates any other disturbance which is continuous or during times covered by the City Noise

Ordinance, as amended from time to time (12:00am to 9:00am Friday-Saturday, 11:00pm to 7:00am Sunday-Thursday) (disturbance factors include, but are not limited to: time of day, volume, length of time, etc.). If the violation is not witnessed by the animal control officer and/or law enforcement officer, the complainant making such statement must agree to sign a complaint and testify in court if requested; or

4. Any violation of this Article that constitutes a health hazard, or that unreasonably interferes with the use and enjoyment of neighboring property.

- b) It is unlawful for the person responsible for any animal to negligently, carelessly, willfully or maliciously permit such animal to become a public nuisance.
- c) Anyone having the authority of an animal control officer, including but not limited to law enforcement officers, is given the authority to seize and impound any animal which is a public nuisance as defined by this section.

Section 6. Sections 2-103, 2-109, 2-125, 2-143, 2-144, and 2-145 of the Prairie Village Municipal Code, in existence as of and prior to the adoption of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect and be enforced from and after its passage, approval, and publication as provided by law.

PASSED by the City Council of the City of Prairie Village, Kansas on _____, 2020.

APPROVED by the Mayor on _____, 2020.

CITY OF PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS

Eric Mikkelson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Adam Geffert, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

David E. Waters, City Attorney



City of Prairie Village 2021 Budget

- June 15, 2020



Budget Approach

- ▣ Budget Goals and Objectives
 - ▣ Insurance Cost Assumptions
 - ▣ Property & Causality
 - ▣ Worker's Compensation
 - ▣ Revenue Estimates
 - ▣ Department Programs - Line Item Review
 - ▣ The "All in Number"
 - ▣ Meet with the Finance Committee
- Approved @ April 6th Council meeting
- P&C increased 2.2% and WC increased 7.6%



General Fund Budget Highlights

3

- The “all in number” for expenditures in the 2021 General Fund represents a 2% increase in comparison to the 2020 Budget - \$24,349,734 versus \$23,868,123
- If the Mill Levy rate stays at 19.320 we meet the 25% fund balance goal.
- We budget the General Fund balance at a 25% target fund balance (25% of revenues less transfers in and less the transfer to the Bond & Interest Fund)
- General Fund operations budget (without transfers):
 - Contract Services 2.5% increase, represents 26% of total expenditures
 - Commodities 2.2% increase, represents 4% of total expenditures
 - Capital Outlay 34% increase, represents 2% of total expenditures
(Increase was for Police Department Tasers)
 - Contingency 0.0% increase, represents 3% of total expenditures
- General Fund Contingency: \$500,000 (same as 2020)



City Expenditure Categories

4

- Personnel Services – wages and benefits
- Contract Services – contracts for auxiliary services
- Commodities – used to purchase goods
- Capital Outlay – used to acquire assets or improve the useful life of existing assets
- Contingency
- Transfers



City Revenue Categories

General Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 7,515,509 ▼	\$ 7,631,063	\$ 6,615,787 ▼	\$ 7,480,651	\$ 6,585,151
Revenues:					
Property Taxes	6,851,002	7,529,277	8,208,703 ▼	8,044,529	8,732,765 ▼
Sales Taxes	5,515,563	5,449,864	5,575,000	5,017,500	5,450,000
Use Tax	1,340,244	1,509,888	1,375,000	1,237,500	1,380,000
Motor Vehicle Tax	720,805	751,900	789,025	762,507	762,507
Liquor Tax	140,154	130,232	139,792	135,180	136,477
Franchise Fees	2,119,628	1,900,281	2,048,154	2,048,154	1,959,500
Licenses & Permits	826,011	1,002,391	763,850	695,104	652,883
Intergovernmental				-	
Charges for Services	1,691,852	1,742,963	1,673,906	1,782,142	1,799,226
Fines & Fees	1,043,189	863,819	1,037,775	829,031	931,750
Recreational Fees	420,201	416,620	411,050	40,000	410,150
Interest on Investments	103,493	160,658	75,000	75,000	60,000
Miscellaneous	88,869	126,178	116,600	116,600	120,900
Net Inc/Decr in Fair Value	(7,735)				
Total Revenue	20,853,276	21,584,071	22,213,855	20,783,247	22,396,158
Transfers from Other funds:					
Transfer from Stormwater Utility Fund	450,000	565,000	565,000	565,000	600,000
Total	450,000	565,000	565,000	565,000	600,000
Total Sources	21,303,276	22,149,071	22,778,855	21,348,247	22,996,158




City Expenditure Categories

General Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Expenditures:					
Personnel Services	9,825,703	10,523,878	11,205,956	10,543,250	11,463,729
Contract Services	3,809,998	4,165,894	4,605,594	4,329,258	4,720,457
Commodities	562,577	709,495	775,200	606,164	792,400
Capital Outlay	167,846	235,125	271,650	255,351	363,814
Contingency	-		500,000		500,000
Total Expenditures	14,366,124	15,634,392	17,358,400	15,734,023	17,840,400
Transfers to Other Funds:					
Transfer to Capital Infrastructure Fund	5,303,560	5,155,116	4,653,109	4,653,109	4,523,800
Transfer to Bond & Interest Fund	1,033,038	1,074,975	1,301,229	1,301,229	1,319,534
Transfer to Risk Management Fund	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	-
Transfer to Economic Development Fund			65,000	65,000	136,000
Transfer to Equipment Reserve Fund	450,000	400,000	455,385	455,385	530,000
Total	6,821,598	6,665,091	6,509,723	6,509,723	6,509,334
Total Uses	21,187,722	22,299,483	23,868,123	22,243,746	24,349,734



Department Budgets





Department Budgets

2021 Budget

Summary by Department

Department	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Administration	\$ 1,602,610	\$ 1,671,042	\$ 1,741,773	\$ 1,789,018
Public Works	5,128,514	5,250,855	5,707,029	5,883,444
Police Department	6,676,478	7,042,549	7,591,507	7,796,245
Municipal Court	469,351	499,208	553,760	578,826
Community Development	2,284,322	2,592,720	2,802,185	2,920,481
Community Programs	561,804	591,465	649,525	667,999
Total	\$ 16,723,079	\$ 17,647,839	\$ 19,045,779	\$ 19,636,013

Expenditures by Fund

General Fund	\$ 14,849,172	\$ 15,577,784	\$ 16,858,400	\$ 17,340,400
Solid Waste Management Fund	1,713,616	1,958,342	2,064,355	2,172,208
Special Alcohol Fund	160,291	111,713	123,024	123,405
Total	\$ 16,723,079	\$ 17,647,839	\$ 19,045,779	\$ 19,636,013



Preliminary 2021 Budget at a Glance

Prairie Village 2021 Budget at a Glance

Property Tax Mill Levy Rate	19.320
Total Assessed Valuation	\$437,852,863
Stormwater Utility Fee per Square Foot of Impervious Area	4.0¢
Number of Residential Properties	9,727
Population (2018 estimate)	22,389
Total General Fund Budget	\$24,349,734
Annual City Tax Liability - Avg.	\$800
Monthly City Tax Liability - Avg.	\$67
Outstanding Debt at Dec. 31, 2021	\$21,755,000



Average Prairie Village Home

Year	Mill Levy Rate	Average Home Appraised Value	Prairie Village Annual Cost	Prairie Village Monthly Cost
2016 for 2017	19.471	\$274,082	\$614	\$51.00
2017 for 2018	19.311	\$303,463	\$674	\$56.00
2018 for 2019	19.314	\$334,382	\$743	\$62.00
2019 for 2020	19.320	\$359,987	\$800	\$67.00
2020 for 2021	19.320	\$377,986	\$840	\$70.00



Average Prairie Village Home

Estimated Value Of One Mill For 2021 (Mill Rate = 19.320) - NO TIF

			AVG PV Home
The estimated value of one mill would be:		\$437,853	
1/10 mill		\$43,785	\$4.14
2/10 mill		\$87,571	\$8.28
3/10 mill		\$131,356	\$12.42
4/10 mill		\$175,141	\$16.56
5/10 mill		\$218,926	\$20.70
6/10 mill		\$262,712	\$24.84
7/10 mill		\$306,497	\$28.98
8/10 mill		\$350,282	\$33.12
9/10 mill		\$394,068	\$37.26
1 mill		\$437,853	\$41.40
1.1 mill		\$481,638	\$45.54
1.2 mill		\$525,423	\$49.68
1.3 mill		\$569,209	\$53.82
1.4 mill		\$612,994	\$57.96
1.5 mill		\$656,779	\$62.10
1.6 mill		\$700,565	\$66.24
1.7 mill		\$744,350	\$70.38
1.8 mill		\$788,135	\$74.52
1.9 mill		\$831,920	\$78.66
2 mill		\$875,705.73	\$82.80

1 mill for average Prairie Village House = \$41.40 (annually)



Johnson County Cities 2019 Mill Levies On each \$1,000 Tangible Assessed Valuation

City	Mill Levy					Total
	City	Fire	Bond & Interest	Stormwater	Other	
Roeland Park	26.618	11.753	1.915			40.286
Westwood Hills	22.986	11.753	4.949			39.688
Spring Hill C/F	24.866	12.968	1.438		0.327	39.599
Bonner Springs	24.392		9.288		4.723	38.403
Mission Hills	21.970	11.753	0.800			34.523
Westwood	20.806	11.753	0.500			33.059
Fairway	18.280	11.753	1.648			31.681
Prairie Village	19.320	11.753	-			31.073
Edgerton	30.881					30.881
Lenexa	23.006		6.313			29.319
Mission	17.157	11.753				28.910
Merriam	26.727		1.038			27.765
Shawnee	19.723	1.300	5.602			26.625
Leawood	18.881		5.667			24.548
Olathe C/F	9.921	1.727	9.707		3.042	24.397
De Soto	14.147	5.851	4.200			24.198
Gardner	14.109		6.601			20.710
Overland Park	12.596			0.961		13.557

S: 2019 Mill Levies on Each \$1,000 Tangible Assessed Valuation - Johnson County, Kansas worksheet found on the Johnson County Dept of Records & Tax Administration website.



Mill Levy (cont)

Johnson County Cities 2019 Mill Levies On each \$1,000 Tangible Assessed Valuation


City	Mill Levy					Total
	City	Fire	Bond & Interest	Stormwater	Other	
Spring Hill C/F	24.866	12.968	1.438		0.327	39.599
Bonner Springs	24.392		9.288		4.723	38.403
Edgerton	30.881					30.881
Lenexa	23.006		6.313			29.319
Roeland Park	26.618	-	1.915			28.533
Westwood Hills	22.986	-	4.949			27.935
Merriam	26.727		1.038			27.765
Shawnee	19.723	1.300	5.602			26.625
Leawood	18.881		5.667			24.548
Olathe C/F	9.921	1.727	9.707		3.042	24.397
De Soto	14.147	5.851	4.200			24.198
Mission Hills	21.970	-	0.800			22.770
Westwood	20.806	-	0.500			21.306
Gardner	14.109		6.601			20.710
Fairway	18.280	-	1.648			19.928
Prairie Village	19.320	-	-			19.320
Mission	17.157	-				17.157
Overland Park	12.596			0.961		13.557

S: 2019 Mill Levies on Each \$1,000 Tangible Assessed Valuation - Johnson County, Kansas worksheet found on the Johnson County Dept of Records & Tax Administration website.



Revenue Trends 2016 - 2021


• Property Tax	35%	Fines & Fees	4%
• Sales Tax	25%	Motor Vehicle Tax	3%
• Franchise Fees	9%	Licenses & Permits	5%
• Charges for Services	8%	Recreational	2%
• Use Tax	<u>7%</u>	Liquor Tax	1%
		Interest & Miscellaneous	1%
Total	84%		16%





Expenditure Trends 2016 - 2021

Personnel Services	47%	Capital Outlay	1%	Equipment Reserve	2%
Contract Services	19%	Debt Service	5%		
Commodities	3%	Infrastructure	23%		






16

PERSONNEL SERVICES



Personnel Services (all funds)





Personnel Services (all funds)

Personnel Services	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Identity Theft Insurance	1,603	1,471	1,996	1,816
Employee Assistance Program	2,289	2,516	3,013	3,053
Police Life Insurance	19,529	26,055	29,780	20,304
State Unemployment Insurance	6,395	6,900	23,728	23,766
Dental Insurance/Vision/Life/LTD	54,691	51,128	54,432	63,701
Supplemental Pension Plan - Not Sworn Police Officers	207,374	223,373	209,999	215,000
Overtime	388,853	356,419	320,700	315,999
KPERS	329,254	379,112	381,350	408,535
FICA	526,339	543,787	554,769	550,527
Police Pension Plan	620,000	750,000	850,000	850,000
Health Insurance	930,073	1,164,150	1,386,175	1,360,906
Regular Wages	6,751,891	7,033,667	7,407,577	7,783,505
Grand Total	9,838,291	10,538,578	11,223,519	11,597,112



Personnel Services

19

- Maintain Compensation & Retention Strategies Approved by the Council in 2017
 - Proficient employees would expect to reach the midpoint in their salary range after 7 years – equates to approx. 1% - 2.25%
 - Merit pool for 2021 is recommended at 3.0% as a placeholder (1% less than 2020) – this falls in line with what other cities are planning for 2021.
 - Lori Messer (compensation consultant previously with Arthur Gallagher) was contacted – her projected range was 3% - 3.3% in the public sector, but research was conducted prior to pandemic.
 - Health Insurance budget remains flat – we budgeted 12.5% in 2020 and ended up with only a minor rate increase; kept same rates same as budgeted in 2020 for 2021



20

CONTRACT SERVICES



Contract Services


21

- Contract Services
 - Accounts for 26% of the General Fund expenditure budget
 - Includes a 2.5% increase between 2020 and 2021
 - Contract Service includes:
 - Traffic Signals
 - Street Maintenance & Repair
 - Insurance – Property & Workers Compensation
 - Consulting services
 - Street Lights
 - Tree Maintenance
 - Building Maintenance
 - Legal
 - Utilities
 - Training
 - Software Maintenance Contracts



Contract Services

Sum of 2021 Requested Budget



Values



23

COMMODITIES



Commodities

24

- Commodities
 - ▣ Accounts for 4% of the General Fund expenditure budget
 - ▣ Includes a 2.2% between 2020 and 2021
 - ▣ Commodities includes:
 - Office supplies
 - Postage
 - Fuel - \$2.50 per gallon (usage audit conducted)



25

CAPITAL OUTLAY



Capital Outlay

26

- Capital Outlay
 - ▣ Accounts for 2% of the General Fund expenditure budget
 - ▣ Includes a 34% increase in expenditures over the 2020 budget
 - ▣ Capital Outlay includes:
 - Equipment with a useful life greater than one year
 - Vehicles
 - Field equipment
 - New in 2021 budget are Police Department Tasers



27

EQUIPMENT RESERVE FUND



Equipment Reserve Schedule

Equipment Reserve Fund Plan

Equipment Reserve Expenditure Total = \$652,000

2021 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2021 EXPENDITURES
City Hall Server Replacement <i>on-going project</i>	\$10,000
City Hall Switches <i>2021 project</i>	\$90,000
Police Department Park Security Cameras <i>install one camera per year</i>	\$15,000
Police Department Traffic Cameras <i>install one camera per year</i>	\$15,000
Police Department Laptop Replacement (\$80,000 total) <i>2024</i>	\$20,000
Police Department Radio Replacement (\$400,000 total) <i>2029 project</i>	\$50,000
Police Department In Car Video / Body Worn Cameras (\$140,000 total) <i>2027 project</i>	\$20,000
Police Department HVAC <i>2021 project</i>	\$10,000
Codes Plotter <i>2021 project</i>	\$10,000
TOTAL	\$240,000

2021 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2021 EXPENDITURES
Public Works Equipment	
Public Works Leased Vehicles <i>2021 project</i>	\$197,000
Public Works Mower <i>2021 project</i>	\$15,000
Public Works 1 Ton Dump Truck (\$100,000 total) <i>2021 project</i>	\$50,000
Public Works Street Sweeper (\$230,000 total) <i>2021 project</i>	\$115,000
Public Works Bobcat (\$70,000 total) <i>2022 project</i>	\$35,000
TOTAL	\$412,000
EQUIPMENT RESERVE TOTAL	\$652,000



Equipment Replacement Schedule

Public Works - Large Trucks for Equipment Reserve												
Make	Description	Year	Purchase Price	Year Replace	Est Replace Value	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Intemat'l	Dump Truck	2011	\$ 72,711.00	2022	\$ 200,000.00			\$ 200,000.00				
Ford	1 Ton Dump Truck	2011	\$ 59,892.00	2021	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00					
Intemat'l	Dump Truck	2012	\$ 74,247.00	2023	\$ 200,000.00				\$ 200,000.00			
Intemat'l	Dump Truck	2014	\$ 81,233.00	2024	\$ 200,000.00					\$ 200,000.00		
Intemat'l	Dump Truck	2015	\$ 81,164.00	2025	\$ 200,000.00						\$ 200,000.00	
Elgin	Pelican Sweeper	2011	\$ 178,510.00	2021	\$ 230,000.00	\$ 115,000.00	\$ 115,000.00					
Intemat'l	Jet Truck	1998		2026	\$ 200,000.00							\$ 200,000.00
Bobcat		2007		2022	\$ 70,000.00		\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00				
						\$ 165,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 235,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00



Contingency

30

- Contingency - \$500,000
 - ▣ Accounts for 2.8% of the General Fund expenditure budget
 - ▣ There was no change from between the 2020 and 2021 budget
 - ▣ Contingency funds are budgeted for emergencies and unplanned major expenditures



31

SOLID WASTE FUND



2021 Solid Waste Rates

	Residential Rate Per Household
Current Rates	\$19 per month/\$228 per year
2021 Proposed Rates Before Decision Packages	\$18.12 per month/\$218 per year

- 3.20% rate increase with Republic for 2021
- City spent \$184,000 of contingency funds in 2019 on storm clean up; 2020 rate included an allocation to build back up the reserves to 1.5 months of expenditures; reserves by 2020 will be adequate, thus resulting in a rate decrease in 2021
- 1.5 months of reserves for 2021 = \$233,146
- Proposed rate doesn't include curbside compost or glass recycling – need direction from Council on whether or not to build into the budget



Solid Waste Assessment History

33

Year	Annual Assessment
2011	\$200.74
2012	\$200.74
2013	\$158.52
2014	\$174.00
2015	\$174.00
2016	\$174.00
2017	\$192.00
2018	\$192.00
2019	\$207.00
2020	\$228.00
2021	\$218.00 (base rate before decision packages)

2021 Solid Waste Fund Budget

Solid Waste Management Fund					
	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 340,709	\$ 359,030	\$ 137,268	\$ 187,024	\$ 295,000
Revenues:					
Licenses & Permits	2,445	1,296	1,900	1,900	1,300
Charges for Services	1,706,924	1,745,067	1,910,187	1,910,187	1,835,908
Interest on Investments	22,500	39,973	15,000	31,024	40,000
Miscellaneous	68	-	-	-	-
Total Revenue	1,731,937	1,786,336	1,927,087	1,943,111	1,877,208
Total Sources	1,731,937	1,786,336	1,927,087	1,943,111	1,877,208
Expenditures:					
Personnel Services	32,817	33,900	34,821	34,821	36,896
Contract Services	1,680,799	1,924,442	1,798,754	1,799,314	1,865,166
Commodities	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
Contingency	-	-	229,780	-	269,146
Total Expenditures	1,713,616	1,958,342	2,064,355	1,835,135	2,172,208
Total Uses	1,713,616	1,958,342	2,064,355	1,835,135	2,172,208
Sources Over(Under) Uses	18,321	(172,006)	(137,268)	107,976	(295,000)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 359,030	\$ 187,024	\$ -	\$ 295,000	\$ -

PILOT PROGRAM TIMELINE

November 18	City Council approves proposed terms and details of the 6-month pilot program
November 19 – November 26	City staff finalizes formal agreements with each vendor for pilot program
December 2	City Council approves contracts with vendors for pilot program
December 3 – December 13	City staff writes and sends letters to selected households about pilot program
January 10	Deadline to opt-out of participating in programs directly with the vendors
January 20 – January 24	Bins get delivered to participating households
February 3 – February 7	Collection begins
May 1 – May 31	City staff distributes survey to all households selected to participate
June - July	Staff provides survey results; City Council considers citywide program as a 2021 budget decision package
July 31	Pilot program ends
August/September	RFP issued for citywide program if Council votes to move forward



2021 Solid Waste Budget – Decision Package

Food Compost Survey Results

- Two surveys – 1 for pilot program participants and 1 for all residents
- **55** respondents on pilot program survey (**28%** of participating households); **973** respondents on citywide survey (**4.5%** of total city population)
- **59%** of pilot program respondents were satisfied or extremely satisfied with food compost service; **33%** put not applicable because they didn't participate in food compost program
- **63%** of pilot program respondents said they think the city should offer a curbside food compost program; **51%** of citywide respondents said they think the city should offer a curbside food compost program
- **35%** of citywide respondents and pilot program respondents said they weren't willing to pay anything for a curbside food compost program; **65%** on both surveys said they were willing to pay something
- A plurality of citywide respondents (**40%**) preferred the program be structured to let residents opt-in to the program and pay for it directly through the vendor followed closely by including all households in the program (**36%**); A plurality of pilot program respondents (**38%**) said they preferred for the program to include all households in the program, followed closely by letting residents opt-in and pay the vendor directly (**35%**).
- A plurality of respondents in both surveys preferred a container for food compost only rather than having the option to integrate food waste in with yard waste
- A majority of citywide respondents preferred that the food compost program be a weekly service, while the pilot program respondents had a tie between those who prefer weekly service and those who prefer bi-weekly service.



2021 Solid Waste Budget – Decision Package

Food Compost Pilot Program Data

Food Compost Pilot Program Data - as of April 15, 2020

	Ward 1	Ward 2	Ward 3	Ward 4	Ward 5	Ward 6	Citywide
Average Usage Rate from 2/3 - 4/15	52%	30.20%	53%	n/a	n/a	n/a	44%
Total Amount Collected (in pounds)	1,000	390	830	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,220 pounds

*Wards 4, 5, 6 data not available since it's integrated with yard waste

Food Compost Opt-Outs

	Ward 1	Ward 2	Ward 3	Ward 4	Ward 5	Ward 6	Citywide
# of homes invited to participated	34	33	27	36	32	36	198
# of homes who opted-out	2	6	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	9
% of homes invited who are participating	94%	82%	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%



2021 Solid Waste Budget – Decision Package

Food Compost Program Estimated Cost

38

- **Weekly Curbside Food Compost Program** = \$14 - \$16 per month per household
 - Total Annual Solid Waste Assessment = \$410 per year
 - \$192 per year in addition to the \$218 per year for trash, recycling, and yard waste.
 - An increase of 80% over current 2020 solid waste rate.
 - Equivalent to 4.64 mill rate increase for average Prairie Village home
- **Bi-weekly Curbside Food Compost Program** = \$7 - \$9 per month per household
 - Total Annual Cost = \$326 per year
 - \$108 per year in addition to the \$218 per year for trash, recycling, and yard waste.
 - An increase of 43% over current 2020 solid waste rate.
 - Equivalent to 2.61 mill rate increase for average Prairie Village home



2021 Solid Waste Budget – Decision Package

Glass Recycling Survey Results

- Two surveys sent out – 1 for pilot program participants and 1 for all residents
- **55** respondents on pilot program survey (**28%** of participating households); **973** respondents on citywide survey (**4.5%** of total city population)
- **81%** of pilot program respondents were satisfied or extremely satisfied with curbside glass recycling service
- **64%** of pilot program respondents said they think the city should offer a curbside glass recycling program; **74%** of citywide respondents said they think the city should offer a curbside glass recycling program.
- **26%** of citywide respondents and **29%** of pilot program respondents said they weren't willing to pay anything for a curbside glass recycling program; **74%** of citywide respondents and **71%** of pilot program respondents said they were willing to pay something.
- A plurality of respondents on both surveys preferred the program be structured by including all households in the program and distributing the fee evenly among everyone.
- A plurality of citywide respondents preferred that the glass recycling program be a weekly service, while the pilot program respondents preferred that the glass recycling program be offered as a bi-weekly service.



2021 Solid Waste Budget – Decision Package

Glass Recycling Pilot Program Data

40

Glass Recycling Pilot Program Data - as of June 10, 2020							
	Ward 1	Ward 2	Ward 3	Ward 4	Ward 5	Ward 6	Citywide
Homes (in program)	29	26	25	32	30	35	177
Average Usage Rate (homes picked up per week)	43%	23%	43%	48%	45%	24%	37%
Participated (used service at least once)	21	19	20	28	29	22	139
Participation Rate	72%	73%	80%	88%	97%	63%	79%
Estimated Pounds Recycled	2,249	1,094	1,945	2,816	2,452	1,540	12,097
Percentage of Homes with at least 5 pickups	38%	8%	28%	31%	37%	17%	27%



2021 Solid Waste Budget – Decision Package

Glass Recycling Program Estimated Cost

41

- **Bi-Weekly Glass Recycling Program** = \$2 per month per household
 - Total Annual Solid Waste Assessment = \$242
 - \$24 per year in addition to the \$218 per year for trash, recycling, and yard waste.
 - An increase of 6% over current 2020 solid waste rate.
 - Equivalent to 0.58 mill rate increase for average Prairie Village home
- **Monthly Glass Recycling Program** = \$1.25 per month per household
 - Total Annual Solid Waste Assessment = \$233 per year
 - \$15 per year in addition to the \$218 per year for trash, recycling, and yard waste.
 - An increase of 2% over current 2020 solid waste rate.
 - Equivalent to 0.36 mill rate increase for average Prairie Village home
- **Weekly Glass Recycling Program** = \$3.75 per month per household
 - Total Annual Solid Waste Assessment = \$263
 - \$45 per year in addition to the \$218 per year for trash recycling, and yard waste
 - An increase of 15% over current 2020 solid waste rate
 - Equivalent to 1.09 mill rate increase



Annual Budget Cost

	Monthly		Bi-Weekly		Weekly	
	Annual Cost for 8,866 households	Percentage Increase to 19.320 Mill Rate	Annual Cost for 8,866 households	Percentage Increase to 19.320 Mill Rate	Annual Cost for 8,866 households	Percentage Increase to 19.320 Mill Rate
Compost	n/a	n/a	\$957,528	11%	\$1,702,272	24%
Glass	\$ 132,990	2%	\$212,784	3%	\$398,970	6%



2021 Solid Waste Budget – Decision Packages

43

- **Option 1 – make no changes to solid waste services.**
 - \$218 per year for 2021 Solid Waste assessment – 4% decrease from 2020 assessment
- **Option 2 – add curbside glass and food compost services – was not recommended by Finance Committee**
 - \$434 per year for weekly compost and bi-weekly glass – 90% increase from 2020 assessment
 - \$341 per year for bi-weekly compost and monthly glass – 50% increase from 2020 assessment
 - \$350 per year for bi-weekly compost and bi-weekly glass – 54% increase from 2020 assessment
 - \$425 per year for weekly compost and monthly glass – 86% increase from 2020 assessment
- **Option 3 – add only curbside food compost services – was not recommended by Finance Committee**
 - \$410 per year for weekly collection – 80% increase from 2020 assessment
 - \$326 per year for bi-weekly collection – 43% increase from 2020 assessment
- **Option 4 – add only glass recycling services**
 - \$242 per year for bi-weekly collection – 6% increase from 2020 assessment
 - \$233 per year for monthly collection – 2% increase from 2020 assessment.
- **Option 5 – Build a rate subsidy into the solid waste assessment to make curbside compost and glass recycling more affordable for PV residents who opt-in directly for the services with the vendor**
 - \$100K pool = \$12 per household - \$230 per year for total solid waste assessment – 1% increase from 2020 assessment
 - \$200K pool = \$23 per household - \$241 per year for total solid waste assessment – 6% increase from 2020 assessment



Outside Agency Funding

City of Prairie Village Outside Agency Funding				
	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Preliminary Budget
Outside Agencies				
Alcohol Funds - dispersed to various agencies	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000
United Community Services - Human Service Fund	7,600	7,600	8,300	8,300
Minor Home Repair (Jo Co administered)	6,000	6,000	6,000	0
National League of Cities	2,000	3,870	2,000	2,000
League of Kansas Municipalities	14,000	15,795	15,000	16,000
MARC	8,500	8,388	8,500	8,500
Exterior Grant (ED Funds)	50,000	47,447	68,000	74,000
NE JO CO Chamber Membership	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
NE Jo CO Chamber for Events and Chamber dinner	1,950	1,750	1,950	1,950
SMEF (Shawnee Mission Educational Foundation)	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
Committees				
Village Fest (estimated revenue of \$9,000)	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Arts Council	14,500	14,500	14,500	14,500
Environmental Committee	7,250	331	8,000	8,000
Jazz Fest	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000



2021 BUDGET

Public Works CIP



2021 CIP Budget - PARK

PROJECT #	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2021 EXPENDITURES	2022 EXPENDITURES	2023 EXPENDITURES	2024 EXPENDITURES	PROJECT TOTAL
PARK						
POOLRESV	Park Infrastructure Reserve	\$ -	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 132,000.00	\$ 372,000.00
BG460002	Taliaferro Park Restrooms	\$ 450,000.00				\$ 450,000.00
BG850004	Pool Painting - Dive, Lap, and Adult	\$ 50,000.00				\$ 50,000.00
	Windsor Park Restrooms		\$ 450,000.00			\$ 450,000.00
PARK TOTAL PER YEAR		\$ 500,000.00	\$ 570,000.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 132,000.00	\$ 1,322,000.00



2021 CIP Budget - DRAIN

PROJECT #	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2021 EXPENDITURES	2022 EXPENDITURES	2023 EXPENDITURES	2024 EXPENDITURES	PROJECT TOTAL
DRAINAGE						
WDPRESV	Water Discharge Program Reserve	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00		\$ 20,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
DRAIN21x	Drainage Repair Program	\$ 275,000.00	\$ 900,000.00	\$ 900,000.00	\$ 900,000.00	\$ 2,975,000.00
MIRD0007	Brush Creek: 68th & Mission Rd	\$ 2,500,000.00				\$ 2,500,000.00
DRAINAGE TOTAL PER YEAR		\$ 2,775,000.00	\$ 920,000.00	\$ 900,000.00	\$ 920,000.00	\$ 5,515,000.00



2021 CIP Budget - STREETS

PROJECT #	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2021 EXPENDITURES	2022 EXPENDITURES	2023 EXPENDITURES	2024 EXPENDITURES	PROJECT TOTAL
STREETS						
TRAFRESV	Traffic Calming Program Reserve		\$ 20,000.00		\$ 20,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
PAVP2021	Residential Street Rehabilitation Program	\$ 3,175,691.00	\$ 3,000,000.00	\$ 3,000,000.00	\$ 3,000,000.00	\$ 12,175,691.00
UBAS2021	UBAS Overlay Program	\$ 400,000.00	\$ 400,000.00	\$ 400,000.00	\$ 400,000.00	\$ 1,600,000.00
NAAV0005	Nall Ave - 67th St to 75th St	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 2,880,000.00		\$ 3,080,000.00
79ST0001	79th St - Roe Ave to Lamar St (CARS)	\$ 388,000.00				\$ 388,000.00
MIRD0008	Mission Rd - 85th St to 95th St (CARS & Leawood)	\$ 702,300.00				\$ 702,300.00
NAAV0004	Nall Ave - 79th St to 83rd St		\$ 1,300,000.00			\$ 1,300,000.00
STST0001	Stateline Rd - 71st St to 75th St (KCMO)		\$ 320,000.00			\$ 320,000.00
NAAV0005	Nall Ave - 67th St to 75th St (CARS & OP)		\$ 200,000.00	\$ 2,393,000.00		\$ 2,593,000.00
NAAV0007	Nall Ave - 75t St to 79th St (CARS)			\$ 75,000.00	\$ 750,000.00	\$ 825,000.00
MIRD0009	Mission Rd - 63rd St to 67th Ter (CARS)			\$ 50,000.00	\$ 730,000.00	\$ 780,000.00
ROAV0007	Roe Ave - N City Limit to 63rd St			\$ 5,000.00	\$ 16,500.00	\$ 21,500.00
SODR0005	Somerset Dr - State Line to Reinhardt UBAS (CARS)				\$ 12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00
NAAV0006	Nall Ave - 63rd St to 67th St UBAS (CARS)				\$ 12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00
75ST0002	75th St - State Line to Mission Rd (CARS)				\$ 12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00
STREET TOTAL PER YEAR		\$ 4,715,991.00	\$ 5,390,000.00	\$ 8,803,000.00	\$ 4,952,500.00	\$ 23,861,491.00



2021 CIP Budget - BUILDING/OTHER

PROJECT #	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2021 EXPENDITURES	2022 EXPENDITURES	2022 EXPENDITURES	2024 EXPENDITURES	PROJECT TOTAL
BUILDING						
BLDGResv	Building Reserve	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 200,000.00
BG040001	Brick repair (Community Center, CH, PD)	\$ 200,000.00				\$ 200,000.00
BG530002	PD Fence and Covered Parking	\$ 300,000.00				\$ 300,000.00
BG510003	City Hall Conceptual Study	\$ 30,000.00				\$ 30,000.00
BUILDING TOTAL PER YEAR		\$ 580,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 730,000.00

PROJECT #	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2021 EXPENDITURES	2022 EXPENDITURES	2023 EXPENDITURES	2024 EXPENDITURES	PROJECT TOTAL
OTHER						
ADARESvX	ADA Compliance Program Reserve	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
CONC2019	Concrete Repair Program	\$ 600,000.00	\$ 600,000.00	\$ 600,000.00	\$ 600,000.00	\$ 2,400,000.00
SIDEWALK & CURB TOTAL PER YEAR		\$ 625,000.00	\$ 625,000.00	\$ 625,000.00	\$ 625,000.00	\$ 2,500,000.00



2021 CIP Budget

FUNDING DESCRIPTION		2020 FUNDING	2021 FUNDING	2022 FUNDING	2023 FUNDING	2024 FUNDING	FUNDING TOTAL
CASH	CAPITAL RESERVE	\$ -	\$ 800,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 800,000.00
CASH	GENERAL FUND	\$ 4,653,109.00	\$ 4,523,800.00	\$ 4,625,900.00	\$ 4,503,150.00	\$ 4,256,000.00	\$ 18,305,959.00
BUILD	BUILDING BOND	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
DRAIN	STORMWATER FUND	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 4,000,000.00
DRAIN	SMAC GRANT	\$ 243,750.00	\$ 1,131,500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,375,250.00
DRAIN	DRAIN BOND	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
PARK	SPECIAL PARK	\$ 139,792.00	\$ 132,000.00	\$ 132,000.00	\$ 132,000.00	\$ 132,000.00	\$ 535,792.00
PARK	PARK SALES TAX	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
STREET	CARS GRANT	\$ 536,000.00	\$ 416,000.00	\$ 645,000.00	\$ 1,196,500.00	\$ 674,500.00	\$ 2,793,500.00
STREET	SPECIAL HIGHWAY	\$ 610,040.00	\$ 597,000.00	\$ 597,000.00	\$ 597,000.00	\$ 597,000.00	\$ 2,401,040.00
STREET	STREET BOND	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
MISC	FUNDING FROM OTHERS	\$ -	\$ 370,000.00	\$ 160,100.00	\$ 414,350.00	\$ -	\$ 944,450.00
ED	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL FUNDING BY YEAR		\$ 7,182,691.00	\$ 8,970,300.00	\$ 7,160,000.00	\$ 7,843,000.00	\$ 6,659,500.00	\$ 31,155,991.00



- No General Fund Decision Packages at this time
 - Addition of decision package(s) will require:
 - Increase in Mill Levy to cover the cost of the program or,
 - Reduction in proposed 2021 budget expenditures



Next Steps

52

- July 6th - Council Meeting
- July 20th - Permission to Publish the 2021 Budget
- August 3rd - Budget Hearing / Adopt 2021 Budget

**City of
Prairie Village, Kansas**


2021 Budget



The Star of Kansas



Expenditures by Fund




**Expenditures by Character & Line Item
Combines All Funds For 2018 - 2021**

	<u>2018</u> Actual	<u>2019</u> Actual	<u>2020</u> Budget	<u>2021</u> Budget
Personnel Services				
Wages/Salaries/Overtime	\$ 7,232,102	\$ 7,479,237	\$ 7,828,922	\$ 8,072,211
Health Care/Other Insurance Coverage	1,036,083	1,263,377	1,511,122	1,473,546
Social Security/Pension	1,692,262	1,905,839	2,005,454	2,051,346
Total Personnel Services	\$ 9,960,447	\$ 10,648,453	\$ 11,345,498	\$ 11,597,103
Contract Services				
Utilities/Communications	\$ 1,381,300	\$ 1,281,483	\$ 1,485,200	\$ 1,476,700
Insurance	382,628	400,204	358,628	374,570
Special Assessments	40,116	40,876	37,500	37,500
Printing	3,783	5,551	6,060	5,900
Fees for Contract Services	4,339,022	4,958,026	5,422,346	4,430,685
Training, Dues, Publications	170,725	170,990	244,955	243,905
Vehicular & Equipment Maint.	200,579	177,707	232,525	219,725
Building & Grounds Maint.	922,504	925,662	987,350	1,113,050
Total Contract Services	\$ 7,440,657	\$ 7,960,499	\$ 8,774,564	\$ 7,902,035
Commodities				
Postage, Office Supplies	\$ 36,301	\$ 44,863	\$ 57,125	\$ 61,575
Clothing	83,003	53,056	78,675	81,825
Vehicular & Equip. Supplies	282,215	303,789	294,605	296,605
Building & Grounds Supplies	147,752	227,344	246,100	250,600
Other Commodities	86,557	92,721	116,250	119,350
Total Commodities	\$ 635,828	\$ 721,773	\$ 792,755	\$ 809,955
Capital Outlay				
Equipment & Vehicles	\$ 529,380	\$ 456,296	\$ 755,650	\$ 1,015,814
Total Capital Outlay	\$ 529,380	\$ 456,296	\$ 755,650	\$ 1,015,814
Total Operating Costs	\$ 18,566,312	\$ 19,787,021	\$ 21,668,467	\$ 21,324,907
Transfers				
Transfers to/from Other Funds	\$ 9,272,277	\$ 9,405,163	\$ 8,824,555	\$ 8,838,334
Total Transfers	\$ 9,272,277	\$ 9,405,163	\$ 8,824,555	\$ 8,838,334
Debt Service				
Principal	\$ 1,175,000	\$ 1,210,000	\$ 1,235,000	\$ 1,265,000
Interest	133,037	110,358	85,850	58,000
Total Debt Service	\$ 1,308,037	\$ 1,320,358	\$ 1,320,850	\$ 1,323,000
Infrastructure				
Park Projects	\$ 491,038	\$ 1,458,656	\$ 490,000	\$ 500,000
Drainage Projects	724,009	4,069,668	1,145,000	2,775,000
Street Projects	4,229,728	7,153,972	4,417,691	4,490,300
Building Projects	31,258	234,623	245,000	580,000
Sidewalk & Curb Projects	621,017	795,713	885,000	625,000
Total Infrastructure	\$ 6,097,050	\$ 13,712,632	\$ 7,182,691	\$ 8,970,300
Reserves & Contingency				
Contingency	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,197,578	\$ 1,389,086
Total Reserves	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,197,578	\$ 1,389,086
Total Non-Operating Costs	\$ 16,677,364	\$ 24,438,153	\$ 18,525,674	\$ 20,520,720
Grand Total	\$ 35,243,676	\$ 44,225,174	\$ 40,194,141	\$ 41,845,627

2021 Budget by Fund

Fund	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
General	\$ 21,187,722	\$ 22,299,483	\$ 23,868,123	\$ 24,349,734
Solid Waste	1,713,616	1,958,342	2,064,355	2,172,208
Special Highway	619,774	643,000	729,176	647,720
Stormwater Utility	1,691,833	1,660,383	1,672,803	1,803,893
Special Parks & Rec	139,072	131,435	140,427	132,000
Special Alcohol	214,392	158,064	215,933	188,405
Bond & Interest	1,308,037	1,320,358	1,353,868	1,358,383
Capital Projects	6,097,050	13,712,632	7,182,691	8,970,300
Risk Management Reserve	625	-	169,019	229,531
Economic Development	72,525	401,028	100,278	208,909
Equipment Reserve	267,128	221,171	484,000	657,504
Meadowbrook TIF	367,315	595,010	788,699	-
CID - Corinth	577,821	606,845	730,328	587,860
CID - PV Shops	503,715	517,423	694,441	539,180
Total	\$ 34,760,625	\$ 44,225,174	\$ 40,194,141	\$ 41,845,627



Note: The following funds are not included in the graph because they account for less than 1% of the total budgeted expenditures.
 Special Parks & Recreation, Special Alcohol, Risk Management and Economic Development

2021 Budget Overview - All Funds Combined

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	12,927,828	15,491,146	13,187,603	18,504,942
Revenues:				
Property Taxes	6,851,002	7,529,277	8,208,703	8,732,765
Incremental Property Taxes	305,227	648,699	848,699	-
Sales Taxes	6,660,232	6,513,023	6,725,000	6,574,240
Use Tax	1,340,244	1,509,888	1,375,000	1,380,000
Motor Vehicle Tax	733,253	752,860	789,025	762,507
Liquor Tax	420,462	390,696	419,376	409,431
Franchise Fees	2,119,628	1,900,281	2,048,154	1,959,500
Licenses & Permits	840,706	1,016,287	775,750	665,183
Intergovernmental	2,010,334	1,653,846	1,389,790	2,423,080
Charges for Services	4,971,602	5,071,706	5,168,093	5,219,134
Fines & Fees	1,043,189	863,819	1,037,775	931,750
Recreational Fees	420,201	416,620	411,050	410,150
Interest on Investments	244,171	407,422	192,050	185,290
Miscellaneous	99,151	175,963	117,600	121,900
Net Inc/Decr in Fair Value	(7,735)	35,669		
Total Revenue	28,051,667	39,598,651	29,506,065	29,774,930
Transfers from Other funds:				
Transfer from General Fund	6,821,598	6,665,091	6,509,723	6,509,334
Transfer from Solid Waste Management	-	305,254	-	-
Transfer from Stormwater Utility Fund	1,691,833	1,660,383	1,565,000	1,600,000
Transfer from Special Highway Fund	619,774	643,000	610,040	597,000
Transfer from Special Parks & Rec Fund	139,072	131,435	139,792	132,000
Total	9,272,277	9,405,163	8,824,555	8,838,334
Total Sources	37,323,944	49,003,814	38,330,620	38,613,264
Expenditures:				
Personnel Services	9,954,546	10,648,453	11,337,498	11,597,103
Contract Services	7,446,558	7,960,499	8,782,564	7,902,035
Commodities	635,829	721,773	792,755	809,955
Capital Outlay	529,380	456,296	755,650	1,015,814
Debt Service	1,249,761	1,262,082	1,320,850	1,323,000
Infrastructure	6,155,326	13,770,908	7,182,691	8,970,300
Contingency	-	-	1,197,578	1,389,086
Total Expenditures	25,971,400	34,820,011	31,369,586	33,007,293
Transfers to Other Funds:				
Transfer to General Fund	450,000	565,000	565,000	600,000
Transfer to Bond & Interest Fund	1,274,871	1,320,358	1,301,229	1,319,534
Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	7,062,406	7,084,805	6,402,941	6,252,800
Transfer to Risk Management Fund	35,000	35,000	35,000	-
Transfer to Economic Development Fund	-	-	65,000	136,000
Transfer to Equipment Reserve Fund	450,000	400,000	455,385	530,000
Total	9,272,277	9,405,163	8,824,555	8,838,334
Total Uses	35,243,677	44,225,174	40,194,141	41,845,627
Sources Over(Under) Uses	2,080,267	4,778,640	(1,863,521)	(3,232,363)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	15,008,095	20,269,786	11,324,082	15,272,579

Includes all City funds except for the Grant Fund and the pension trust funds.

	City of Prairie Village 2021 Budget Budget Summary - All Funds							City of Prairie Village 2021 Budget Budget Summary - All Funds							
	General Fund	Solid Waste Management	Special Highway	Stormwater Utility	Special Parks & Rec	Special Alcohol	Bond & Interest	Subtotal - Budgeted Funds	Capital Infrastructure	Risk Management	Economic Development	Equipment Reserve	CID Corinth	CID PV Shops	All Funds Total
Fund Balance 1/1	6,585,151	295,000	135,640	197,893	(4,542)	49,428	37,849	7,296,419	10,780,003	229,106	72,809	126,604	-	-	18,504,942
Revenues:															
Property Taxes	8,732,765	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,732,765	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,732,765
Incremental Property Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales Taxes	5,450,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,450,000	-	-	-	-	586,560	537,680	6,574,240
Use Tax	1,380,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,380,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,380,000
Motor Vehicle Tax	762,507	-	-	-	-	-	-	762,507	-	-	-	-	-	-	762,507
Liquor Tax	136,477	-	-	-	136,477	136,477	-	409,431	-	-	-	-	-	-	409,431
Franchise Fees	1,959,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,959,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,959,500
Licenses & Permits	652,883	1,300	-	11,000	-	-	-	665,183	-	-	-	-	-	-	665,183
Intergovernmental	-	-	505,580	-	-	-	-	505,580	1,917,500	-	-	-	-	-	2,423,080
Charges for Services	1,799,226	1,835,908	-	1,584,000	-	-	-	5,219,134	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,219,134
Fines & Fees	931,750	-	-	-	-	-	-	931,750	-	-	-	-	-	-	931,750
Recreational Fees	410,150	-	-	-	-	-	-	410,150	-	-	-	-	-	-	410,150
Bond Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest on Investments	60,000	40,000	6,500	11,000	65	2,500	1,000	121,065	60,000	425	100	900	1,300	1,500	185,290
Miscellaneous	120,900	-	-	-	-	-	-	120,900	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	121,900
Total Revenue	22,396,158	1,877,208	512,080	1,606,000	136,542	138,977	1,000	26,667,965	1,978,500	425	100	900	587,860	539,180	29,774,930
Transfers from Other funds:															
Transfer from General Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,319,534	1,319,534	4,523,800	-	136,000	530,000	-	-	6,509,334
Transfer from Solid Waste Management	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfer from Stormwater Utility Fund	600,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	600,000	1,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	1,600,000
Transfer from Special Highway Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	597,000	-	-	-	-	-	597,000
Transfer from Special Parks & Rec Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	132,000	-	-	-	-	-	132,000
Transfer from Special Alcohol Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	600,000	-	-	-	-	-	1,319,534	1,919,534	6,252,800	-	136,000	530,000	-	-	8,838,334
Total Sources	22,996,158	1,877,208	512,080	1,606,000	136,542	138,977	1,320,534	28,587,499	8,231,300	425	136,100	530,900	587,860	539,180	38,613,264
Expenditures:															
Personnel Services	11,463,729	36,896	-	-	-	96,478	-	11,597,103	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,597,103
Contract Services	4,720,457	1,865,166	-	-	-	75,372	-	6,660,995	-	40,000	74,000	-	587,860	539,180	7,902,035
Commodities	792,400	1,000	-	-	-	16,555	-	809,955	-	-	-	-	-	-	809,955
Capital Outlay	363,814	-	-	-	-	-	-	363,814	-	-	-	652,000	-	-	1,015,814
Debt Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,323,000	1,323,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,323,000
Infrastructure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,970,300	-	-	-	-	-	8,970,300
Equipment Reserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Risk Management Reserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Infrastructure Reserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contingency	500,000	269,146	50,720	203,893	-	-	35,383	1,059,142	-	189,531	134,909	5,504	-	-	1,389,086
Total Expenditures	17,840,400	2,172,208	50,720	203,893	-	188,405	1,358,383	21,814,009	8,970,300	229,531	208,909	657,504	587,860	539,180	33,007,293
Transfers to Other Funds:															
Transfer to General Fund	-	-	-	600,000	-	-	-	600,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	600,000
Transfer to Bond & Interest Fund	1,319,534	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,319,534	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,319,534
Transfer to Capital Infrastructure Fund	4,523,800	-	597,000	1,000,000	132,000	-	-	6,252,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,252,800
Transfer to Risk Management Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfer to Economic Development Fund	136,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	136,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	136,000
Transfer to Equipment Reserve Fund	530,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	530,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	530,000
Total	6,509,334	-	597,000	1,600,000	132,000	-	-	8,838,334	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,838,334
Total Uses	24,349,734	2,172,208	647,720	1,803,893	132,000	188,405	1,358,383	30,652,343	8,970,300	229,531	208,909	657,504	587,860	539,180	41,845,627
Sources Over(Under) Uses	(1,353,576)	(295,000)	(135,640)	(197,893)	4,542	(49,428)	(37,849)	(2,064,844)	(739,000)	(229,106)	(72,809)	(126,604)	-	-	(3,232,363)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	5,231,575	0	0	-	0	(0)	(0)	5,231,576	10,041,003	-	0	-	-	-	15,272,579

General Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 7,515,509	\$ 7,631,063	\$ 6,615,787	\$ 7,480,651	\$ 6,585,151
Revenues:					
Property Taxes	6,851,002	7,529,277	8,208,703	8,044,529	8,732,765
Sales Taxes	5,515,563	5,449,864	5,575,000	5,017,500	5,450,000
Use Tax	1,340,244	1,509,888	1,375,000	1,237,500	1,380,000
Motor Vehicle Tax	720,805	751,900	789,025	762,507	762,507
Liquor Tax	140,154	130,232	139,792	135,180	136,477
Franchise Fees	2,119,628	1,900,281	2,048,154	2,048,154	1,959,500
Licenses & Permits	826,011	1,002,391	763,850	695,104	652,883
Intergovernmental				-	
Charges for Services	1,691,852	1,742,963	1,673,906	1,782,142	1,799,226
Fines & Fees	1,043,189	863,819	1,037,775	829,031	931,750
Recreational Fees	420,201	416,620	411,050	40,000	410,150
Interest on Investments	103,493	160,658	75,000	75,000	60,000
Miscellaneous	88,869	126,178	116,600	116,600	120,900
Net Inc/Decr in Fair Value	(7,735)				
Total Revenue	20,853,276	21,584,071	22,213,855	20,783,247	22,396,158
Transfers from Other funds:					
Transfer from Stormwater Utility Fund	450,000	565,000	565,000	565,000	600,000
Total	450,000	565,000	565,000	565,000	600,000
Total Sources	21,303,276	22,149,071	22,778,855	21,348,247	22,996,158
Expenditures:					
Personnel Services	9,825,703	10,523,878	11,205,956	10,543,250	11,463,729
Contract Services	3,809,998	4,165,894	4,605,594	4,329,258	4,720,457
Commodities	562,577	709,495	775,200	606,164	792,400
Capital Outlay	167,846	235,125	271,650	255,351	363,814
Contingency	-		500,000		500,000
Total Expenditures	14,366,124	15,634,392	17,358,400	15,734,023	17,840,400
Transfers to Other Funds:					
Transfer to Capital Infrastructure Fund	5,303,560	5,155,116	4,653,109	4,653,109	4,523,800
Transfer to Bond & Interest Fund	1,033,038	1,074,975	1,301,229	1,301,229	1,319,534
Transfer to Risk Management Fund	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	-
Transfer to Economic Development Fund			65,000	65,000	136,000
Transfer to Equipment Reserve Fund	450,000	400,000	455,385	455,385	530,000
Total	6,821,598	6,665,091	6,509,723	6,509,723	6,509,334
Total Uses	21,187,722	22,299,483	23,868,123	22,243,746	24,349,734
Sources Over(Under) Uses	115,554	(150,412)	(1,089,268)	(895,500)	(1,353,576)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 7,631,063	\$ 7,480,651	\$ 5,526,519	\$ 6,585,151	\$ 5,231,575

Funding Sources: Property tax, sales tax, franchise fees, grants from other governments, user fees and charges.

Expenditures: General operating expenditures and a portion of infrastructure improvement expenditures.

Solid Waste Management Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 340,709	\$ 359,030	\$ 137,268	\$ 187,024	\$ 295,000
Revenues:					
Licenses & Permits	2,445	1,296	1,900	1,900	1,300
Charges for Services	1,706,924	1,745,067	1,910,187	1,910,187	1,835,908
Interest on Investments	22,500	39,973	15,000	31,024	40,000
Miscellaneous	68	-	-	-	-
Total Revenue	1,731,937	1,786,336	1,927,087	1,943,111	1,877,208
 Total Sources	 1,731,937	 1,786,336	 1,927,087	 1,943,111	 1,877,208
Expenditures:					
Personnel Services	32,817	33,900	34,821	34,821	36,896
Contract Services	1,680,799	1,924,442	1,798,754	1,799,314	1,865,166
Commodities	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
Contingency	-	-	229,780	-	269,146
Total Expenditures	1,713,616	1,958,342	2,064,355	1,835,135	2,172,208
 Total Uses	 1,713,616	 1,958,342	 2,064,355	 1,835,135	 2,172,208
Sources Over(Under) Uses	18,321	(172,006)	(137,268)	107,976	(295,000)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 359,030	\$ 187,024	\$ -	\$ 295,000	\$ -

Funding Sources: Special assessments on property tax bills.

Expenditures: In 2017 the City contracted with Republic Trash Services for solid waste collection, recycling, composting services and large item pick up as well as a portion of the City's administrative costs including personal services and supplies.

2018 Assessment: \$192.00

2019 Assessment: \$207.00

2020 Assessment: \$228.00

2021 Assessment: \$218.00 (base rate before decision packages)

Special Highway Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 148,736	\$ 152,466	\$ 107,136	\$ 161,680	\$ 135,640
Revenues:					
Intergovernmental	604,956	617,656	610,040	575,600	505,580
Interest on Investments	18,549	34,558	12,000	8,400	6,500
Total Revenue	623,505	652,214	622,040	584,000	512,080
Total Sources	623,505	652,214	622,040	584,000	512,080
Expenditures:					
Contingency	-	-	119,136	-	50,720
Total Expenditures	-	-	119,136	-	50,720
Transfers to Other Funds:					
Transfer to Capital Infrastructure Fund	619,774	643,000	610,040	610,040	597,000
Total	619,774	643,000	610,040	610,040	597,000
Total Uses	619,774	643,000	729,176	610,040	647,720
Sources Over(Under) Uses	3,730	9,214	(107,136)	(26,040)	(135,640)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 152,466	\$ 161,680	\$ -	\$ 135,640	\$ 0

Funding Sources: State gasoline tax (per gallon)

Expenditures: Transfer to the Capital Infrastructure Fund for street improvements.

Stormwater Utility Fund

	2018		2019		2020		2020		2021
	Actual		Actual		Budget		Estimate		Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 202,732	\$	118,686	\$	61,803	\$	133,165	\$	197,893
Revenues:									
Licenses & Permits	12,250		12,600		10,000		10,000		11,000
Charges for Services	1,572,826		1,583,676		1,584,000		1,584,000		1,584,000
Interest on Investments	22,711		78,586		17,000		35,728		11,000
Total Revenue	1,607,787		1,674,862		1,611,000		1,629,728		1,606,000
Total Sources	1,607,787		1,674,862		1,611,000		1,629,728		1,606,000
Expenditures:									
Contingency	-				107,803				203,893
Total Expenditures	-		-		107,803		-		203,893
Transfers to Other Funds:									
Transfer to General Fund	450,000		565,000		565,000		565,000		600,000
Transfer to Bond & Interest Fund	241,833		245,383		-		-		-
Transfer to Capital Infrastructure Fund	1,000,000		850,000		1,000,000		1,000,000		1,000,000
Total	1,691,833		1,660,383		1,565,000		1,565,000		1,600,000
Total Uses	1,691,833		1,660,383		1,672,803		1,565,000		1,803,893
Sources Over(Under) Uses	(84,046)		14,479		(61,803)		64,728		(197,893)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 118,686	\$	133,165	\$	-	\$	197,893	\$	-

Funding Sources: Special assessments on the property tax bills - fee per square foot of impervious area (\$0.040/sq. ft.) (2015 rate was \$0.040/sq. ft.)

Expenditures: Operation and maintenance of the City's stormwater system in accordance with NPDES guidelines.

Notes: The stormwater utility fee was a new revenue source in 2009. The fee is dedicated to funding the City's stormwater program and compliance with NPDES guidelines.

Special Park & Recreation Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ -	\$ 1,135	\$ 535	\$ 0	\$ (4,542)
Revenues:					
Liquor Tax	140,154	130,232	139,792	135,180	136,477
Interest on Investments	53	68	100	70	65
Total Revenue	140,207	130,300	139,892	135,250	136,542
Total Sources	140,207	130,300	139,892	135,250	136,542
Expenditures:					
Contingency	-	-	635	-	-
Total Expenditures	-	-	635	-	-
Transfers to Other Funds:					
Transfer to Capital Infrastructure Fund	139,072	131,435	139,792	139,792	132,000
Total	139,072	131,435	139,792	139,792	132,000
Total Uses	139,072	131,435	140,427	139,792	132,000
Sources Over(Under) Uses	1,135	(1,135)	(535)	(4,542)	4,542
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 1,135	\$ 0	\$ -	\$ (4,542)	\$ 0

Funding Sources: Special alcohol tax per K.S.A. 79-41a04 (1/3 of total alcohol tax received by the City)

Expenditures: Park and pool improvements.

Special Alcohol Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 182,262	\$ 109,741	\$ 74,641	\$ 84,673	\$ 49,428
Revenues:					
Liquor Tax	140,154	130,232	139,792	135,180	136,477
Interest on Investments	1,717	2,764	1,500	2,500	2,500
Total Revenue	141,871	132,996	141,292	137,680	138,977
Total Sources	141,871	132,996	141,292	137,680	138,977
Expenditures:					
Personnel Services	96,029	90,675	96,721	91,885	96,478
Contract Services	65,492	55,111	74,748	69,516	75,372
Commodities	13,258	12,278	16,555	11,525	16,555
Capital Outlay	39,614			-	
Contingency	-	-	27,909	-	
Total Expenditures	214,392	158,064	215,933	172,926	188,405
Total Uses	214,392	158,064	215,933	172,926	188,405
Sources Over(Under) Uses	(72,521)	(25,068)	(74,641)	(35,246)	(49,428)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 109,741	\$ 84,673	\$ -	\$ 49,428	\$ (0)

Funding Sources: Special alcohol tax per K.S.A. 79-41a04 (1/3 of total alcohol tax received by the City)

Expenditures: Alcohol rehabilitation, including grants to local agencies through United Community Services and partial funding of the City's D.A.R.E. Program.

Bond & Interest Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 72,058	\$ 51,539	\$ 52,539	\$ 55,470	\$ 37,849
Revenues:					
Property Taxes			-	-	-
Motor Vehicle Tax	12,448	960	-	-	-
Interest on Investments	199	2,971	100	2,000	1,000
Total Revenue	12,647	3,931	100	2,000	1,000
Transfers from Other funds:					
Transfer from General Fund	1,033,038	1,074,975	1,301,229	1,301,229	1,319,534
Transfer from Stormwater Fund	241,833	245,383			-
Total	1,274,871	1,320,358	1,301,229	1,301,229	1,319,534
Total Sources	1,287,518	1,324,289	1,301,329	1,303,229	1,320,534
Expenditures:					
Debt Service	1,308,037	1,320,358	1,320,850	1,320,850	1,323,000
Contingency	-	-	33,018	-	35,383
Total Expenditures	1,308,037	1,320,358	1,353,868	1,320,850	1,358,383
Total Uses	1,308,037	1,320,358	1,353,868	1,320,850	1,358,383
Sources Over(Under) Uses	(20,519)	3,931	(52,539)	(17,621)	(37,849)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 51,539	\$ 55,470	\$ -	\$ 37,849	\$ -

Funding Sources: Property tax, motor vehicle tax, transfers from General Fund

Expenditures: Debt service payments on the City's outstanding bonds.

Notes: The City's outstanding bonds will be paid off in 2049.

Capital Infrastructure Fund

	2018		2019		2020		2020		2021
	Actual		Actual		Budget		Estimate		Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 3,080,530	\$	5,516,640	\$	5,580,189	\$	10,735,603	\$	10,780,003
Revenues:									
Intergovernmental	1,405,378		1,036,190		779,750		779,750		1,917,500
Bond Proceeds			10,712,595		-		-		-
Interest on Investments	65,376		53,287		62,000		43,400		60,000
Miscellaneous			9,049		1,000		1,000		1,000
Net Inc/Decr in Fair Value			35,669						-
Total Revenue	1,470,754		11,846,790		842,750		824,150		1,978,500
Transfers from Other funds:									
Transfer from General Fund	5,303,560		5,155,116		4,653,109		4,653,109		4,523,800
Transfer from Special Highway Fund	619,774		643,000		610,040		610,040		597,000
Transfer from Stormwater Utility Fund	1,000,000		850,000		1,000,000		1,000,000		1,000,000
Transfer from Special Parks & Rec Fund	139,072		131,435		139,792		139,792		132,000
Transfer from Economic Development Fund			305,254						
Total	7,062,406		7,084,805		6,402,941		6,402,941		6,252,800
Total Sources	8,533,160		18,931,595		7,245,691		7,227,091		8,231,300
Expenditures:									
Infrastructure	6,097,050		13,712,632		7,182,691		7,182,691		8,970,300
Total Expenditures	6,097,050		13,712,632		7,182,691		7,182,691		8,970,300
Total Uses	6,097,050		13,712,632		7,182,691		7,182,691		8,970,300
Sources Over(Under) Uses	2,436,110		5,218,963		63,000		44,400		(739,000)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 5,516,640	\$	10,735,603	\$	5,643,189	\$	10,780,003	\$	10,041,003

Funding Sources: Transfers from the General Fund, Stormwater Utility Fund, Special Parks & Recreation Fund, Economic Development Fund, grants from other governments

Expenditures: Capital Infrastructure Program - Please see the CIP Section of this document for the detailed plan including projects and programs.

Capital Infrastructure Fund

CIP Expenditure Total = \$8,970,300

2021 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2021 EXPENDITURES
Taliaferro Park Restrooms	\$450,000
Pool Painting - Dive, Lap and Adult Pools	\$50,000
PARK TOTAL PER YEAR	\$500,000
Drainage Repair Program	\$275,000
Brush Creek: 68th & Mission Road	\$2,500,000
DRAINAGE TOTAL PER YEAR	\$2,775,000
Residential Street Rehabilitation Program	\$3,000,000
UBAS Overlay Program	\$400,000
79th Street - Roe Ave to Lamar St UBAS (CARS)	\$388,000
Mission Road - 85th St to 95th St (CARS & Leawood)	\$702,300
STREET TOTAL PER YEAR	\$4,490,300
Building Reserve	\$50,000
Brick Repair (Community Center, City Hall and PD)	\$200,000
Police Department Fence and Covered Parking	\$300,000
City Hall Conceptual Study	\$30,000
BUILDINGS TOTAL PER YEAR	\$580,000
ADA Compliance Program	\$25,000
Concrete Repair Program	\$600,000
OTHER TOTAL PER YEAR	\$625,000
CIP TOTAL	\$8,970,300

Risk Management Reserve Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 92,939	\$ 138,119	\$ 133,569	\$ 231,311	\$ 229,106
Revenues:					
Interest on Investments	591	17,456	450	2,795	425
Miscellaneous	10,214	40,736	-	-	-
Total Revenue	10,805	58,192	450	2,795	425
Transfers from Other funds:					
Transfer from General Fund	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	-
Transfer from Special Alcohol Fund	-	-	-	-	-
Total	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	-
Total Sources	45,805	93,192	35,450	37,795	425
Expenditures:					
Contract Services	625	-	40,000	40,000	40,000
Risk Management Reserve	-	-	129,019	-	189,531
Total Expenditures	625	-	169,019	40,000	229,531
Total Uses	625	-	169,019	40,000	229,531
Sources Over(Under) Uses	45,180	93,192	(133,569)	(2,205)	(229,106)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 138,119	\$ 231,311	\$ -	\$ 229,106	\$ -

Funding Sources: Transfers from the General Fund, insurance claim reimbursements, interest on idle funds

Expenditures: Risk management related expenditures, such as insurance deductibles

Economic Development Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 620,075	\$ 547,748	\$ 35,178	\$ 146,720	\$ 72,809
Revenues:					
Interest on Investments	198	-	100	507	100
Total Revenue	198	-	100	507	100
Transfers from Other funds:					
Transfer from General Fund	-	-	65,000	65,000	136,000
Total	-	-	65,000	65,000	136,000
Total Sources	198	-	65,100	65,507	136,100
Expenditures:					
Contract Services	72,525	95,774	50,000	139,418	74,000
Contingency	-		50,278		134,909
Total Expenditures	72,525	95,774	100,278	139,418	208,909
Transfers to Other Funds:					
Transfer to Capital Infrastructure Fund	-	305,254	-	-	-
Total	-	305,254	-	-	-
Total Uses	72,525	401,028	100,278	139,418	208,909
Sources Over(Under) Uses	(72,327)	(401,028)	(35,178)	(73,911)	(72,809)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 547,748	\$ 146,720	\$ -	\$ 72,809	\$ 0

Economic Development Fund Allocation	2020 Bud	2020 Est	2021 Bud
Beginning balance	\$35,178	\$146,720	\$72,809
Interest	100	507	100
Transfer from General Fund (Public Safety Sales Tax & Minor Home Repair)	65,000	65,000	136,000
Exterior Grant Program	(50,000)	(68,000)	(74,000)
City Owned Art Restoration (clean, repair, replace & restore @ \$50,000)		(48,676)	
Comprehensive Master Plan (\$80,000)		(22,742)	
Contingency	(50,278)		(134,909)
Total	\$0	\$72,809	\$0

Equipment Reserve Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 334,380	\$ 518,738	\$ 27,615	\$ 699,274	\$ 126,604
Revenues:					
Interest on Investments	1,486	1,707	1,000	2,115	900
Total Revenue	1,486	1,707	1,000	2,115	900
Transfers from Other funds:					
Transfer from General Fund	450,000	400,000	455,385	455,385	530,000
Total	450,000	400,000	455,385	455,385	530,000
Total Sources	451,486	401,707	456,385	457,500	530,900
Expenditures:					
Capital Outlay	267,128	221,171	484,000	1,030,170	652,000
Contingency					5,504
Total Expenditures	267,128	221,171	484,000	1,030,170	657,504
Total Uses	267,128	221,171	484,000	1,030,170	657,504
Sources Over(Under) Uses	184,358	180,536	(27,615)	(572,670)	(126,604)
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 518,738	\$ 699,274	\$ -	\$ 126,604	\$ -

Funding Sources: Transfers from the General Fund, interest on idle funds

Expenditures: Acquisition of equipment, vehicles and technology projects.

Equipment Reserve Fund Plan

Equipment Reserve Expenditure Total = \$652,000

2021 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2021 EXPENDITURES
City Hall Server Replacement on-going project	\$10,000
City Hall Switches 2021 project	\$90,000
Police Department Park Security Cameras install one camera per year	\$15,000
Police Department Traffic Cameras install one camera per year	\$15,000
Police Department Laptop Replacement (\$80,000 total) 2024	\$20,000
Police Department Radio Replacement (\$400,000 total) 2029 project	\$50,000
Police Department In Car Video / Body Worn Cameras (\$140,000 total) 2027 project	\$20,000
Police Department HVAC 2021 project	\$10,000
Codes Plotter 2021 project	\$10,000
TOTAL	\$240,000
Public Works Equipment	
Public Works Leased Vehicles 2021 project	\$197,000
Public Works Mower 2021 project	\$15,000
Public Works 1 Ton Dump Truck (\$100,000 total) 2021 project	\$50,000
Public Works Street Sweeper (\$230,000 total) 2021 project	\$115,000
Public Works Bobcat (\$70,000 total) 2022 project	\$35,000
TOTAL	\$412,000
EQUIPMENT RESERVE TOTAL	\$652,000

CID - Corinth Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 137,476	\$ 158,862	\$ 128,128	\$ 85,492	\$ -
Revenues:					
Property Taxes					
Sales Taxes	596,063	525,041	600,000	540,000	586,560
Interest on Investments	3,144	8,434	2,200	2,315	1,300
Total Revenue	599,207	533,475	602,200	542,315	587,860
Expenditures:					
Contract Services	577,821	606,845	730,328	627,807	587,860
Total Expenditures	577,821	606,845	730,328	627,807	587,860
Total Uses	577,821	606,845	730,328	627,807	587,860
Sources Over(Under) Uses	21,386	(73,370)	(128,128)	(85,492)	-
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 158,862	\$ 85,492	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Funding Sources: Monies received from the Community Improvement District additional 1% sales tax

Expenditures: Development within Corinth Square per Developer Agreement

CID - PV Shops Fund


	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Budget
Fund Balance 1/1	\$ 108,720	\$ 157,764	\$ 141,841	\$ 185,419	\$ -
Revenues:					
Sales Taxes	548,606	538,118	550,000	495,000	537,680
Interest on Investments	4,153	6,960	2,600	4,509	1,500
Total Revenue	552,759	545,078	552,600	499,509	539,180
Total Sources	552,759	545,078	552,600	499,509	539,180
Expenditures:					
Contract Services	503,715	517,423	694,441	684,928	539,180
Total Expenditures	503,715	517,423	694,441	684,928	539,180
Total Uses	503,715	517,423	694,441	684,928	539,180
Sources Over(Under) Uses	49,044	27,655	(141,841)	(185,419)	-
Fund Balance @ 12/31	\$ 157,764	\$ 185,419	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Funding Sources: Monies received from the Community Improvement District additional 1% sales tax

Expenditures: Development within PV Shops per Developer Agreement



Expenditures by Program



2021 Budget



Summary by Department

Department	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Administration	\$ 1,602,610	\$ 1,671,042	\$ 1,741,773	\$ 1,789,018
Public Works	5,128,514	5,250,855	5,707,029	5,883,444
Police Department	6,676,478	7,042,549	7,591,507	7,796,245
Municipal Court	469,351	499,208	553,760	578,826
Community Development	2,284,322	2,592,720	2,802,185	2,920,481
Community Programs	561,804	591,465	649,525	667,999
Total	\$ 16,723,079	\$ 17,647,839	\$ 19,045,779	\$ 19,636,013

Expenditures by Fund

General Fund	\$ 14,849,172	\$ 15,577,784	\$ 16,858,400	\$ 17,340,400
Solid Waste Management Fund	1,713,616	1,958,342	2,064,355	2,172,208
Special Alcohol Fund	160,291	111,713	123,024	123,405
Total	\$ 16,723,079	\$ 17,647,839	\$ 19,045,779	\$ 19,636,013

Note: Only appropriated funds are included in the following department and program schedules. Those funds include: General, Solid Waste Management, Special Highway, Stormwater Utility, Special Parks & Recreation, Special Alcohol and Bond & Interest.





Expenditures – Administration



2021 Budget

Department: Administration

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Expenditures by Program				
Mayor & Council	\$ 90,079	\$ 100,715	\$ 122,431	\$ 127,289
Management & Planning	536,593	592,401	558,609	571,814
Legal Services	164,701	143,134	175,000	175,000
Human Resources	191,825	200,315	221,799	229,695
Finance	308,407	330,153	338,418	350,049
City Clerk	311,005	304,324	325,516	335,171
Total	\$1,602,610	\$ 1,671,042	\$ 1,741,773	\$ 1,789,018


Expenditures by Character				
Personnel Services	\$ 985,985	\$ 1,061,769	\$ 1,055,270	\$ 1,086,248
Contract Services	531,878	528,885	603,703	617,420
Commodities	59,747	65,693	81,300	84,600
Capital Outlay	25,000	14,695	1,500	750
Total	\$1,602,610	\$ 1,671,042	\$ 1,741,773	\$ 1,789,018

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$1,602,610	\$ 1,671,042	\$ 1,741,773	\$ 1,789,018
Total	\$1,602,610	\$ 1,671,042	\$ 1,741,773	\$ 1,789,018

Full-time Equivalent Positions	9.30	9.30	9.18	9.18
Unpaid Positions	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00
Appointed/Contracted Officials	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15

Notes

- In 2018, Information Technology was moved from the Administration budget to the Police Department budget.



2021 Budget

Department: Administration
Program: Mayor & Council

The Mayor and 12 elected Council members serve as the legislative and policy-making body of the City. The mayor and Council provide leadership, vision and direction for the staff, resources and City.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 2,032	\$ 1,654	\$ 5,606	\$ 5,606
Contract Services	37,639	65,115	79,475	80,733
Commodities	25,408	28,946	37,350	40,950
Capital Outlay	25,000	5,000	0	0
Total	\$ 90,079	\$ 100,715	\$ 122,431	\$ 127,289

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 90,079	\$ 100,715	\$ 122,431	\$ 127,289
Total	\$ 90,079	\$ 100,715	\$ 122,431	\$ 127,289

Unpaid Positions	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00
Mayor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Council Member	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
Total	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00

Notes

- The Mayor and Council Members do not receive a salary. They may receive a communications stipend of \$25/month. This rate has not changed since its inception in 2006.

2021 Contractual Services Budget also Includes the Following:

Consulting fees, council retreat, photo	\$16,500
Worker's Compensation	68
Training and conferences	34,900
Dues & Subscriptions:	
MARC, NLC & LKM	29,265
	\$ 80,733

2021 Commodities Budget Includes the Following:

Office supplies and postage	\$7,800
Other (Misc. expenses, rentals, etc.)	11,250
Volunteer Appreciation Dinner	13,000
Council meals	7,100
Volunteer gift	1,800
	\$ 40,950

2021 Budget

Department: Administration
Program: Management & Planning

Provides overall management of City operations, coordination of City planning and implementation of Council direction and policy.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 375,575	\$ 444,755	\$ 384,093	\$ 397,363
Contract Services	142,880	125,964	153,016	152,951
Commodities	18,138	16,682	21,500	21,500
Total	\$ 536,593	\$ 592,401	\$ 558,609	\$ 571,814

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 536,593	\$ 592,401	\$ 558,609	\$ 571,814
Total	\$ 536,593	\$ 592,401	\$ 558,609	\$ 571,814

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	2.30	2.30	2.48	2.48
City Administrator	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Deputy City Administrator	0.30	0.30	0.48	0.48
Public Information Officer	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	2.30	2.30	2.48	2.48

Appointed/Contracted Officials				
	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
City Attorney/Assistant City Attorney	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
City Planner	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
City Treasurer	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:

Miscellaneous contracts & Advising	\$ 23,000
Planning	50,000
Newsletter	30,000
Training & Conferences:	
NE Chamber lunch, MARC, LKM, ICMA, NLC, ASPA, KACM & NE KS Managers	12,420
Dues & Subscriptions:	
ICMA, KACM & ASPA	2,020
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	35,511
	\$ 152,951

2021 Budget

Department: Administration
Program: Legal Services

Provides support to City departments regarding legal matters. This service is provided by law firms retained by the City to handle the City's legal affairs. The law firms bill the City on an hourly basis for these services.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Contract Services	\$ 164,701	\$ 143,134	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
Total	\$ 164,701	\$ 143,134	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 164,701	\$ 143,134	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
Total	\$ 164,701	\$ 143,134	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000

Notes

- Services are provided at an hourly rate.

2021 Budget

Department: Administration
Program: Human Resources

The Human Resources function is responsible for providing quality service and support to employees, City-wide compliance with federal, state and local employment and benefit laws and regulations, recruitment, policies, employee compensation and benefits, maintenance of personnel records, training and development, and worker's compensation.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 109,208	\$ 116,444	\$ 141,143	\$ 145,210
Contract Services	82,158	82,800	80,156	83,985
Commodities	459	227	500	500
Capital Outlay	0	844	0	0
Total	\$ 191,825	\$ 200,315	\$ 221,799	\$ 229,695

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 191,825	\$ 200,315	\$ 221,799	\$ 229,695
Total	\$ 191,825	\$ 200,315	\$ 221,799	\$ 229,695

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Human Resources Manager	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Notes

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:

Staff training	\$ 4,800
Payroll services	59,200
Recruitment	4,400
Wellness Incentives	10,000
Training & Conferences	2,750
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	1,865
Dues & Subscriptions	970
Total	\$ 83,985

2021 Budget

Department: Administration
Program: Finance

The Finance Department is responsible for payroll, budgeting, accounting and financial reporting operations of the City and providing support to other City departments.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 225,002	\$ 240,661	\$ 249,166	\$ 256,028
Contract Services	82,961	88,816	88,452	93,221
Commodities	444	676	800	800
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 308,407	\$ 330,153	\$ 338,418	\$ 350,049

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 308,407	\$ 330,153	\$ 338,418	\$ 350,049
Total	\$ 308,407	\$ 330,153	\$ 338,418	\$ 350,049

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Finance Director	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Accounting Clerk	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

Notes

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:

Audit Services	\$ 25,949
Investment Services	28,300
Bank Fees	7,900
Credit Card Fees	22,000
Printing	1,800
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	3,172
Training	3,500
Dues & Subscriptions	600
	\$ 93,221

2021 Budget

Department: Administration
Program: City Clerk

City Clerk staff are responsible for maintaining all records of the City. City Clerk staff provides support services to elected officials, City committees and other departments. Staff issue business and animal licenses; register individuals and families for recreation programs; coordinate the reservation of meeting rooms, ball fields, tennis courts and park pavilions.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 274,168	\$ 258,255	\$ 275,262	\$ 282,041
Contract Services	21,539	23,056	27,604	31,530
Commodities	15,298	19,162	21,150	20,850
Capital Outlay	0	3,851	1,500	750
Total	\$ 311,005	\$ 304,324	\$ 325,516	\$ 335,171

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 311,005	\$ 304,324	\$ 325,516	\$ 335,171
Total	\$ 311,005	\$ 304,324	\$ 325,516	\$ 335,171

Full-time Equivalent Positions	4.00	4.00	3.70	3.70
City Clerk	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Receptionist	1.00	1.00	0.70	0.70
Administrative Support Specialist	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Total	4.00	4.00	3.70	3.70

Notes

2021 Capital Outlay Budget Includes the Following:

Office equipment and furniture	\$ 750
--------------------------------	--------



Expenditures – Public Works



2021 Budget


Department: Public Works

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Expenditures by Program				
Management, Engineering & Administration	\$ 983,651	\$ 1,039,104	\$ 1,047,165	\$ 1,069,982
Drainage Operations & Maintenance	409,620	477,431	538,318	555,091
Vehicle Maintenance	246,575	272,911	270,251	285,994
Street Operations & Maintenance	1,863,181	1,977,298	2,212,570	2,301,579
Parks and Grounds Maintenance	1,055,530	932,365	1,091,511	1,109,648
Pool Operations & Maintenance	198,844	197,473	213,930	221,130
Tennis Maintenance	11,995	11,886	15,550	13,550
Building Operations & Maintenance	200,604	197,269	177,150	185,350
Police Department Operation & Maintenance	158,514	145,118	140,584	141,120
Total	\$ 5,128,514	\$ 5,250,855	\$ 5,707,029	\$ 5,883,444

Expenditures by Character				
Personnel Services	\$ 2,204,185	\$ 2,358,494	\$ 2,514,929	\$ 2,592,472
Contract Services	2,540,991	2,409,516	2,719,050	2,809,522
Commodities	343,733	428,658	435,550	443,950
Capital Outlay	39,605	54,187	37,500	37,500
Total	\$ 5,128,514	\$ 5,250,855	\$ 5,707,029	\$ 5,883,444

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 5,128,514	\$ 5,250,855	\$ 5,707,029	\$ 5,883,444
Total	\$ 5,128,514	\$ 5,250,855	\$ 5,707,029	\$ 5,883,444

Full-time Equivalent Positions	29.00	29.00	30.00	30.00
---------------------------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------



2021 Budget

Department: Public Works

Program: Management, Engineering & Administration

This program provides general management for Public Works and includes departmental budget preparation and control, purchasing, ADA compliance, public right of way and drainage permits and support to City committees. The program processes and monitors service requests from residents, businesses, City officials and other employees.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 877,306	\$ 903,137	\$ 902,241	\$ 933,734
Contract Services	74,127	108,221	116,924	109,848
Commodities	25,993	21,805	25,000	23,400
Capital Outlay	6,225	5,941	3,000	3,000
Total	\$ 983,651	\$ 1,039,104	\$ 1,047,165	\$ 1,069,982

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 983,651	\$ 1,039,104	\$ 1,047,165	\$ 1,069,982
Total	\$ 983,651	\$ 1,039,104	\$ 1,047,165	\$ 1,069,982

	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
Public Works Director	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Senior Project Manager	-	-	1.00	1.00
Project Inspector	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Manager of Engineering Services	1.00	1.00	-	-
Office Manager	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Field Superintendent	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Construction Inspector	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Administrative Support Specialist	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00

Notes

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:

Cell Phones and Pagers	\$ 5,200
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	41,748
Drug Testing & Physicals	2,400
City Engineer	20,000
Traffic Engineer	10,000
Weather Service	10,500
Training	6,000
Dues & Subscriptions	5,300
Equipment Rental	8,700
	\$ 109,848

2021 Budget

Department: Public Works
Program: Drainage Operations & Maintenance

The maintenance and repair of almost 2,600 drainage structures, 45 miles of drainage pipes and 9 miles of channels. The primary activities are compliance with Federal stormwater regulations (NPDES) and local stormwater management program including activities such as street sweeping, drainage inlet cleaning, and channel maintenance.

	2018 Actual		2019 Actual		2020 Budget		2021 Budget
Program Expenditures							
Personnel Services	\$ 343,695	\$	422,178	\$	475,418	\$	487,680
Contract Services	27,386		16,352		22,700		27,711
Commodities	38,539		38,901		40,200		39,700
Capital Outlay	0		0		0		0
Total	\$ 409,620	\$	477,431	\$	538,318	\$	555,091
Expenditures by Fund							
General Fund	\$ 409,620	\$	477,431	\$	538,318	\$	555,091
Total	\$ 409,620	\$	477,431	\$	538,318	\$	555,091
Full-time Equivalent Positions							
	5.00		5.00		6.00		6.00
Stormwater Engineer	-		-		1.00		1.00
Crew Leader	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00
Maintenance Workers	4.00		4.00		4.00		4.00
Total	5.00		5.00		6.00		6.00

2021 Budget

Department: Public Works
Program: Vehicle Maintenance

This program provides maintenance of all Public Works vehicles and equipment including: specifications preparation, preventative maintenance, repairs, and fueling. This program provides fuel and limited vehicle maintenance service to the Police Department and Codes Division. The City provides fuel to the City of Mission Hills and to Johnson County Consolidated Fire District #2.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 207,812	\$ 222,298	\$ 234,377	\$ 246,805
Contract Services	17,218	23,495	19,574	19,089
Commodities	21,545	27,118	16,300	20,100
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 246,575	\$ 272,911	\$ 270,251	\$ 285,994
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 246,575	\$ 272,911	\$ 270,251	\$ 285,994
Total	\$ 246,575	\$ 272,911	\$ 270,251	\$ 285,994
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Mechanic	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Crew Leader	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maintenance Worker	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00

2021 Budget

Department: Public Works
Program: Street Operations & Maintenance

This program provides for the maintenance and repair of approximately 112 miles of streets, 2800 traffic signs, 93 miles of sidewalk, and 1,530 ADA ramps. The primary activities in this program are pothole patching, snow/ice control, sidewalk repairs and curb/gutter repair. Major maintenance activities are annual crack filing, slurry sealing, bridge repairs and traffic line re-marking.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 299,622	\$ 335,592	\$ 363,926	\$ 374,635
Contract Services	1,490,893	1,482,537	1,697,644	1,771,644
Commodities	72,666	159,169	151,000	155,300
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 1,863,181	\$ 1,977,298	\$ 2,212,570	\$ 2,301,579

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 1,863,181	\$ 1,977,298	\$ 2,212,570	\$ 2,301,579
Total	\$ 1,863,181	\$ 1,977,298	\$ 2,212,570	\$ 2,301,579

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Maintenance Workers	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Crew Leader	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00

Notes

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:

OP Green Light	\$ 5,000
Street Lights	300,000
Traffic Signals	800,000
Water	5,000
Equipment Maintenance & Repair	6,200
Equipment Rental	4,000
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	25,444
Training	3,000
Street Maintenance & Repair	623,000
Total	\$ 1,771,644

2021 Budget

Department: Public Works
Program: Parks and Grounds Maintenance

This program provides for operation, maintenance and repair of 14 parks, 6 fountains, 187 city islands, 10 pavilions, 68 acres of turf, 12 playscapes, 32 flower gardens, and 9,375 public trees.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 475,750	\$ 475,289	\$ 538,967	\$ 549,618
Contract Services	464,011	333,754	419,544	428,630
Commodities	111,269	112,957	128,500	126,900
Capital Outlay	4,500	10,365	4,500	4,500
Total	\$ 1,055,530	\$ 932,365	\$ 1,091,511	\$ 1,109,648

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 1,055,530	\$ 932,365	\$ 1,091,511	\$ 1,109,648
Total	\$ 1,055,530	\$ 932,365	\$ 1,091,511	\$ 1,109,648

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Crew Leader	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Maintenance Worker	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Forestry Specialist	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Seasonal Laborers	1.00	1.00	-	-
Total	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00

Notes

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:

Utilities - Electricity	\$ 24,000
Utilities - Wastewater	7,000
Utilities - Water	23,000
Communications - Data	1,500
Special Assessments	9,000
Maintenance & Repair - equipment	5,200
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	30,230
Training	2,500
Dues	100
Equipment rental	2,000
Grounds Maintenance & Repair	68,200
Tree Maintenance & Repair	215,000
Building Maintenance & Repair	40,900
Total	\$ 428,630

2021 Budget

Department: Public Works
Program: Pool Operations & Maintenance

This program is for the operation and maintenance of the Harmon Park Swimming Pool complex and buildings. The complex has six pools: wading, leisure, slide, diving, lap, and adult.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Contract Services	161,148	159,468	166,830	173,230
Commodities	37,696	38,005	47,100	47,900
Total	\$ 198,844	\$ 197,473	\$ 213,930	\$ 221,130
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 198,844	\$ 197,473	\$ 213,930	\$ 221,130
Total	\$ 198,844	\$ 197,473	\$ 213,930	\$ 221,130

Notes

Pool Complex Features:

- Leisure Pool
- Wading Pool
- Adult Pool
- Lap Lanes
- Diving Well, Meter Pool
- Water Slides
- Concession Stand



2021 Budget

Department: Public Works
Program: Tennis Maintenance

This program is for the operation and maintenance of the 15 tennis courts in several City parks.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Contract Services	7,565	9,101	12,050	10,050
Commodities	4,430	2,785	3,500	3,500
Total	\$ 11,995	\$ 11,886	\$ 15,550	\$ 13,550
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 11,995	\$ 11,886	\$ 15,550	\$ 13,550
Total	\$ 11,995	\$ 11,886	\$ 15,550	\$ 13,550



2021 Budget

Department: Public Works

Program: Building Operations & Maintenance

This program provides for the maintenance and operation of seven public buildings - Municipal Offices, Community Center and Public Works Facility (5).

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Contract Services	176,633	176,064	159,200	165,200
Commodities	23,971	21,205	17,950	20,150
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 200,604	\$ 197,269	\$ 177,150	\$ 185,350
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 200,604	\$ 197,269	\$ 177,150	\$ 185,350
Total	\$ 200,604	\$ 197,269	\$ 177,150	\$ 185,350

2021 Budget

Department: Public Works

Program: Police Building Operations & Maintenance

This program provides for the maintenance and operation of the Police Building.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Contract Services	122,010	100,524	104,584	104,120
Commodities	7,624	6,713	6,000	7,000
Capital Outlay	28,880	37,881	30,000	30,000
Total	\$ 158,514	\$ 145,118	\$ 140,584	\$ 141,120


Expenditures by Fund

General Fund	\$ 158,514	\$ 145,118	\$ 140,584	\$ 141,120
Total	\$ 158,514	\$ 145,118	\$ 140,584	\$ 141,120

Notes

2021 Capital Outlay Budget Includes the Following:

Building remodel project	\$30,000
--------------------------	----------



Expenditures – Police Department



2021 Budget

Department: Police Department

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Expenditures by Program				
Administration	\$ 482,432	\$ 468,324	\$ 502,144	\$ 532,054
Staff Services	857,917	888,895	967,286	1,087,241
Community Services	201,611	168,765	202,219	236,524
Crime Prevention	13,156	62,492	129,153	89,825
Patrol	3,311,918	3,377,998	3,487,536	3,613,100
Investigations	597,444	666,848	755,028	735,208
Special Investigations Unit	45,005	159,193	239,201	288,430
D.A.R.E.	160,291	111,713	123,024	123,405
Professional Standards	187,398	182,505	204,284	204,068
Off-Duty Contractual	39,345	34,131	38,463	37,787
Traffic Unit	457,142	445,909	432,546	327,814
Information Technology	322,819	475,776	510,623	520,789
Total	\$6,676,478	\$ 7,042,549	\$ 7,591,507	\$ 7,796,245


Expenditures by Character				
Personnel Services	\$5,463,911	\$ 5,834,443	\$ 6,211,630	\$ 6,291,411
Contract Services	836,049	888,040	960,872	983,415
Commodities	185,794	158,530	200,955	210,455
Capital Outlay	190,724	161,536	218,050	310,964
Total	\$6,676,478	\$ 7,042,549	\$ 7,591,507	\$ 7,796,245

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$6,516,187	\$ 6,930,836	\$ 7,468,483	\$ 7,672,840
Special Alcohol Fund	160,291	111,713	123,024	123,405
Debt Service Fund	-	-	-	-
Total	\$6,676,478	\$ 7,042,549	\$ 7,591,507	\$ 7,796,245

Full-time Equivalent Positions	60.00	60.00	61.00	61.00
---------------------------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Notes

- in 2018, Information Technology was moved from the Administration budget to the Police Department budget.



2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Administration

Police administration is responsible for carrying out the directives, policies and procedures established by the City Council for operations of the Police Department. Responsibilities of this program include development of programs and procedures for emergency response, procedures to control or reduce crime and traffic accidents, and the establishment of programs to increase the quality of life in the cities of Prairie Village and Mission Hills.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 279,875	\$ 274,485	\$ 304,366	\$ 328,872
Contract Services	188,647	182,525	176,378	186,332
Commodities	13,460	11,314	13,400	16,850
Capital Outlay	450	0	8,000	0
Total	\$ 482,432	\$ 468,324	\$ 502,144	\$ 532,054

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 482,432	\$ 468,324	\$ 502,144	\$ 532,054
Total	\$ 482,432	\$ 468,324	\$ 502,144	\$ 532,054

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Police Chief	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Executive Assistant	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

Notes

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:

Utilities	\$ 2,300
Cleaning	400
Physicals & Psychological	10,500
Crime Stoppers Annual Contract	4,000
Applicant Testing	3,500
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	33,557
Training	3,300
Dues and Subscriptions	1,600
Machinery Maintenance & Repair	6,000
Miscellaneous	3,425
Police Pension (Investment, Admin & Actuary)	117,750
	\$ 186,332

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Staff Services

The staff services division is responsible for the "911" emergency communication system and other calls for service within Prairie Village and Mission Hills. Additional responsibilities include the collection, dissemination, and the security of all police records, as well as monitoring building and court areas where security cameras are available.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 733,098	\$ 768,315	\$ 831,546	\$ 949,107
Contract Services	108,871	109,062	118,240	120,634
Commodities	13,987	11,030	15,500	17,500
Capital Outlay	1,961	488	2,000	0
Total	\$ 857,917	\$ 888,895	\$ 967,286	\$ 1,087,241

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 857,917	\$ 888,895	\$ 967,286	\$ 1,087,241
Total	\$ 857,917	\$ 888,895	\$ 967,286	\$ 1,087,241

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Police Captain	-	-	-	1.00
Communications Supervisor	1.00	1.00	1.00	-
Dispatcher	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
Records Clerk	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Property Room Clerk	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00

Notes

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:

Communications	\$ 4,000
Regional Justice Information System (REJIS)	17,000
Licenses	10,900
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	16,824
Printing (contract, toner, etc.)	4,150
Cleaning	600
Microfilming	3,000
Software Maintenance	44,025
Dues & Subscriptions	960
Postage Meter	425
Machinery Maintenance & Repair	1,500
Miscellaneous	550
Contracts	16,700
	\$ 120,634

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Community Services

Community Services is responsible for the enforcement of the City's Animal Control Ordinances. Community Service Officers (CSOs) investigate animal complaints to include leash laws and neglect or animal abuse cases.

Community Services also supplements the Patrol Division by directing traffic at accident scenes, and providing extra personnel when needed for special events, vehicle maintenance, and other related duties.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 125,050	\$ 104,853	\$ 121,798	\$ 129,056
Contract Services	72,675	60,314	75,596	75,879
Commodities	3,886	3,598	4,825	4,225
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	27,364
Total	\$ 201,611	\$ 168,765	\$ 202,219	\$ 236,524

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 201,611	\$ 168,765	\$ 202,219	\$ 236,524
Total	\$ 201,611	\$ 168,765	\$ 202,219	\$ 236,524

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Community Service Officer	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Total	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

Notes

2021 Contract Services Budget Includes the Following:

Johnson County Co-Responder	\$ 6,000
Crossing Guards & Animal Services	64,000
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	4,279
Vehicle Maintenance & Repair	1,500
Memberships	100
Total	\$ 75,879

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Crime Prevention

Crime Prevention is responsible for speaking to various groups regarding crime prevention methods, distributing literature, alerting victims on how best to avoid future victimization, maintaining the Department's Face book account, and summarizes crime analysis patterns for the Patrol division to identify future enforcement priorities.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 9,445	\$ 56,329	\$ 80,905	\$ 82,145
Contract Services	3,134	4,297	8,198	4,530
Commodities	577	1,866	2,750	3,150
Capital Outlay	0	0	37,300	0
Total	\$ 13,156	\$ 62,492	\$ 129,153	\$ 89,825
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 13,156	\$ 62,492	\$ 129,153	\$ 89,825
Total	\$ 13,156	\$ 62,492	\$ 129,153	\$ 89,825
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Police Officer	-	1.00	1.00	1.00
Sergeant	1.00	-	-	-
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Patrol

The Patrol Division is responsible for initial response to calls for service and provide services through the district patrol concept. The basic emphasis of officers assigned to this Division is the protection of life and property, the detection and arrest of criminal violators of the law, recovery of stolen property and maintenance of a "police presence" throughout the cities of Prairie Village and Mission Hills.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 2,930,937	\$ 3,041,331	\$ 3,079,760	\$ 3,117,933
Contract Services	158,824	153,762	162,676	168,367
Commodities	115,182	86,018	114,600	117,300
Capital Outlay	106,975	96,887	130,500	209,500
Total	\$ 3,311,918	\$ 3,377,998	\$ 3,487,536	\$ 3,613,100

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 3,311,918	\$ 3,377,998	\$ 3,487,536	\$ 3,613,100
Total	\$ 3,311,918	\$ 3,377,998	\$ 3,487,536	\$ 3,613,100

	30.00	30.00	29.00	29.00
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
Police Captain	1.00	1.00	1.00	-
Police Major	-	-	-	1.00
Police Sergeant	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Police Corporal	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00
Police Officer	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00
Total	30.00	30.00	29.00	29.00

Notes

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:

APS maintenance contract	\$ 8,000
Cleaning	10,500
Tow expenses	600
Dues & subscriptions	500
Patrol reference manuals	900
Machinery maintenance & repair	61,000
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	68,367
Graphics & application	5,000
In car video repairs	3,000
Mobile computer repair	3,500
School crossing beacon repairs	2,000
Department Cell Phones	5,000
	\$ 168,367

2021 Capital Outlay Budget Includes the Following:

Miscellaneous field equipment	\$ 92,500
Police Vehicles (3)	115,000
Office and field equipment	2,000
Total	\$ 209,500

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Investigations

Investigators conduct criminal investigations into all Part I (felony) and Part II (misdemeanor) crimes within the community. Personnel in this program also conduct juvenile investigations through School Resources Officers (SROs) at Shawnee Mission East High School and Indian Hills Middle School.

	2018 Actual		2019 Actual		2020 Budget		2021 Budget
Program Expenditures							
Personnel Services	\$ 544,523	\$	585,392	\$	693,555	\$	668,892
Contract Services	32,186		38,715		44,648		48,491
Commodities	15,707		15,787		15,825		16,825
Capital Outlay	5,028		26,954		1,000		1,000
Total	\$ 597,444	\$	666,848	\$	755,028	\$	735,208

Expenditures by Fund

General Fund	\$ 597,444	\$	666,848	\$	755,028	\$	735,208
Total	\$ 597,444	\$	666,848	\$	755,028	\$	735,208

Full-time Equivalent Positions

	6.00		6.00		6.00		6.00
Police Captain	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00
Police Sergeant	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00
Police Corporal	-		-		-		1.00
Police Officer	4.00		4.00		4.00		3.00
Total	6.00		6.00		6.00		6.00

Notes

2021 Capital Outlay Budget Includes the Following:

Office Equipment \$1,000

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Special Investigations Unit

The Special Investigations Unit (SIU) conducts investigations of individuals suspected of selling, distributing or possessing controlled substances. SIU not only focuses on drugs, but also other crimes such as prostitution, theft, liquor sales, and any other suspicious activity that may require undercover and/or surveillance work.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 37,610	\$ 149,664	\$ 227,023	\$ 240,112
Contract Services	7,395	7,464	7,828	8,618
Commodities	0	2,065	4,350	4,300
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	35,400
Total	\$ 45,005	\$ 159,193	\$ 239,201	\$ 288,430
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 45,005	\$ 159,193	\$ 239,201	\$ 288,430
Total	\$ 45,005	\$ 159,193	\$ 239,201	\$ 288,430
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Police Corporal	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Police Officer	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: D.A.R.E.

The D.A.R.E. officer's primary responsibility is teaching the D.A.R.E. curriculum in our City's elementary schools. The D.A.R.E. officer is also the liaison between the Department and elementary school administration, participates in community events and and works with staff on school safety.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 96,029	\$ 90,675	\$ 96,721	\$ 96,478
Contract Services	11,390	8,760	9,748	10,372
Commodities	13,258	12,278	16,555	16,555
Capital Outlay	39,614	0	0	0
Total	\$ 160,291	\$ 111,713	\$ 123,024	\$ 123,405

Expenditures by Fund

General Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Special Alcohol Fund	160,291	111,713	123,024	123,405
Total	\$ 160,291	\$ 111,713	\$ 123,024	\$ 123,405

Full-time Equivalent Positions

	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Police Officer	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Notes

- In 2018, Capital Outlay budget includes D.A.R.E. vehicle for \$39,614
- D.A.R.E. is funded from the Special Alcohol Fund

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Professional Standards

Professional Standards develops and implements training programs for all personnel and is responsible for hiring and recruitment. The training not only includes developing the existing staff, but also maintaining the Field Training Program for new employees.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 114,098	\$ 114,306	\$ 126,349	\$ 125,994
Contract Services	72,854	67,345	77,235	77,374
Commodities	446	854	700	700
Total	\$ 187,398	\$ 182,505	\$ 204,284	\$ 204,068
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 187,398	\$ 182,505	\$ 204,284	\$ 204,068
Total	\$ 187,398	\$ 182,505	\$ 204,284	\$ 204,068
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Police Sergeant	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Off-Duty Contractual

City organizations and private individuals often desire a police presence at private events. The City Council has stated that an increased police presence within the community by off-duty officers may further reduce crime. This program provides for those off-duty officers at events under conditions administered and controlled by the Department. This program includes security at Council meetings and Court sessions for both Prairie Village and Mission Hills.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 38,385	\$ 33,235	\$ 37,787	\$ 37,787
Contract Services	960	896	676	0
Total	\$ 39,345	\$ 34,131	\$ 38,463	\$ 37,787
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 39,345	\$ 34,131	\$ 38,463	\$ 37,787
Total	\$ 39,345	\$ 34,131	\$ 38,463	\$ 37,787

Notes

Revenues offset the anticipated expenses for off-duty contractual work.

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Traffic Unit

The Traffic Unit is responsible for providing police services geared toward public safety on roadways, reduction in traffic accidents, and handling special projects. These responsibilities are accomplished through selective enforcement in high accident areas, citizen complaints, school zones, and areas where speeding vehicles are problematic. In addition, the Traffic Unit handles special projects such as parades, street races, DUI saturation patrol, "Click It or Ticket," educational efforts, and other prevention programs sponsored by the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT).

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 433,459	\$ 423,009	\$ 405,742	\$ 301,683
Contract Services	14,559	14,683	14,554	14,781
Commodities	9,124	8,217	12,250	11,350
Capital Outlay	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 457,142	\$ 445,909	\$ 432,546	\$ 327,814

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 457,142	\$ 445,909	\$ 432,546	\$ 327,814
Total	\$ 457,142	\$ 445,909	\$ 432,546	\$ 327,814

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Police Officer	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Police Sergeant	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00

2021 Budget

Department: Police Department
Program: Information Technology

Information Technology provides support for all users of the City's network information systems and administers the network hardware, software and communications for all applications.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 121,402	\$ 192,849	\$ 206,078	\$ 213,352
Contract Services	164,554	240,217	265,095	268,037
Commodities	167	5,503	200	1,700
Capital Outlay	36,696	37,207	39,250	37,700
Total	\$ 322,819	\$ 475,776	\$ 510,623	\$ 520,789

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 322,819	\$ 475,776	\$ 510,623	\$ 520,789
Total	\$ 322,819	\$ 475,776	\$ 510,623	\$ 520,789

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	-	-	2.00	2.00
IT Specialist	-	-	1.00	1.00
IT Manager	-	-	1.00	1.00
Total	-	-	2.00	2.00

Notes

2021 Contractual Services Budget Includes the Following:


Communications	\$ 52,000
Emergency contractor services, Wife	14,000
Consultant (JoCo IT)	56,650
Software maintenance	135,980
Dues & subscriptions	500
Training	5,000
Insurance (Property & Workers Comp)	3,907
	\$ 268,037

2021 Capital Outlay Budget Includes the Following:

Computer equipment (PC replacement)	37,700
-------------------------------------	--------



Expenditures – Municipal Justice



2021 Budget

Department: Municipal Justice


	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Expenditures by Program				
Court Services	80,205	79,381	92,391	93,896
Court Clerk	389,146	419,827	461,369	484,930
Total	\$ 469,351	\$ 499,208	\$ 553,760	\$ 578,826

Expenditures by Character				
Personnel Services	\$ 321,109	\$ 343,912	\$ 371,665	\$ 404,568
Contract Services	144,344	145,938	175,895	168,258
Commodities	3,377	2,256	4,200	4,000
Capital Outlay	521	7,102	2,000	2,000
Total	\$ 469,351	\$ 499,208	\$ 553,760	\$ 578,826

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 469,351	\$ 499,208	\$ 553,760	\$ 578,826
Total	\$ 469,351	\$ 499,208	\$ 553,760	\$ 578,826

Full-time Equivalent Positions	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25
---------------------------------------	------	------	------	------

Appointed/Contracted Officials	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
---------------------------------------	------	------	------	------



2021 Budget

Department: Municipal Justice
Program: Court Services

The Prosecutor is responsible for representing law enforcement and code enforcement interests during trials and in processing the City's Diversion Program for DUI's and other misdemeanor Criminal Offenses.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 2,570	\$ 2,761	\$ 4,665	\$ 4,665
Contract Services	77,635	76,620	87,726	89,231
Total	\$ 80,205	\$ 79,381	\$ 92,391	\$ 93,896
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 80,205	\$ 79,381	\$ 92,391	\$ 93,896
Total	\$ 80,205	\$ 79,381	\$ 92,391	\$ 93,896
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Court Baliff	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Total	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Appointed/Contracted Officials				
	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
City Prosecutor	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Municipal Judge	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Public Defender	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25

2021 Budget

Department: Municipal Justice
Program: Court Clerk

The City of Prairie Village provides Municipal Court services for the City of Prairie Village and the City of Mission Hills. The Court Clerk office prepares and maintains records, collects fines, schedules Court dockets, and prepares required reports of Court activities.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 318,539	\$ 341,151	\$ 367,000	\$ 399,903
Contract Services	66,709	69,318	88,169	79,027
Commodities	3,377	2,256	4,200	4,000
Capital Outlay	521	7,102	2,000	2,000
Total	\$ 389,146	\$ 419,827	\$ 461,369	\$ 484,930
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 389,146	\$ 419,827	\$ 461,369	\$ 484,930
Total	\$ 389,146	\$ 419,827	\$ 461,369	\$ 484,930
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Court Administrator	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Court Clerk	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Total	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00



Expenditures – Community Development



2021 Budget

Department: Community Development


	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Expenditures by Program				
Codes Administration	570,706	634,378	737,830	748,273
Solid Waste Management	1,713,616	1,958,342	2,064,355	2,172,208
Total	\$2,284,322	\$ 2,592,720	\$ 2,802,185	\$ 2,920,481

Expenditures by Character				
Personnel Services	\$ 560,704	\$ 596,802	\$ 708,837	\$ 726,919
Contract Services	1,711,588	1,982,873	1,844,468	1,905,416
Commodities	10,176	11,819	16,500	16,400
Capital Outlay	1,854	1,226	2,600	2,600
Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Contingency	-	-	229,780	269,146
Total	\$2,284,322	\$ 2,592,720	\$ 2,802,185	\$ 2,920,481

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	570,706	634,378	737,830	748,273
Solid Waste Management Fund	1,713,616	1,958,342	2,064,355	2,172,208
Total	\$2,284,322	\$ 2,592,720	\$ 2,802,185	\$ 2,920,481

Full-time Equivalent Positions	5.20	6.20	8.32	7.82
---------------------------------------	------	------	------	------

- In 2019, personnel services reflects budget for a second Codes Support Specialist.



2021 Budget

Department: Community Development
Program: Codes Administration

Codes Administration Program is charges with enforcing building codes, zoning codes, rental licensing and property maintenance codes to ensure the health, safety and welfare of the community. The Codes Administration Program is also responsible for administering the Exterior Grant Program.

	2018 Actual		2019 Actual		2020 Budget		2021 Budget
Program Expenditures							
Personnel Services	\$ 527,887	\$	562,902	\$	674,016	\$	690,023
Contract Services	30,789		58,431		45,714		40,250
Commodities	10,176		11,819		15,500		15,400
Capital Outlay	1,854		1,226		2,600		2,600
Total	\$ 570,706	\$	634,378	\$	737,830	\$	748,273

Expenditures by Fund

General Fund	\$ 570,706	\$	634,378	\$	737,830	\$	748,273
Total	\$ 570,706	\$	634,378	\$	737,830	\$	748,273

Full-time Equivalent Positions

	4.90	5.90	7.87	7.37
Deputy City Administrator	0.40	0.40	0.37	0.37
Building Official	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Code Enforcement Officer	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Building Inspector	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Codes Support Specialist	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Management Intern	0.50	0.50	0.50	-
Total	4.90	5.90	7.87	7.37

Notes

- In 2019, personnel services reflects budget for a second Codes Support Specialist.

2021 Contract Services Budget Includes the Following:

Insurance (P&C and WC)	\$ 10,670
Training	12,000
Vehicle gas and maintenance	2,400
Dues for professional organizations	5,480
Contract for mowing	3,500
Contract for scanning	3,200
Copier	3,000
	\$ 40,250

2021 Capital Outlay Budget Includes the Following:

Office equipment & furniture	\$ 2,000
Field equipment	600
	\$ 2,600

2021 Budget

Department: Community Development
Program: Solid Waste Management

Solid waste, composting and recyclables collection services are provided weekly for residents. These services are financed by special assessments to residents who subscribe to the service. Ninety-five percent of the single-family homes in the city use the service. Other are provided service through their homes association.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 32,817	\$ 33,900	\$ 34,821	\$ 36,896
Contract Services	1,680,799	1,924,442	1,798,754	1,865,166
Commodities	0	0	1,000	1,000
Contingency	0	0	229,780	269,146
Total	\$ 1,713,616	\$ 1,958,342	\$ 2,064,355	\$ 2,172,208

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Expenditures by Fund				
Solid Waste Management Fund	1,713,616	1,958,342	2,064,355	2,172,208
Total	\$ 1,713,616	\$ 1,958,342	\$ 2,064,355	\$ 2,172,208

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
Deputy City Administrator	0.30	0.30	0.15	0.15
Receptionist	-	-	0.30	0.30
Total	0.30	0.30	0.45	0.45

Notes

- Contract services budget includes the cost for the annual large item pickup. The cost is \$39,655.

Funding Sources: Special assessments on property tax bills.

Expenditures: In 2017 the City contracted with Republic Trash Services for solid waste collection, recycling, composting services and large item pick up. The fee also includes a portion of the City's administrative costs including personal services and supplies.

2018 Assessment: \$192.00

2019 Assessment: \$207.00

2020 Assessment: \$228.00

2021 Assessment: \$218.00 (base rate before decision packages)



Expenditures – Community Programs



2021 Budget

Department: Community Programs


	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Expenditures by Program				
Community Programs	\$ 159,822	\$ 176,118	\$ 224,099	\$ 239,958
Swimming Pool	323,084	382,283	381,353	389,788
Concession Stand	70,257	23,921	36,412	33,720
Tennis	8,641	9,143	7,661	4,533
Total	\$ 561,804	\$ 591,465	\$ 649,525	\$ 667,999

Expenditures by Character				
Personnel Services	\$ 418,651	\$ 453,034	\$ 475,167	\$ 495,485
Contract Services	105,604	106,452	110,108	111,964
Commodities	33,002	31,979	54,250	50,550
Capital Outlay	4,547	-	10,000	10,000
Total	\$ 561,804	\$ 591,465	\$ 649,525	\$ 667,999

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 561,804	\$ 591,465	\$ 649,525	\$ 667,999
Special Alcohol Fund	54,102	46,351	65,000	65,000
Debt Service Fund	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 615,906	\$ 637,816	\$ 714,525	\$ 732,999

Full-time Equivalent Positions	20.80	20.80	20.80	21.30
---------------------------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

- In 2020, personnel services reflects budget for a Special Events Coordinator - this position has not been filled



2021 Budget

Department: Community Programs
Program: Community Programs

This program provides funding for special city events and activities such as the annual 4th of July Celebration (Village Fest). It provides cultural programming sponsored by the Prairie Village Arts Council, JazzFest and Environmental Committee initiatives.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 87,772	\$ 103,447	\$ 153,929	\$ 174,449
Contract Services	71,808	72,587	69,420	64,709
Commodities	242	84	750	800
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 159,822	\$ 176,118	\$ 224,099	\$ 239,958

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 159,822	\$ 176,118	\$ 224,099	\$ 239,958
Special Alcohol Fund	54,102	46,351	65,000	65,000
Total	\$ 213,924	\$ 222,469	\$ 289,099	\$ 304,958

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	0.78	0.78	1.00	1.50
Assistant City Administrator	0.78	0.78	1.00	1.00
Special Events Coordinator	-	-	-	0.50
Total	0.78	0.78	1.00	1.50

Notes

- Programs include Arts Council, Environmental Committee, Jazz Fest and Village Fest.
- In 2020, personnel services reflects budget for a Special Events Coordinator.

2021 Contract Services Includes the Following:

Insurance (P&C and WC)	\$ 3,909
VillageFest	20,000
Arts Council	14,500
Environmental Committee	8,000
UCS	8,300
JazzFest	10,000
	\$ 64,709

2021 Budget

Department: Community Programs
Program: Swimming Pool

The City provides a swimming pool complex for use during the summer months. The City also sponsors swim and dive teams for youth.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 280,481	\$ 341,445	\$ 317,729	\$ 321,036
Contract Services	29,626	28,280	34,624	40,752
Commodities	8,430	12,558	22,000	21,000
Capital Outlay	4,547	0	7,000	7,000
Total	\$ 323,084	\$ 382,283	\$ 381,353	\$ 389,788

Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 323,084	\$ 382,283	\$ 381,353	\$ 389,788
Total	\$ 323,084	\$ 382,283	\$ 381,353	\$ 389,788

Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	16.82	16.82	16.60	16.60
Assistant City Administrator	0.22	0.22	-	-
Pool Manager	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
Assistant Pool Manager	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Guards	14.75	14.75	14.75	14.75
Coaches	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	16.82	16.82	16.60	16.60

Notes

- in 2020, Concession seasonal salaries were moved to Swimming Pools.

2021 Capital Outlay Budget Includes the Following:

Miscellaneous Pool Equipment	\$ 7,000
------------------------------	----------

2021 Budget

Department: Community Programs
Program: Concession Stand

The concession stand serves the patrons of both the swimming pool complex and Harmon Park.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 44,130	\$ 2,942	\$ -	\$ -
Contract Services	2,424	2,133	3,412	3,220
Commodities	23,703	18,846	30,000	27,500
Capital Outlay	0	0	3,000	3,000
Total	\$ 70,257	\$ 23,921	\$ 36,412	\$ 33,720
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 70,257	\$ 23,921	\$ 36,412	\$ 33,720
Total	\$ 70,257	\$ 23,921	\$ 36,412	\$ 33,720
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Concession Worker	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Total	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00

Notes

- in 2020, Concession seasonal salaries were moved to Swimming Pools.

2021 Budget

Department: Community Programs
Program: Tennis


The City provides tennis courts in several City parks. The City also sponsors tennis lessons and a Kansas City Junior Tennis League (JTL) team.

	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Program Expenditures				
Personnel Services	\$ 6,268	\$ 5,200	\$ 3,509	\$ -
Contract Services	1,746	3,452	2,652	3,283
Commodities	627	491	1,500	1,250
Total	\$ 8,641	\$ 9,143	\$ 7,661	\$ 4,533
Expenditures by Fund				
General Fund	\$ 8,641	\$ 9,143	\$ 7,661	\$ 4,533
Total	\$ 8,641	\$ 9,143	\$ 7,661	\$ 4,533
Full-time Equivalent Positions				
	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Tennis Instructor	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Total	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20





Appendix



2021 Budget

FTE Summary by Department

Department	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Administration	9.30	9.30	9.18	9.18
Public Works	29.00	29.00	30.00	30.00
Police Department	60.00	60.00	61.00	61.00
Municipal Court	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25
Community Development	5.20	6.20	8.32	7.82
Community Programs	20.80	20.80	20.80	21.30
Total FTE	129.55	130.55	134.55	134.55
City Governance <i>(unpaid positions)</i>	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00

FTE Summary by Program

Program	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Management & Planning	2.30	2.30	2.48	2.48
Public Works Mgmt., Engineering & Admin	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Drainage Operation & Maintenance	5.00	5.00	6.00	6.00
Vehicle Maintenance	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Street Operation & Maintenance	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Parks and Grounds Maintenance	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Swimming Pool Operation & Maintenance	-	-	-	-
Tennis Operation & Maintenance	-	-	-	-
Building Operation & Maintenance	-	-	-	-
Police Department Operation & Maint.	-	-	-	-
Police Department Administration	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Staff Services	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Community Services	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Crime Prevention	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Patrol	30.00	30.00	29.00	29.00
Investigations	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
Special Investigations	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
D.A.R.E.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Professional Standards	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Off-Duty Contractual	-	-	-	-
Traffic	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Information Technology	-	-	2.00	2.00
Judges	-	-	-	-
Bailiff	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Court Clerk	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Legal Services	-	-	-	-
Human Resources	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Finance	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Codes Administration	4.90	5.90	7.87	7.37
Solid Waste Management	0.30	0.30	0.45	0.45
City Clerk	4.00	4.00	3.70	3.70
Community Programs	0.78	0.78	1.00	1.50
Swimming Pool	16.82	16.82	16.60	16.60
Concession Stand	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Tennis	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Total FTE	129.55	130.55	134.55	134.55
Mayor & Council <i>(unpaid positions)</i>	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00

2021 Budget

FTE Summary by Department

Department	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Administration	9.30	9.30	9.18	9.18
Public Works	29.00	29.00	30.00	30.00
Police Department	60.00	60.00	61.00	61.00
Municipal Court	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25
Community Development	5.20	6.20	8.32	7.82
Community Programs	20.80	20.80	20.80	21.30
Total FTE	129.55	130.55	134.55	134.55
City Governance (<i>unpaid positions</i>)	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00

FTE Summary by Position

Department/Position	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Administration				
City Administrator	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Deputy City Administrator	0.30	0.30	0.48	0.48
Public Information Officer	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Human Resources Manager	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Finance Director	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Accounting Clerk	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Receptionist	1.00	1.00	0.70	0.70
Administrative Support Specialist	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
City Clerk	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	9.30	9.30	9.18	9.18
Public Works				
Public Works Director	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Senior Project Manager	-	-	1.00	1.00
Project Inspector	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Manager of Engineering Services	1.00	1.00	-	-
Office Manager	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Field Superintendent	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Construction Inspector	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Administrative Support Specialist	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Stormwater Engineer	-	-	1.00	1.00
Forestry Specialist	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Crew Leader	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00
Maintenance Workers	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00
Mechanic	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Seasonal Laborers	1.00	1.00	-	-
Total	29.00	29.00	30.00	30.00
Police Department				
Police Chief	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Police Major	-	-	-	1.00
Police Captain	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Police Sergeant	8.00	7.00	7.00	7.00
Police Corporal	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00
Police Officer	32.00	33.00	33.00	32.00
Executive Assistant	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Communications Supervisor	1.00	1.00	1.00	-
Dispatcher	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
Records Clerk	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Property Room Clerk	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Community Service Officer	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Information Technology	-	-	2.00	2.00
Total	60.00	60.00	61.00	61.00

2021 Budget

FTE Summary by Position

Department/Position	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Budget	2021 Budget
Municipal Justice				
Court Bailiff	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Court Administrator	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Court Clerk	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Total	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25
Community Development				
Deputy City Administrator	0.70	0.70	0.52	0.52
Receptionist	-	-	0.30	0.30
Codes Support Specialist	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Building Official	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Code Enforcement Officer	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Building Inspector	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Management Intern	0.50	0.50	0.50	-
Total	5.20	6.20	8.32	7.82
Community Programs				
Assistant City Administrator	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Special Events Coordinator	-	-	-	0.50
Pool Manager	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
Assistant Pool Manager	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Guards	14.75	14.75	14.75	14.75
Coaches	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Concession Worker	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Tennis Instructor	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Total	20.80	20.80	20.80	21.30
Grand Total	129.55	130.55	134.55	134.55
Unpaid Positions				
Mayor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Council Member	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
Total	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00
Appointed/Contracted Officials				
City Attorney/Assistant City Attorney	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
City Planner	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
City Treasurer	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
City Prosecutor	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Municipal Judge	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Public Defender	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Total	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40

Q1 What is your street address?

Answered: 55 Skipped: 0

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Pilot Program Survey

SurveyMonkey

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	7207 High Drive	6/1/2020 8:42 AM
2	8936 Cedar Lane	5/29/2020 4:27 PM
3	7216 High Dr	5/28/2020 1:51 PM
4	6932 Birch St	5/27/2020 4:28 PM
5	5306 West 70th Street	5/26/2020 4:59 PM
6	6109 w. 77th st.	5/26/2020 11:16 AM
7	8109 Briar St	5/26/2020 9:12 AM
8	8233 Linden Dr.	5/25/2020 8:20 PM
9	7139 High Drive	5/25/2020 4:54 PM
10	8209 Linden Drive	5/25/2020 3:01 PM
11	5113 West 70th Street	5/23/2020 9:46 PM
12	7540 Rainbow Dr.	5/23/2020 10:24 AM
13	5225 West 70th Street	5/22/2020 10:51 AM
14	7320 High Drive	5/22/2020 10:42 AM
15	6122 W. 76th Place, Prairie Village KS 66208	5/21/2020 9:00 PM
16	6010 W 76th Pl	5/21/2020 7:43 AM
17	8953 LINDEN LANE	5/21/2020 12:37 AM
18	5307 W. 70th St.	5/20/2020 2:59 PM
19	8935 LINDEN LN	5/20/2020 2:07 PM
20	5112 W. 70th st.	5/20/2020 1:44 PM
21	8903 Cedar Lane	5/20/2020 12:43 PM
22	7546 Rainbow Drive	5/20/2020 10:51 AM
23	7200 High Drive	5/20/2020 6:57 AM
24	7400 High dr	5/19/2020 9:54 PM
25	5106 W 70th Street, Prairie Village	5/19/2020 4:23 PM
26	8940 Linden Lane	5/19/2020 4:13 PM
27	6022 West 76th Place	5/19/2020 3:02 PM
28	6117 W 76th Place	5/19/2020 2:50 PM
29	6940 Birch St	5/19/2020 12:27 PM
30	6208 W 77 St	5/19/2020 11:37 AM
31	5118 West 70th Street	5/19/2020 11:28 AM
32	8925 Linden Lane	5/19/2020 11:07 AM
33	7516 high dr	5/19/2020 10:59 AM
34	6217 W 77th St	5/19/2020 10:11 AM
35	8229 Linden Drive	5/19/2020 10:01 AM
36	5320 W. 70th St	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
37	6105 W 76th Place	5/19/2020 8:59 AM


City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Pilot Program Survey

SurveyMonkey

38	7559 Rainbow Drive	5/19/2020 8:18 AM
39	7405 High Dr	5/19/2020 8:15 AM
40	7305 High Dr.	5/18/2020 10:25 PM
41	8948 Cedar Lane	5/18/2020 8:14 PM
42	5219 w 70th Street	5/18/2020 8:04 PM
43	7553 Rainbow Drive	5/18/2020 7:22 PM
44	5100 W 70th St	5/18/2020 7:05 PM
45	8922 Linden Ln.	5/18/2020 6:36 PM
46	5313 West 70th Street	5/18/2020 5:59 PM
47	7208 High Drive	5/18/2020 5:39 PM
48	7724 High Drive	5/18/2020 5:19 PM
49	8217 linden drive	5/18/2020 5:16 PM
50	5200 W 70 Street	5/18/2020 5:03 PM
51	7212 High Dr	5/18/2020 4:16 PM
52	8205 Linden Drive	5/18/2020 4:15 PM
53	6204 W 77th St	5/18/2020 3:35 PM
54	6116 W 76 Pl	5/18/2020 2:39 PM
55	7321 high drive	5/18/2020 12:31 PM

Q2 Did you participate in the food compost program?

Answered: 54 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	64.81%	35
No	35.19%	19
TOTAL		54

#	IF YOU SELECTED NO, WHY DID YOU CHOOSE NOT TO PARTICIPATE?	DATE
1	We have completed the general survey and provided our reasons in that survey. Dont want to write it all out again. We are happy to discuss with our council reps or city staff. You can email us at kathirobards@gmail.com or call at 913-236-5626	5/28/2020 1:51 PM
2	no interest	5/26/2020 11:16 AM
3	Not interested	5/26/2020 9:12 AM
4	We have our own compost bin.	5/25/2020 8:20 PM
5	I wasn't aware of it. We compost already so we wouldn't have done it anyway.	5/25/2020 3:01 PM
6	I was so happy to have this program provided to me! It's a great program!	5/22/2020 10:42 AM
7	I just didn't have room for the compost bucket under my sink!	5/21/2020 9:00 PM
8	very little food compost for just 2 people	5/21/2020 12:37 AM
9	We compost compostable food already. (No meat, etc. however.)	5/20/2020 2:07 PM
10	Was not aware of the program and not sure I was included in the participation test.	5/20/2020 12:43 PM
11	Was not selected.	5/20/2020 10:51 AM
12	Not interested in the food compost	5/19/2020 4:13 PM
13	Laziness - never took time to do it. Hard to break lifetime habits. Do not have education/knowledge on process.	5/19/2020 2:50 PM
14	I did not have a container for it .	5/19/2020 10:59 AM
15	I make my own compost. Only excess yard waste goes to the curb.	5/19/2020 8:18 AM
16	Not sure	5/18/2020 8:14 PM
17	Don't want food waste stinking up my garage. Too expensive already in PV. Property taxes are through the roof because of all the monstrosities being built. Now you want to force us to wear face masks. Won't spend another dime in Prairie Village.	5/18/2020 5:59 PM
18	I do not have enough food to mess with	5/18/2020 2:39 PM

Q3 How frequently are you using the curbside pickup program for food compost?


Answered: 55 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Weekly	7.27%	4
Bi-weekly	54.55%	30
Once a month	0.00%	0
Every other month	0.00%	0
Rarely or never	38.18%	21
TOTAL		55

Q4 How would you rate your satisfaction with the service provided through the curbside food compost program?

Answered: 54 Skipped: 1




■ Extremely Dissatisfied
 ■ Dissatisfied
 ■ Neither satisfied or dissatisfied
■ Satisfied
 ■ Extremely satisfied
 ■ N/A

	EXTREMELY DISSATISFIED	DISSATISFIED	NEITHER SATISFIED OR DISSATISFIED	SATISFIED	EXTREMELY SATISFIED	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
☆	3.70%	0.00%	3.70%	7.41%	51.85%	33.33%	54	4.56
	2	0	2	4	28	18		

#	IF YOU EXPRESSED DISSATISFACTION WITH THE SERVICE PROVIDED, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY.	DATE
1	I want to select extremely satisfied but it won't let me select it.	5/20/2020 1:44 PM
2	I would prefer a weekly service - we usually have too much compost for the every-other-week schedule. (family of 4 people, 2 small kids)	5/19/2020 10:11 AM
3	Would be better if the company picked it up once a week. since mold builds up and starts to smell.	5/18/2020 3:35 PM

Q5 Do you think the City should offer a curbside food compost program as a permanent service to residents citywide?


Answered: 54 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		62.96%	34
No		11.11%	6
Neutral		25.93%	14
TOTAL			54

Q6 If the City were to offer a curbside food compost program citywide, an increase to your annual solid waste fee may be needed. Currently, residents pay \$228 per year for trash, recycling, and yard waste collection. This equates to \$19 per month. How much are you willing to pay for a citywide food compost program in addition to your current solid waste bill?


Answered: 55 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Between \$10 to \$15 per month	9.09%	5
Between \$7 to \$9.99 per month	12.73%	7
Between \$4 to \$6.99 per month	21.82%	12
Between \$1 to \$3.99 per month	21.82%	12
I am not willing to pay any additional for a curbside food compost program.	34.55%	19
TOTAL		55

Q7 If the City were to offer a curbside food compost program citywide, how would you prefer for the program to be structured?


Answered: 55 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
By letting residents opt-in to the program and only charging those who participate through an assessment on the property tax bill.	27.27% 15
By letting residents opt-in to the program and pay for it directly through the vendor.	34.55% 19
By including all households in the program and distributing the fee evenly among everyone (similar to the current structure for trash, recycling, and yard waste).	38.18% 21
TOTAL	55

Q8 A curbside food compost program could be collected in several different ways. How do you prefer for food compost to be collected?


Answered: 50 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
By being provided a container specifically for food compost only	48.00% 24
By integrating the food compost in with the weekly yard waste pickup.	8.00% 4
Having the option to either integrate the food compost in with yard waste or put out a separate container to be emptied.	44.00% 22
TOTAL	50

Q9 If the City offered a citywide food compost program, how frequently would you prefer for this service to be provided?

Answered: 49 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Weekly	44.90% 22
Bi-Weekly	44.90% 22
Monthly	10.20% 5
TOTAL	49

Q10 Please share any suggestions you have to improve the curbside food compost program if it were to be offered citywide.

Answered: 35 Skipped: 20

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Pilot Program Survey


SurveyMonkey

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	It was super simple and easy to participate. With the bi-weekly pickup, we always ran into more waste than could fit in the bin. Additional biodegradable bags would have been appreciated to set next to the container. Or the option to purchase our own? I ended up shoving stuff into pizza boxes a few times. Not sure if that was allowed or not. :)	6/1/2020 8:42 AM
2	The frequency would depend on how it's picked up. I think if it goes into the yard waste, it should be weekly. If separate, it could be less often.	5/29/2020 4:27 PM
3	Please see our comments in the other survey with our address. Sorry we filled out the wrong one and dont want to resubmit our comments here. Our contact info was provided in the first answer above.	5/28/2020 1:51 PM
4	na	5/26/2020 11:16 AM
5	I thought it was great. I hope it continues.	5/25/2020 4:54 PM
6	none at this time	5/23/2020 10:24 AM
7	None, it's great.	5/22/2020 10:51 AM
8	I would like to have the pickup be weekly rather than every other week. I felt privileged to be a part of this pilot program, and I used my bins regularly. My compost bin was always full, coffee grounds, banana peels, apple cores, orange rinds, and paper towels, egg cartons, etc. I did notice that I had a few neighbors who did not participate although they had the bins delivered, therefore I would suggest a rigorous campaign to teach people how to use the bins! Sometimes people need more than a letter to inform them of the necessity and benefits for both of these programs.	5/22/2020 10:42 AM
9	Perhaps offer smaller collection containers for those who request them	5/21/2020 9:00 PM
10	Less frequent is my only thought. It seems we are usually very far from having a full container	5/21/2020 7:43 AM
11	Provide a few extra bags periodically	5/20/2020 2:59 PM
12	NA	5/20/2020 2:07 PM
13	I love it! The only thing would be nice is to have an option to get composted soil for gardening in return.	5/20/2020 1:44 PM
14	N/a	5/20/2020 6:57 AM
15	No idea	5/19/2020 4:13 PM
16	I was not really into doing it. It is just something else to drag out to the street for pickup.	5/19/2020 3:02 PM
17	Public education classes on composting	5/19/2020 2:50 PM
18	With COVID stay at home in effect, my home is probably generating more compost than usual. Currently, we need weekly pickup, but we could probably go to biweekly once our schedule returns to normal. The five gallon pail does not work for pizza boxes. Any suggestions on how best to handle that would be appreciated. WE have been putting the bucket on top of the boxes at curbside, but we don't have a good way to store the boxes until pickup day	5/19/2020 12:27 PM
19	Pick up should be weekly. Bi-weekly is way too long. It starts to smell after a week.	5/19/2020 11:37 AM
20	Concerned about attracting wildlife and rats into neighborhoods, particularly when some may not be careful how they put the materials out for collection.	5/19/2020 11:07 AM
21	I would of but only had a glass container for glass only.	5/19/2020 10:59 AM
22	A large 5 gallon bucket and weekly pick up would be ideal! and maybe an initial incentive to get people to try it?	5/19/2020 10:11 AM
23	WE LOVE THE SERVICE. I'VE TALKED WITH THE VENDOR AND THEY EXPLAINED THE BENEFIT OF REDUCING WASTE TO OUR LANDFILL. I VOTE 100% YES!!!!	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
24	Last week was first week pickup was delayed or missed (items were collected Friday). Other than that, I have had no issues	5/19/2020 8:59 AM
25	A weekly pickup option is needed if the compost is limited to the current sized pail. We often	5/19/2020 8:15 AM

	filled it before the end of the second week.	
26	I would offer suggestions for how to manage it inside the house. For example, we keep the bucketloads tin the garage and a bowl for food water in the kitchen. The bowl is typically emptied into the food waste bucket twice a day.	5/18/2020 10:25 PM
27	Don't waste your time.	5/18/2020 8:14 PM
28	Q	5/18/2020 8:04 PM
29	Waste of time and resources. Encourage citizens to compost at home instead.	5/18/2020 5:59 PM
30	Bigger container. I always ran out of room. Also the plastic liner was always falling down. maybe there's a better way.	5/18/2020 5:19 PM
31	Great service. If added to yard waste would there be extra fee? Seems like the right thing to do. Would be great if compost could be available for pick up to use at homes or PV garden.	5/18/2020 5:16 PM
32	No. But I love this program.	5/18/2020 5:03 PM
33	I am worried about the scalability. Can the company handle the pick up city wide. They look very manual	5/18/2020 4:16 PM
34	Make it clear and easy to participate - maybe an optional container purchase and/or guidelines on how best to do this	5/18/2020 4:15 PM
35	Waste of time and money	5/18/2020 2:39 PM

Q11 Did you participate in the curbside glass recycling program?

Answered: 54 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	85.19% 46
No	14.81% 8
TOTAL	54

#	IF YOU SELECTED NO, WHY DID YOU CHOOSE NOT TO PARTICIPATE?	DATE
1	Please see our comments in the other survey with our address. Sorry we filled out the wrong one and dont want to resubmit our comments here. Our contact info was provided in the first answer above.	5/28/2020 1:51 PM
2	We don't produce that much glass. The majority of our glass is from Shatto (i think) Dairy, and there is a \$2 credit received at Hen House for each bottle returned. Balance of our glass is easily taken to Corinth Square recycling once every other month.	5/20/2020 2:07 PM
3	Recycle myself at collection point in Corinth	5/20/2020 6:57 AM
4	I only rarely purchase items in glass container.	5/19/2020 3:02 PM
5	I chose to participate to help the data collection of the pilot program. But I do not see the need for it (see comment below)	5/19/2020 12:27 PM
6	Would have participated if I generated Glass waste. My glass waste is minimal and when it 'stacks up' I just take to Price Chopper Ripple Glass Bin.	5/19/2020 8:59 AM
7	Don't have much glass and concerned about broken glass	5/18/2020 8:04 PM
8	Ripple glass	5/18/2020 5:59 PM
9	I have very little glass	5/18/2020 2:39 PM

Q12 How frequently are you using the curbside pickup program for glass recycling?


Answered: 55 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bi-weekly	65.45%	36
Once a month	12.73%	7
Every other month	7.27%	4
Rarely or never	14.55%	8
TOTAL		55

Q13 How would you rate your satisfaction with the service provided through the curbside glass pickup program?

Answered: 53 Skipped: 2




■ Extremely dissatisfied
 ■ Dissatisfied
 ■ Neither satisfied or dissatisfied
■ Satisfied
 ■ Extremely satisfied

	EXTREMELY DISSATISFIED	DISSATISFIED	NEITHER SATISFIED OR DISSATISFIED	SATISFIED	EXTREMELY SATISFIED	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
☆	5.66% 3	0.00% 0	13.21% 7	11.32% 6	69.81% 37	53	4.40

Q14 Do you think the curbside glass recycling program should be offered as a permanent service citywide?


Answered: 55 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		63.64%	35
No		9.09%	5
Neutral		27.27%	15
TOTAL			55

Q15 If the City were to offer a curbside glass recycling program citywide, an increase to your annual solid waste fee may be needed. Currently, residents pay \$228 per year for trash, recycling, and yard waste collection. This equates to \$19 per month. How much are you willing to pay for a citywide glass recycling program in addition to your current solid waste bill?


Answered: 55 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Between \$10 - \$15 per month	3.64%	2
Between \$7 - \$9.99 per month	7.27%	4
Between \$4 to \$6.99 per month	18.18%	10
Between \$1 to \$3.99 per month	41.82%	23
I am not willing to pay any additional for curbside glass recycling	29.09%	16
TOTAL		55

Q16 If the City were to offer a curbside glass recycling program citywide, how would you prefer for the program to be structured?


Answered: 55 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
By letting residents opt-in to the program and only charging those who participate through an assessment on the property tax bill.	27.27% 15
By letting residents opt-in to the program and pay for it directly through the vendor.	25.45% 14
By including all households in the program and distributing the fee evenly among everyone (similar to the current structure for trash, recycling, and yard waste).	47.27% 26
TOTAL	55

Q17 If the City offered a curbside glass recycling program citywide, how often would you prefer for this service to be offered?

Answered: 51 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Weekly	13.73% 7
Bi-Weekly	52.94% 27
Monthly	33.33% 17
TOTAL	51

Q18 Please share any suggestions you have to improve the curbside glass recycling program if it were to be offered citywide.

Answered: 22 Skipped: 33

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Pilot Program Survey

SurveyMonkey

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I don't support adding a curbside glass program because I believe the Ripple Bins provide a simple and free way to recycle glass easily in Prairie Village.	6/1/2020 8:42 AM
2	Please see our comments in the other survey with our address. Sorry we filled out the wrong one and dont want to resubmit our comments here. Our contact info was provided in the first answer above.	5/28/2020 1:51 PM
3	We have been recycling our glass for many years. It was great having this at curbside and I hope it continues.	5/25/2020 4:54 PM
4	none	5/23/2020 10:24 AM
5	None, it's great.	5/22/2020 10:51 AM
6	I think the program is great! While I don't have a full bin every other week, I am still glad to have somewhere besides the trash bin to put my glass. Besides it saves me having to take it to the ripple glass collection locations!	5/22/2020 10:42 AM
7	Only reason i suggested bi-weekly on glass recycling is so it is same as trash....so don't need to remember which week it is. We WOULD use the glass recycling if much smaller container (smaller footprint, that is. height doesn't matter. has to do with floor space in garage.)	5/20/2020 2:07 PM
8	Love the glass recycling program!!!	5/20/2020 1:44 PM
9	We enjoyed the glass recycling program. Previously had to haul to a center.	5/19/2020 4:13 PM
10	No changes necessary. If service was weekly, I would be willing to pay \$4-6.99.	5/19/2020 2:50 PM
11	Since there are so many convenient purple Ripple locations, this service is not necessary for me. I would expect most people who are willing to separate their glass for recycle are also willing to take it to Ripple as needed. That said, if the pilot program provides evidence that people who previously threw their glass in the trash are now recycling it, then I support it.	5/19/2020 12:27 PM
12	Previously, we've been able to take our glass to the purple ripple bins around the area for no fee. I don't mind paying a small fee for the convenience of pickup and transport cost.	5/19/2020 11:07 AM
13	none	5/19/2020 10:59 AM
14	WE LOVE THE GLASS PROJECT! LET'S KEEP IT UP AS WELL.	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
15	I would request it be opt-in for all residents because I am sure there are others like me that don't have much Glass waste and would prefer to just to utilize the free option at Price Chopper when necessary. I do ALWAYS use that option and never just throw in the regular trash. Thanks for letting me be part of the pilot - great idea! :)	5/19/2020 8:59 AM
16	Because my glass waste is minimal, I don't need curbside pickup.	5/19/2020 8:18 AM
17	Glass recycling is needed and shouldn't be an option. The bi-weekly schedule should be adequate for most everyone.	5/19/2020 8:15 AM
18	Nicer trucks. This might happen after a few months of this service.	5/18/2020 8:14 PM
19	presently working smoothly, Thanks	5/18/2020 6:36 PM
20	Waste of time and resources. Ripple Glass	5/18/2020 5:59 PM
21	We had service before city pilot program. It's free at Corinth so if adds a lot of cost we will not opt in. I think we paid \$6/month before.	5/18/2020 5:16 PM
22	For both of these offering weekly makes it easy on the consumer but not necessarily cost effective. Maybe first collection of each month	5/18/2020 4:15 PM

June 10, 2020

Dear Prairie Village Council Members,

I would like to sincerely thank each of you for the opportunity to allow GlassBandit to provide our curbside glass recycling pickup service to Prairie Village residents participating in the pilot program. For the last 6 years, GlassBandit has had the pleasure of serving your community with our standard curbside pickup program, and during the last 4 months serving this broad pilot population, I am thrilled to see the level of excitement and support around a city-wide implementation.

I have included in your meeting packet some information around our pilot results including participation rate, usage rate, and the estimated tonnage. We have analyzed these results and are pleased that they fall directly in line with other successful HOA and municipality contracts we have in place currently. We have also included information on residents that have used the service at least 5 times out of a possible 9 pickups. This number should help indicate how many residents would necessitate a biweekly (vs. monthly) pickup schedule.

I am looking forward to hopefully providing additional context to this group at a future meeting, and to have the opportunity to answer any questions or provide additional feedback at that point in time. Until then, if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out. I know some of you have recently joined the City Council, so I have not yet been able to introduce myself, and I very much look forward to doing so soon. Thank you again for your support, and for this opportunity.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jamie Arnold', written over a horizontal line.

Jamie Arnold

Owner
GlassBandit LLC
(913) 721-6970 (call/text)
info@glassbandit.com

Q1 What is your street address?

Answered: 973 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	5516 W 81 Terrace	5/31/2020 11:18 PM
2	45 Compton court	5/31/2020 10:20 PM
3	9104 Buena Vista St.	5/31/2020 10:01 PM
4	7740 Mohawk Dr.	5/31/2020 8:47 PM
5	4900 Somerset Dr	5/31/2020 8:38 PM
6	3321 W. 74th Street	5/31/2020 8:25 PM
7	6817 Granada Ln	5/31/2020 7:00 PM
8	7834 Windsor St.	5/31/2020 4:15 PM
9	7917 Beverly Dr	5/31/2020 1:52 PM
10	2213 w 79th st	5/31/2020 1:25 PM
11	5117 W 72nd Street	5/31/2020 1:13 PM
12	4616 west 70th terrace	5/31/2020 10:38 AM
13	7460 Cherokee Drive PV KS	5/31/2020 8:26 AM
14	5000 W 87th Street	5/31/2020 7:52 AM
15	6826 Granada In	5/30/2020 11:19 PM
16	7639 Ash Street	5/30/2020 7:55 PM
17	8308 Juniper Lane	5/30/2020 4:56 PM
18	2312 Somerset Dr	5/30/2020 2:02 PM
19	7056 Granada Road	5/30/2020 12:42 PM
20	4826 W. 77th Terrace	5/30/2020 11:29 AM
21	6516 Granada Drive	5/30/2020 9:48 AM
22	4936 Somerset Drive	5/30/2020 9:40 AM
23	7736 Canterbury Street	5/30/2020 9:09 AM
24	8208 Dearborn Dr	5/30/2020 12:56 AM
25	7764 Fontana	5/30/2020 12:56 AM
26	9309 Alhambra Street	5/29/2020 10:18 PM
27	7171 Cherokee Dr	5/29/2020 9:37 PM
28	4300 west 82nd street	5/29/2020 9:16 PM
29	7411 Delmar	5/29/2020 7:10 PM
30	7624 Lamar ave prairie village ks 66208	5/29/2020 6:38 PM
31	5019 W 65th Ter	5/29/2020 6:19 PM
32	5301 W 80th St	5/29/2020 5:42 PM
33	7136 Buena Vista St	5/29/2020 3:20 PM
34	7819 Aberdeen	5/29/2020 2:55 PM
35	4810 W 67th	5/29/2020 1:15 PM
36	4503 W. 66th Terr	5/29/2020 1:10 PM
37	6801 Granada Lane	5/29/2020 12:43 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

38	9300 Delmar	5/29/2020 12:23 PM
39	8217 Linden Dr	5/29/2020 11:59 AM
40	5401 west 81 street, 66208	5/29/2020 11:38 AM
41	4606 W 78th Ter	5/29/2020 11:26 AM
42	8317 Delmar Lane	5/29/2020 11:05 AM
43	5260 West 87th Street	5/29/2020 11:05 AM
44	Chadwick	5/29/2020 11:01 AM
45	5483 W 85th Terrace	5/29/2020 10:51 AM
46	4904 W 72nd St	5/29/2020 10:11 AM
47	7164 Cherokee Drive	5/29/2020 9:08 AM
48	7247 Belinder Ave	5/29/2020 8:52 AM
49	4207 W 68th Terr	5/29/2020 8:44 AM
50	9307 Buena Vista	5/29/2020 8:39 AM
51	9116 Catalina	5/29/2020 8:35 AM
52	8903 Rosewood Dr	5/29/2020 8:33 AM
53	8237 Reeds Lane, Prairie Village, KS 66208-4972	5/29/2020 7:55 AM
54	9120 Buena Vista St	5/29/2020 7:40 AM
55	4310 w 63rd st	5/29/2020 7:08 AM
56	5400 w. 69th st	5/29/2020 6:57 AM
57	9120 Buena Vista St	5/29/2020 6:21 AM
58	9116 Buena Vista	5/29/2020 6:19 AM
59	4107 w 92nd ter	5/29/2020 5:49 AM
60	7441 Fontana Street	5/29/2020 12:35 AM
61	4711 W. 80th St	5/28/2020 11:58 PM
62	6349 Roe	5/28/2020 11:52 PM
63	Not sharing	5/28/2020 11:47 PM
64	7809 Fontana St	5/28/2020 11:43 PM
65	2512 w 79th street	5/28/2020 11:21 PM
66	6341 Roe Ave	5/28/2020 11:06 PM
67	4210 W 68th St	5/28/2020 10:57 PM
68	7729 Windsor St	5/28/2020 10:56 PM
69	5300 W 70th Street	5/28/2020 10:43 PM
70	3108 W 73rd St	5/28/2020 10:40 PM
71	7301 Ash ST	5/28/2020 10:06 PM
72	5012 W 65th Terr	5/28/2020 9:52 PM
73	4401 W. 78 Street	5/28/2020 9:50 PM
74	6400 Granada Drive	5/28/2020 9:39 PM
75	5311 west 79th terrace	5/28/2020 9:38 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

76	3800 W 83rd Terr	5/28/2020 9:34 PM
77	7353 Canterbury St	5/28/2020 9:33 PM
78	7610 mohawk	5/28/2020 8:56 PM
79	6826 Fontana St.	5/28/2020 8:52 PM
80	4706 west 64 terrace	5/28/2020 8:52 PM
81	4717 W 64th terrace	5/28/2020 8:29 PM
82	7409 Fontana	5/28/2020 8:21 PM
83	9108 Buena Vista	5/28/2020 8:17 PM
84	7709 Maple	5/28/2020 8:11 PM
85	7300 canterbury	5/28/2020 7:50 PM
86	8115 Dearborn Drove	5/28/2020 7:34 PM
87	6002 w 75th ter	5/28/2020 7:18 PM
88	6600 W 66th street	5/28/2020 7:05 PM
89	4830 w 78	5/28/2020 6:56 PM
90	4718 W 65th Street	5/28/2020 6:47 PM
91	7800 Canterbury Street	5/28/2020 6:46 PM
92	76th street	5/28/2020 6:43 PM
93	5400 west 72nd street	5/28/2020 6:35 PM
94	6124 W 76th St	5/28/2020 6:25 PM
95	7901 Canterbury	5/28/2020 6:21 PM
96	4812 W 76th St	5/28/2020 6:16 PM
97	2518 W 79th Terrace	5/28/2020 6:12 PM
98	2206 W 74th Ter	5/28/2020 6:08 PM
99	7921 Canterbury	5/28/2020 6:02 PM
100	7324 High Drive	5/28/2020 6:00 PM
101	3601 W 84th street	5/28/2020 5:56 PM
102	9300 Delmar st	5/28/2020 5:50 PM
103	4400 W 69th Ter	5/28/2020 5:43 PM
104	2513 w 76th st	5/28/2020 5:43 PM
105	7300 Cherokee Drive	5/28/2020 5:36 PM
106	4002 W 74 Terr	5/28/2020 5:30 PM
107	7245 Belinder Ave	5/28/2020 5:30 PM
108	6816 Granada Ln	5/28/2020 5:28 PM
109	4122 W. 73rd Ter	5/28/2020 5:17 PM
110	4841 W. 90th Street	5/28/2020 5:15 PM
111	5214 W. 72nd Terrace, PV KS	5/28/2020 5:13 PM
112	7401 Canterbury	5/28/2020 5:08 PM
113	Buena Vista	5/28/2020 4:50 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

114	3904 W 93rd Street PV KS 66207	5/28/2020 4:43 PM
115	2418 West 77th Street Prairie Village	5/28/2020 4:43 PM
116	7912 Reinhardt Lane, Prairie Village, KS 66208	5/28/2020 4:38 PM
117	64 st	5/28/2020 4:36 PM
118	93rd Street	5/28/2020 4:35 PM
119	3902 West 89th Street	5/28/2020 4:31 PM
120	9304 Buena Vista St	5/28/2020 4:30 PM
121	4109 W. 66th Street, Prairie Village, Kansas	5/28/2020 4:29 PM
122	4211 W 69th St	5/28/2020 4:27 PM
123	4710 West 83rd Terrace	5/28/2020 4:22 PM
124	5111 W. 68th St.	5/28/2020 4:22 PM
125	4018 W 74 Terrace	5/28/2020 4:21 PM
126	2700 W 75 Pl	5/28/2020 4:19 PM
127	8627 Linden Drive	5/28/2020 4:07 PM
128	7345 Canterbury St	5/28/2020 4:05 PM
129	7609 mapl	5/28/2020 3:59 PM
130	7144 Cherokee Dr	5/28/2020 3:56 PM
131	7841 dearborn	5/28/2020 3:52 PM
132	4201 Delmar Drive	5/28/2020 3:52 PM
133	8886 Juniper	5/28/2020 3:47 PM
134	7955 Canterbury	5/28/2020 3:39 PM
135	7500 High Drive	5/28/2020 3:38 PM
136	84th st	5/28/2020 3:34 PM
137	3305 W 71st St Prairie Village KS	5/28/2020 3:34 PM
138	4801 Meadowbrook Parkway	5/28/2020 3:29 PM
139	3908 w. 93rd Street prairie village, ks	5/28/2020 3:28 PM
140	4737 W. 77th Street	5/28/2020 3:26 PM
141	8109 Juniper Drive	5/28/2020 3:24 PM
142	5117 w 76th terr	5/28/2020 3:19 PM
143	6624 El Monte Street	5/28/2020 3:18 PM
144	6732 El Monte	5/28/2020 3:18 PM
145	5411 W 77th St	5/28/2020 3:11 PM
146	4205 west 71 street	5/28/2020 3:11 PM
147	5409 W. 72nd at.	5/28/2020 3:11 PM
148	4004 West 91 Street	5/28/2020 3:08 PM
149	5117 Tomahawk	5/28/2020 3:08 PM
150	4200 W 67th Terr	5/28/2020 3:08 PM
151	4520 W 72nd St	5/28/2020 3:07 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

152	4204 W. 91st	5/28/2020 3:06 PM
153	8980 Rosewood dr	5/28/2020 3:03 PM
154	4902 West 69 Terrace	5/28/2020 3:02 PM
155	5312 W 67th St	5/28/2020 2:58 PM
156	4919 w 78 st pv	5/28/2020 2:56 PM
157	7800 rosewood	5/28/2020 2:54 PM
158	5207 W 69th Terrace	5/28/2020 2:52 PM
159	4903 W. 87th Street	5/28/2020 2:49 PM
160	8132 Rosewood Dr	5/28/2020 2:48 PM
161	6009 W 76th Ter	5/28/2020 2:47 PM
162	5109 W. 75th Street	5/28/2020 2:39 PM
163	8117 Lamar Ave.	5/28/2020 2:37 PM
164	8729 Rosewood	5/28/2020 2:37 PM
165	5014 west 69th Terrace	5/28/2020 2:37 PM
166	5202 W. 79th Terrace	5/28/2020 2:37 PM
167	6517 Granada Drive	5/28/2020 2:36 PM
168	W 87th street	5/28/2020 2:31 PM
169	3811 W. 74th Street	5/28/2020 2:30 PM
170	5113 W 69th Street	5/28/2020 2:30 PM
171	3112 W 71st	5/28/2020 2:25 PM
172	2600 W 68th St	5/28/2020 2:25 PM
173	4501 w 71	5/28/2020 2:23 PM
174	2017 W 72 st	5/28/2020 2:16 PM
175	5113 west 70th terrace	5/28/2020 2:14 PM
176	2231 W 79th terrace	5/28/2020 2:12 PM
177	4824 W. 76th St.	5/28/2020 2:12 PM
178	4706	5/28/2020 2:11 PM
179	8205 Tomahawk	5/28/2020 2:10 PM
180	8144 Rosewood Dr	5/28/2020 2:10 PM
181	3918 West 74th Terrace	5/28/2020 2:09 PM
182	4302 homestead dr	5/28/2020 2:06 PM
183	6631 Rainbow Ave. Mission Hills, KS	5/28/2020 2:06 PM
184	Hodges drive	5/28/2020 2:05 PM
185	8016 Juniper Dr. Prairie Village, KS, 66208	5/28/2020 2:02 PM
186	7733 Briar Dr.	5/28/2020 1:59 PM
187	5404 w. 80th st	5/28/2020 1:58 PM
188	6833 Nall	5/28/2020 1:54 PM
189	4407 w 69th terrace	5/28/2020 1:53 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

190	5310 W 68th St	5/28/2020 1:51 PM
191	8911 Catalina Dr	5/28/2020 1:50 PM
192	4807 w. 66th ter	5/28/2020 1:49 PM
193	7216 High Drive	5/28/2020 1:48 PM
194	7922 Reeds Road	5/28/2020 1:48 PM
195	3611 W 74th ST, Prairie Village, KS 66208	5/28/2020 1:42 PM
196	4407 w 93rd terr	5/28/2020 1:41 PM
197	7729 Rosewood Drive	5/28/2020 1:38 PM
198	7645 rainbow drive	5/28/2020 1:36 PM
199	3700 West 84 street	5/28/2020 1:36 PM
200	3621 W. 63rd Steet	5/28/2020 1:35 PM
201	8824 Rosewood Drive	5/28/2020 1:34 PM
202	4414 W. 63rd Terr	5/28/2020 1:26 PM
203	3501 w. 77th st.	5/28/2020 1:24 PM
204	8900 Linden Drive	5/28/2020 1:24 PM
205	8104 Granada	5/28/2020 1:23 PM
206	2324 Somerset Drive	5/28/2020 1:22 PM
207	4305 W. 82nd Street	5/28/2020 1:21 PM
208	5025 W. 72nd St.	5/28/2020 1:21 PM
209	7220 Canterbury St	5/28/2020 1:21 PM
210	7629 Canterbury st.	5/28/2020 1:21 PM
211	8821 Delmar St.	5/28/2020 1:20 PM
212	8121 Tomahawk	5/28/2020 1:19 PM
213	4511 W 71st Terrace	5/28/2020 1:18 PM
214	6130 Oak St.	5/28/2020 1:17 PM
215	7918 Reinhardt Lane	5/28/2020 1:16 PM
216	7401 High Drive	5/28/2020 1:16 PM
217	4320 W 71st Ter	5/28/2020 1:13 PM
218	7136 Cherokee Drive	5/28/2020 1:12 PM
219	4511 W 72nd St 66208	5/28/2020 1:12 PM
220	7204 high drive	5/28/2020 1:11 PM
221	66th terr	5/28/2020 1:11 PM
222	8718 mission road	5/28/2020 1:09 PM
223	3003 W 71st Ter	5/28/2020 1:09 PM
224	7701 Chadwick	5/28/2020 1:07 PM
225	3501 w 78th st	5/28/2020 1:06 PM
226	2805 W 71st St	5/28/2020 1:04 PM
227	7915 Falmouth St	5/28/2020 1:03 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

228	5730 W 81st Ter.	5/28/2020 1:02 PM
229	4401 Somerset Dr.	5/28/2020 1:02 PM
230	8369 Somerset Drive	5/28/2020 1:02 PM
231	4406 W. 72nd. St.	5/28/2020 1:00 PM
232	4502 W 66th Ter	5/28/2020 1:00 PM
233	7933 Norwood	5/28/2020 1:00 PM
234	8729 Alhambra	5/28/2020 12:59 PM
235	4014 W 74th Terrace	5/28/2020 12:57 PM
236	5200 w 72nd st	5/28/2020 12:56 PM
237	4737 W 78th St	5/28/2020 12:55 PM
238	7300 springfield	5/28/2020 12:55 PM
239	8724 Delmar	5/28/2020 12:55 PM
240	7740 Nall Ave	5/28/2020 12:54 PM
241	3719 W 73rd Terrace	5/28/2020 12:52 PM
242	4806 West 70th Terr	5/28/2020 12:52 PM
243	4910 W 69th	5/28/2020 12:52 PM
244	4405 W. 82nd St.	5/28/2020 12:51 PM
245	5640 W 82nd St	5/28/2020 12:51 PM
246	7929 Rosewood Drive	5/28/2020 12:51 PM
247	5505 West 82nd Place	5/28/2020 12:49 PM
248	4205 W 64th St	5/28/2020 12:49 PM
249	2921 W 74th St	5/28/2020 12:49 PM
250	4913 W 72nd St.	5/28/2020 12:49 PM
251	5600 W 81st Ter	5/28/2020 12:49 PM
252	5000 W 68th Terrace	5/28/2020 12:48 PM
253	8025 Maple St, Prairie Village, KS 66208	5/28/2020 12:47 PM
254	7605 Mohawk Dr	5/28/2020 12:47 PM
255	4604 W 88 St	5/28/2020 12:45 PM
256	3112 W 71st St	5/28/2020 12:45 PM
257	4300 w 79th	5/28/2020 12:44 PM
258	5483 W 85th Ter	5/28/2020 12:43 PM
259	7052 Roe Avenue	5/28/2020 12:43 PM
260	5406 west 80th terrace	5/28/2020 12:42 PM
261	2306 West 78 Street	5/28/2020 12:42 PM
262	4851 Meadowbrook Parkway	5/28/2020 12:42 PM
263	5300 W. 81st St.	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
264	4503 West 93rd Terrace	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
265	5317 w 79th st	5/28/2020 12:40 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

266	5321 w 69th st	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
267	4708 W 81st Street	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
268	4604 W 65th street	5/28/2020 12:39 PM
269	2514 W 71 st Terrace	5/28/2020 12:39 PM
270	4007 W. 92nd Terrace	5/28/2020 12:37 PM
271	8972 rosewood dr	5/28/2020 12:37 PM
272	6529 Granada Drive	5/28/2020 12:37 PM
273	7621 Russell Rd	5/28/2020 12:36 PM
274	6400 W 78th Street	5/28/2020 12:36 PM
275	8615 Juniper Lane	5/28/2020 12:35 PM
276	8025 Roe Ave	5/28/2020 12:35 PM
277	3822 W 74th ST	5/28/2020 12:35 PM
278	4311 W 77th Pl	5/28/2020 12:34 PM
279	7807 Falmouth	5/28/2020 12:33 PM
280	6223 W 76th Place	5/28/2020 12:32 PM
281	5219 West 70th Terrace	5/28/2020 12:30 PM
282	4706 W. 69th Ter	5/28/2020 12:28 PM
283	7848 Tomahawk	5/28/2020 12:27 PM
284	3611 w 85th st	5/28/2020 12:26 PM
285	7331 Birch	5/28/2020 12:26 PM
286	4518 W. 75th Street	5/28/2020 12:24 PM
287	5006 W 72nd Ter	5/28/2020 12:24 PM
288	2218 W 79th Street PV KS 66208	5/28/2020 12:23 PM
289	3607 West 84th Street	5/28/2020 12:22 PM
290	8005 Beverly Dr	5/28/2020 12:21 PM
291	8125 Rosewood Drive	5/28/2020 12:21 PM
292	5268 Somerset Drive	5/28/2020 12:20 PM
293	7252 Roe	5/28/2020 12:19 PM
294	6417 West 77th Terrace	5/28/2020 12:19 PM
295	8152 reeds road	5/28/2020 12:19 PM
296	5416 w 76th Terrace	5/28/2020 12:17 PM
297	4612 W 72nd st	5/28/2020 12:17 PM
298	7305 High Drive	5/28/2020 12:16 PM
299	4907 West 63rd Terrace	5/28/2020 12:15 PM
300	5625 W 81st Ter	5/28/2020 12:14 PM
301	8806 Birch Lane	5/28/2020 12:13 PM
302	8023 Reinhardt Ln	5/28/2020 12:13 PM
303	3400 Somerset Drive	5/28/2020 12:11 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

304	4518 West 74th Place	5/28/2020 12:11 PM
305	7724 Briar St	5/28/2020 12:10 PM
306	3816 w 74th st prairie village	5/28/2020 12:10 PM
307	7746 Chadwick St.	5/28/2020 12:09 PM
308	4405 w. 94 th street	5/28/2020 12:09 PM
309	8320 Briar Lane	5/28/2020 12:08 PM
310	6839 Granada Road	5/28/2020 12:07 PM
311	2217 W 73rd Terrace	5/28/2020 12:07 PM
312	7335 Birch Street	5/28/2020 12:04 PM
313	5414 W. 80th Terr	5/28/2020 12:03 PM
314	7921 Beverly Dr	5/28/2020 12:03 PM
315	4003 West 92nd Terrace	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
316	78th St	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
317	8972 Rosewood Dr	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
318	el monte	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
319	3715 Somerset Dr	5/28/2020 12:01 PM
320	7700 Tomahawk Rd	5/28/2020 12:01 PM
321	6508 Granada Dr.	5/28/2020 12:01 PM
322	4509 W 82nd ST	5/28/2020 12:00 PM
323	7709 Tomahawk Rd	5/28/2020 12:00 PM
324	7939 Norwood Street	5/28/2020 12:00 PM
325	3607 W. 73rd St.	5/28/2020 12:00 PM
326	2218 w 79 ter	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
327	4809 West 81st St	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
328	3635 somerset	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
329	7126 Alhambra St.	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
330	4600 West 77th Street	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
331	6725 Granada lane	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
332	6732 Delmar Lane	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
333	8121 Rosewood Dr	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
334	5210 W 69th St, Prairie Village, KS 66208	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
335	5410 W 79th Ter	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
336	7001 Roe	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
337	4321 W 64th Street	5/28/2020 11:57 AM
338	3701 W 84th street	5/28/2020 11:56 AM
339	7616 Fairway	5/28/2020 11:56 AM
340	8104 briar	5/28/2020 11:55 AM
341	6614 Hodges Dr	5/28/2020 11:54 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

342	6815 Granada Lane	5/28/2020 11:53 AM
343	8915 Linden dr. Prairie Village, KS 66207	5/28/2020 11:53 AM
344	5200 w 84 street	5/28/2020 11:52 AM
345	8410 Reinhardt Street	5/28/2020 11:51 AM
346	7339 Belinder Ave	5/28/2020 11:51 AM
347	6710 Granada Lane	5/28/2020 11:51 AM
348	5008 Tomahawk Road	5/28/2020 11:50 AM
349	7801 Juniper Drive	5/28/2020 11:50 AM
350	8021 Beverly Drive	5/28/2020 11:49 AM
351	3406 W 73rd Terr	5/28/2020 11:49 AM
352	4013 W 73rd Terrace	5/28/2020 11:49 AM
353	8137 Rosewood Dr	5/28/2020 11:49 AM
354	5515 West 79 Street	5/28/2020 11:48 AM
355	8212 Juniper Lane	5/28/2020 11:48 AM
356	2218 W 79th Terrace	5/28/2020 11:48 AM
357	5416 w 65th Place	5/28/2020 11:47 AM
358	4811 Tomahawk Rd	5/28/2020 11:47 AM
359	8024 Tomahawk Rd	5/28/2020 11:47 AM
360	7152 Cherokee Drive	5/28/2020 11:47 AM
361	6800 Fontana	5/28/2020 11:46 AM
362	4617 W 69th Terrace	5/28/2020 11:46 AM
363	4303 W 78th Terrace	5/28/2020 11:45 AM
364	5203 w 71st terrace	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
365	7610 FALMOUTH	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
366	4200 w. 71st street	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
367	4801 W 66th Street	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
368	4303 W 63rd Terrace	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
369	7703 Rosewood Drive	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
370	6817 Granada Road	5/28/2020 11:43 AM
371	7108 MISSION RD	5/28/2020 11:42 AM
372	3325 W 74th St	5/28/2020 11:42 AM
373	7720 MAPLE	5/28/2020 11:42 AM
374	4304 W 87th Terrace	5/28/2020 11:42 AM
375	4914 w 78th terr	5/28/2020 11:41 AM
376	9030 rosewood drive	5/28/2020 11:41 AM
377	9108 Delmar	5/28/2020 11:41 AM
378	7823 Juniper Dr.	5/28/2020 11:40 AM
379	4023 W. 67th St.	5/28/2020 11:40 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

380	6345 Roe Avenue	5/28/2020 11:40 AM
381	4508 W 83rd St	5/28/2020 11:39 AM
382	3109 W. 71 St.	5/28/2020 11:38 AM
383	2809 W. 71st Street	5/28/2020 11:37 AM
384	8016 juniper drive	5/28/2020 11:37 AM
385	5221 W. 71st St	5/28/2020 11:36 AM
386	4917 W 69th St	5/28/2020 11:35 AM
387	4912 w. 78th st.	5/28/2020 11:35 AM
388	8001 Lamar Ave.	5/28/2020 11:34 AM
389	4510 West 82nd Terrace	5/28/2020 11:34 AM
390	5029 w 73rd St	5/28/2020 11:34 AM
391	4410 Homestead Dr	5/28/2020 11:34 AM
392	7922 Maple	5/28/2020 11:33 AM
393	4807 W 83rd St	5/28/2020 11:33 AM
394	4915 W. 63rd St.	5/28/2020 11:33 AM
395	8212 Roe Ave	5/28/2020 11:32 AM
396	8865 Juniper Street	5/28/2020 11:32 AM
397	5515 W 81st St	5/28/2020 11:32 AM
398	8108 Juniper Drive	5/28/2020 11:32 AM
399	5301 W. 83rd Street	5/28/2020 11:31 AM
400	6120 W 76th Ter	5/28/2020 11:30 AM
401	8204 Briar Lane	5/28/2020 11:30 AM
402	5515 w 78th Ter	5/28/2020 11:30 AM
403	2211 73rd street	5/28/2020 11:30 AM
404	2312 W. 76th St	5/28/2020 11:29 AM
405	7735 Fairway St	5/28/2020 11:29 AM
406	6800 El Monte St	5/28/2020 11:28 AM
407	2210 W 73rd St	5/28/2020 11:28 AM
408	5400 West 81st Street	5/28/2020 11:27 AM
409	7812 Aberdeen	5/28/2020 11:24 AM
410	5009 Tomahawk Road	5/28/2020 11:23 AM
411	8632 Ash Lan	5/28/2020 11:14 AM
412	4919 W 69th Terrace	5/28/2020 11:13 AM
413	9000 w 116th	5/28/2020 11:12 AM
414	4924 W 72nd St	5/28/2020 11:11 AM
415	5415 West 68th St	5/28/2020 11:10 AM
416	5218 W 70th Ter	5/28/2020 11:09 AM
417	7130 Mission Road	5/28/2020 11:05 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

418	7011 Roe Ave	5/28/2020 11:04 AM
419	5109 Tomahawk Road	5/28/2020 11:02 AM
420	4115 W 74th Ter	5/28/2020 11:01 AM
421	4608 w 72nd	5/28/2020 11:01 AM
422	2818 W 66th Terrace MH KS	5/28/2020 10:59 AM
423	4901 W 67th st	5/28/2020 10:58 AM
424	5017 W. 66th Street	5/28/2020 10:57 AM
425	5516 W. 82 St.	5/28/2020 10:56 AM
426	2515 W 73rd Street	5/28/2020 10:55 AM
427	5475 W. 85th Ter	5/28/2020 10:53 AM
428	2917 W 73rd St.	5/28/2020 10:53 AM
429	7332 Ash Street	5/28/2020 10:51 AM
430	5212 W 76th Ter	5/28/2020 10:51 AM
431	4118 W 73rd Terrace	5/28/2020 10:50 AM
432	7745 Reeds Rd	5/28/2020 10:49 AM
433	6834 Delmar	5/28/2020 10:49 AM
434	Falmouth	5/28/2020 10:47 AM
435	4504 w 64	5/28/2020 10:46 AM
436	5207 w 77th Terr	5/28/2020 10:41 AM
437	5106 west 70th terr	5/28/2020 10:41 AM
438	5415 w. 79th terrace	5/28/2020 10:34 AM
439	5118 w 70th terrace	5/28/2020 2:26 AM
440	3109 W 73rd St.	5/27/2020 10:49 PM
441	4419 W 71st St	5/27/2020 5:56 PM
442	3301 Somerset Drive	5/27/2020 4:36 PM
443	8148 Outlook Lane	5/27/2020 3:58 PM
444	2916 West 73rd street	5/27/2020 3:08 PM
445	4904 W 79 St	5/27/2020 2:27 PM
446	7327 Springfield Street	5/27/2020 1:08 PM
447	3629 Somerset dr	5/27/2020 12:38 PM
448	7747 Mohawk Dr	5/27/2020 11:26 AM
449	7814 Canterbury	5/27/2020 10:12 AM
450	8837 Linden Drive	5/27/2020 9:56 AM
451	6310 Granada Drive	5/27/2020 9:38 AM
452	4330 W 63rd	5/27/2020 9:37 AM
453	5908 W. 78th St.	5/27/2020 8:50 AM
454	3700 W 77th Street	5/27/2020 7:23 AM
455	7538 Mohawk	5/27/2020 6:46 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

456	5401 W 83rd St	5/27/2020 12:41 AM
457	7242 Booth Street	5/26/2020 8:41 PM
458	8905 Cedar Drive	5/26/2020 4:22 PM
459	Briar street	5/25/2020 10:17 PM
460	4132 w 73rd	5/25/2020 10:17 PM
461	7815 Windsor St	5/25/2020 9:09 AM
462	5300 W. 65th Terrace, 66202	5/24/2020 11:15 PM
463	6801 Roe Ave	5/24/2020 11:07 PM
464	7621 Falmouth Street	5/24/2020 10:02 PM
465	7515 Aberdeen	5/24/2020 8:20 PM
466	4500 w 83	5/24/2020 5:43 PM
467	3009 W 74th St	5/24/2020 1:37 PM
468	9121 el monte	5/23/2020 7:57 PM
469	9111 Delmar	5/23/2020 8:54 AM
470	3214 w. 71st terr	5/23/2020 8:32 AM
471	3106 W 71st Ter	5/23/2020 2:15 AM
472	2318 W 71st Street	5/22/2020 11:21 PM
473	4211 W 69th Street	5/22/2020 7:51 PM
474	Cedar Drive	5/22/2020 7:09 PM
475	2810 W. 73rd Terrace	5/22/2020 3:34 PM
476	5015 W 68th St	5/22/2020 2:46 PM
477	2422 west 71 terr	5/22/2020 2:09 PM
478	4725 W 78th st	5/22/2020 12:47 PM
479	73rd Terr	5/22/2020 12:15 PM
480	7140 Booth	5/22/2020 11:35 AM
481	7341 Falmouth St	5/22/2020 11:16 AM
482	5820 W 81st St	5/22/2020 10:57 AM
483	3406 W 74th Ter	5/22/2020 10:57 AM
484	7323 Belinder Ave	5/22/2020 10:34 AM
485	Booth St	5/22/2020 10:34 AM
486	7414 Booth St	5/22/2020 10:21 AM
487	3003 W, 72 Terrace	5/22/2020 10:10 AM
488	7672 Canterbury St	5/22/2020 7:27 AM
489	5305 w. 79th street	5/22/2020 6:13 AM
490	4502 w 95th street	5/22/2020 2:38 AM
491	7424 Cherokee Dr	5/21/2020 11:25 PM
492	4900 w 69th street	5/21/2020 10:53 PM
493	4110 W 74th Terrace	5/21/2020 10:08 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

494	7333 Falmouth	5/21/2020 7:32 PM
495	3303 w 74th terrace	5/21/2020 7:21 PM
496	4204 W. 91st St.	5/21/2020 6:06 PM
497	5803 West 75th Terrace	5/21/2020 5:54 PM
498	8302 Howe Drive	5/21/2020 5:43 PM
499	W 80th Street	5/21/2020 4:27 PM
500	2500 W 75th PL	5/21/2020 2:49 PM
501	4311 W 71st street	5/21/2020 2:36 PM
502	6827 Fontana St	5/21/2020 2:27 PM
503	7440 Fontana	5/21/2020 1:22 PM
504	2413 W 79th Street	5/21/2020 12:37 PM
505	8240 Outlook Lane	5/21/2020 11:44 AM
506	4917 w 77th St	5/21/2020 11:28 AM
507	5600 W. 81st Street	5/21/2020 9:23 AM
508	7740 Briar	5/21/2020 9:08 AM
509	8869 Nall Avenue	5/21/2020 8:07 AM
510	7616 Canterbury st	5/21/2020 7:54 AM
511	2406 W 75Th Place	5/21/2020 7:50 AM
512	8009 granada	5/21/2020 6:09 AM
513	7647 Windsor	5/21/2020 1:47 AM
514	8413 Juniper Lane	5/20/2020 11:19 PM
515	3100 W 79th Terrace	5/20/2020 11:16 PM
516	3916 W 68th Street	5/20/2020 11:13 PM
517	2808 W 71st St 66208	5/20/2020 11:00 PM
518	5205 w 83 terr	5/20/2020 10:47 PM
519	4500 W 74th Place	5/20/2020 10:31 PM
520	5700 W 81st Ter	5/20/2020 10:31 PM
521	8712 Birch Lane	5/20/2020 10:12 PM
522	8308 Juniper Ln	5/20/2020 10:10 PM
523	4301 west 74th terr	5/20/2020 10:06 PM
524	8109 Roe Ave	5/20/2020 9:47 PM
525	7175 Cherokee Drive	5/20/2020 9:42 PM
526	A	5/20/2020 8:48 PM
527	4901 W 65th St	5/20/2020 8:36 PM
528	74th Street	5/20/2020 8:30 PM
529	5908 w 76th terrace	5/20/2020 8:30 PM
530	6843 granada td	5/20/2020 7:52 PM
531	8005 Lamar Ave	5/20/2020 7:52 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

532	4523 W 70th St	5/20/2020 7:43 PM
533	9216 Roe Avenue	5/20/2020 7:24 PM
534	8000: Reinhardt Lane	5/20/2020 7:23 PM
535	3915 w 90th ter	5/20/2020 7:21 PM
536	4201 W 68th Terrace	5/20/2020 7:12 PM
537	3706 W. 75th Street	5/20/2020 7:02 PM
538	6837 El Monte ST	5/20/2020 6:46 PM
539	8400 Fontana St.	5/20/2020 5:19 PM
540	5632 W 81st Terr	5/20/2020 5:05 PM
541	W 94th St	5/20/2020 4:54 PM
542	4406W 69th Ter	5/20/2020 4:28 PM
543	7224 cherokee dr	5/20/2020 4:15 PM
544	4712 w 65th terrace	5/20/2020 3:58 PM
545	5308 W 73rd St	5/20/2020 3:38 PM
546	3908 w 66th street	5/20/2020 2:52 PM
547	7325 Booth St	5/20/2020 2:48 PM
548	5305 W. 84th Terrace	5/20/2020 2:38 PM
549	7524 Falmouth st	5/20/2020 2:29 PM
550	8028 Granada	5/20/2020 2:22 PM
551	4811 W 65 Ter	5/20/2020 2:02 PM
552	4802 W. 69th Terrace	5/20/2020 1:55 PM
553	7116 Roe	5/20/2020 1:54 PM
554	71st	5/20/2020 1:44 PM
555	6833 El Monte	5/20/2020 1:42 PM
556	6742 El Monte	5/20/2020 1:24 PM
557	2225 W. 79th Terrace	5/20/2020 1:15 PM
558	9309 Delmar	5/20/2020 1:04 PM
559	4206 W 73rd St	5/20/2020 12:24 PM
560	7801 Pawnee Dr.	5/20/2020 12:22 PM
561	3507 W 78th St	5/20/2020 11:51 AM
562	6731 Granada Ln	5/20/2020 11:45 AM
563	75 th & Pawnee	5/20/2020 11:43 AM
564	7163 cherokee dr	5/20/2020 11:43 AM
565	4419 west 69th Terrace	5/20/2020 11:26 AM
566	7425 Belinder Ave	5/20/2020 11:21 AM
567	7705 Reeds	5/20/2020 11:19 AM
568	2309 W 78th Street	5/20/2020 11:16 AM
569	6810 Linden St	5/20/2020 11:11 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

570	4708 west 70th street	5/20/2020 11:06 AM
571	7056 Granada Rd	5/20/2020 11:01 AM
572	7819 Falmouth	5/20/2020 10:54 AM
573	2212 W 74th Street	5/20/2020 10:53 AM
574	4616 w 69th ter	5/20/2020 10:51 AM
575	4323 W 69th Ter	5/20/2020 10:47 AM
576	4519 W. 69th Terr	5/20/2020 10:35 AM
577	4627 w 69th	5/20/2020 10:31 AM
578	4413 W 69 Terr	5/20/2020 10:31 AM
579	4422 w 69th Terr	5/20/2020 10:29 AM
580	8005 Rosewood Drive	5/20/2020 10:24 AM
581	7577 Rainbow Drive	5/20/2020 10:23 AM
582	4017 w 73rd terr	5/20/2020 10:19 AM
583	2207 w 79 terrace	5/20/2020 10:19 AM
584	2400 w 75th pl	5/20/2020 10:14 AM
585	8709 Catalina	5/20/2020 10:14 AM
586	2009 W 72nd Terr	5/20/2020 10:00 AM
587	7407 Roe Avenue	5/20/2020 9:58 AM
588	70th & Roe	5/20/2020 9:40 AM
589	5219 W 68th St	5/20/2020 9:30 AM
590	7325 Birch St, PV KS 66208	5/20/2020 9:28 AM
591	8421 Cedar St	5/20/2020 9:27 AM
592	4114 w 74th st	5/20/2020 9:21 AM
593	4208 W 93rd St	5/20/2020 9:20 AM
594	7407 Chadwick Street	5/20/2020 9:10 AM
595	4512 W. 79th street, PV, KS. 66208	5/20/2020 9:08 AM
596	7220 high Dr	5/20/2020 9:02 AM
597	4708 w 88th St	5/20/2020 8:53 AM
598	4533 W. 65th St.	5/20/2020 8:47 AM
599	7830 Windsor St	5/20/2020 8:45 AM
600	3717 W 83rd Terrace	5/20/2020 8:44 AM
601	4927 west 88th street	5/20/2020 8:44 AM
602	7709 chadwick st	5/20/2020 8:36 AM
603	4806 West 68 street	5/20/2020 8:30 AM
604	8008 Granada Road	5/20/2020 8:16 AM
605	4001 W. 73rd St. Prairie Village, KS 66208	5/20/2020 8:05 AM
606	West 70th Terrace	5/20/2020 7:38 AM
607	7221 Canterbury, 66208 [Ward3]	5/20/2020 7:38 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

608	6834 Roe	5/20/2020 7:34 AM
609	4317 W 69th Terrace	5/20/2020 7:32 AM
610	8807 Linden Drive	5/20/2020 7:01 AM
611	7806 Pawnee Dr.	5/20/2020 6:52 AM
612	4305 w. 87th street	5/20/2020 6:15 AM
613	7548 Sagamore	5/20/2020 4:35 AM
614	9309 Alhambra	5/20/2020 4:10 AM
615	3109 W 71 st Street	5/20/2020 4:03 AM
616	2225 W 74th st	5/20/2020 3:34 AM
617	9311 Buena vista	5/20/2020 1:08 AM
618	82nd Terrace	5/20/2020 12:31 AM
619	6800 Granada Rd	5/19/2020 11:10 PM
620	W 70th St	5/19/2020 11:00 PM
621	6615 Hodges Drive	5/19/2020 10:44 PM
622	8718 Mission Rd	5/19/2020 10:41 PM
623	2601 W 71st Ter	5/19/2020 10:29 PM
624	6129 W 75th St	5/19/2020 10:29 PM
625	7934 Booth Street	5/19/2020 10:22 PM
626	4820 W 77th Terr	5/19/2020 10:18 PM
627	5002w.72terrace	5/19/2020 10:16 PM
628	5911 W 78th Ter	5/19/2020 10:12 PM
629	3108 W 72nd St	5/19/2020 10:10 PM
630	4607 Homestead Dr	5/19/2020 10:05 PM
631	2012 West 71st Street	5/19/2020 10:04 PM
632	7917 Dearborn Circle	5/19/2020 9:51 PM
633	8411 Somerset Dr	5/19/2020 9:36 PM
634	7175 Cherokee Drive	5/19/2020 9:23 PM
635	7924 Granada Rd	5/19/2020 9:23 PM
636	8985 Rosewood Dr	5/19/2020 9:16 PM
637	7305 Ash	5/19/2020 9:01 PM
638	6304 W 76th St	5/19/2020 8:59 PM
639	7120 Cherokee Dr	5/19/2020 8:59 PM
640	2601 w 75th place	5/19/2020 8:47 PM
641	9057 Rosewood Dr. PV, KS 66207	5/19/2020 8:43 PM
642	5324 Somerset drive	5/19/2020 8:40 PM
643	4712 West 66th Terrace	5/19/2020 8:39 PM
644	4600 w 67	5/19/2020 8:33 PM
645	4941 w 90th st	5/19/2020 8:31 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

646	8025Juniper	5/19/2020 8:28 PM
647	8133 Juniper Drive	5/19/2020 8:26 PM
648	8125 Dearborn Drive	5/19/2020 8:18 PM
649	8959 Juniper St	5/19/2020 8:17 PM
650	8914 Cedar Drive	5/19/2020 8:16 PM
651	8945 Linden Drive	5/19/2020 8:12 PM
652	W 84 St	5/19/2020 8:11 PM
653	4607 W 82nd Terrace, PV, KS, 66208	5/19/2020 8:07 PM
654	2519 W. 79th Ter	5/19/2020 8:04 PM
655	5104 W 84th St	5/19/2020 7:49 PM
656	7540 Aberdeen St	5/19/2020 7:45 PM
657	4815 W 80th St	5/19/2020 7:34 PM
658	3911 W. 74th Terrace	5/19/2020 7:16 PM
659	2701 W 71st St	5/19/2020 7:13 PM
660	7624 Tomahawk Rd.	5/19/2020 7:04 PM
661	9101 Delmar	5/19/2020 6:59 PM
662	7605 High Drive	5/19/2020 6:51 PM
663	4711 w 69th terrace	5/19/2020 6:43 PM
664	W 63rd terrace	5/19/2020 6:39 PM
665	4519 W 70th St	5/19/2020 6:36 PM
666	2115 West 72nd Terrace	5/19/2020 6:27 PM
667	2020 West 71st street 66208	5/19/2020 6:22 PM
668	6501 Hodges Dr	5/19/2020 6:17 PM
669	7324 high drive	5/19/2020 6:09 PM
670	4904 W. 78th Place	5/19/2020 6:07 PM
671	4309 w 94 dt	5/19/2020 6:04 PM
672	5200 W 68th Street	5/19/2020 6:03 PM
673	9302 Alhambra St., PV, KS 66207	5/19/2020 6:03 PM
674	5016 W 73rd St	5/19/2020 5:47 PM
675	7232 Booth Street	5/19/2020 5:47 PM
676	5211 W. 80th Terr	5/19/2020 5:30 PM
677	3615 W 73rd Ter	5/19/2020 5:20 PM
678	7643 Ash St	5/19/2020 5:20 PM
679	4010 W 68th Street	5/19/2020 5:04 PM
680	5005 W 68th Terrace	5/19/2020 5:01 PM
681	7921 Falmouth	5/19/2020 4:54 PM
682	7706 Norwood Drive	5/19/2020 4:47 PM
683	8400 Briar Lane	5/19/2020 4:45 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

684	4505 west 65th street	5/19/2020 4:35 PM
685	4309 W 94th St	5/19/2020 4:35 PM
686	W 69th Terr	5/19/2020 4:30 PM
687	4208 W 74th Ter	5/19/2020 4:24 PM
688	9317 Catalina	5/19/2020 4:21 PM
689	4236 W 73rd Ter	5/19/2020 4:15 PM
690	4702 W 69 Ter	5/19/2020 4:07 PM
691	4810 Tomahawk Rd	5/19/2020 4:05 PM
692	7824 Tomahawk Rd	5/19/2020 4:00 PM
693	9315 Buena Vista	5/19/2020 3:56 PM
694	8004 Juniper Drive	5/19/2020 3:56 PM
695	7304 Canterbury St.	5/19/2020 3:46 PM
696	3500 W 73rd St	5/19/2020 3:40 PM
697	8005 Nall Ave	5/19/2020 3:40 PM
698	2906 w 73 Terr	5/19/2020 3:40 PM
699	8913 Linden Lane	5/19/2020 3:33 PM
700	4416 w. 70th st	5/19/2020 3:32 PM
701	9116 El Monte St.	5/19/2020 3:22 PM
702	7420 Village Drive, PV, KS 66208	5/19/2020 3:21 PM
703	9116 Roe	5/19/2020 3:18 PM
704	Mission Rd	5/19/2020 3:15 PM
705	5603 W. 78th Terrace	5/19/2020 3:11 PM
706	7700 Maple	5/19/2020 2:59 PM
707	4104 W. 93rd St	5/19/2020 2:59 PM
708	4027 W 72 Terr	5/19/2020 2:58 PM
709	7530 Fairway St.	5/19/2020 2:44 PM
710	5107 W 66th Ter	5/19/2020 2:35 PM
711	8124 Juniper Drive	5/19/2020 2:31 PM
712	7836 Tomahawk Rd	5/19/2020 2:29 PM
713	4211 W 74th Ter	5/19/2020 2:28 PM
714	7212 High Dr	5/19/2020 2:27 PM
715	5104 W 84 Terrace	5/19/2020 2:25 PM
716	4317 W 67th St	5/19/2020 2:16 PM
717	4600 w 70th ter	5/19/2020 2:14 PM
718	8810 Birch Lane	5/19/2020 2:14 PM
719	7435 Juniper Dr	5/19/2020 2:11 PM
720	3906 w 73rd st	5/19/2020 2:10 PM
721	3317 West 81st Street	5/19/2020 2:05 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

722	2716 W 79TH ST	5/19/2020 2:02 PM
723	2624 W 75th Place	5/19/2020 2:02 PM
724	9344 Alhambra	5/19/2020 1:57 PM
725	7921 Fontana	5/19/2020 1:55 PM
726	7532 briar dr	5/19/2020 1:52 PM
727	4608 W 65th Street	5/19/2020 1:51 PM
728	8831 Juniper Street	5/19/2020 1:50 PM
729	5601 W 69th Terrace	5/19/2020 1:46 PM
730	5408 Tomahawk Rd	5/19/2020 1:39 PM
731	4836 W. 90th St.	5/19/2020 1:39 PM
732	8108 fontana	5/19/2020 1:38 PM
733	2113 W 74th Ter	5/19/2020 1:33 PM
734	8500 roe ave	5/19/2020 1:27 PM
735	4220 West 70th Street	5/19/2020 1:23 PM
736	3903 Homestead Dr	5/19/2020 1:17 PM
737	3017 w 72nd street	5/19/2020 1:17 PM
738	3506 West 79 St	5/19/2020 1:14 PM
739	4600 W. 70th Terr.	5/19/2020 1:13 PM
740	4502 W. 63rd Terrace	5/19/2020 1:12 PM
741	7412 Cherokee Dr	5/19/2020 1:11 PM
742	66th terr	5/19/2020 1:07 PM
743	7733 Reeds Rd	5/19/2020 1:07 PM
744	4802 W. 70th Terr.	5/19/2020 1:06 PM
745	8136 Ash	5/19/2020 1:05 PM
746	4849 W. 90th Street	5/19/2020 1:05 PM
747	4615 Homestead Dr	5/19/2020 1:05 PM
748	8033 Rosewood Dr	5/19/2020 1:03 PM
749	4809 W 69th St	5/19/2020 1:03 PM
750	W 78th St	5/19/2020 1:00 PM
751	77th street	5/19/2020 12:56 PM
752	9101 Delmar St	5/19/2020 12:56 PM
753	4640 West 70th Terrace	5/19/2020 12:48 PM
754	7807 Cambridge Drive	5/19/2020 12:47 PM
755	5118 W 69th Terrace	5/19/2020 12:45 PM
756	5500 W. 82nd Ter.	5/19/2020 12:41 PM
757	2209 W 72nd Terr	5/19/2020 12:40 PM
758	4601 W. 65th Street	5/19/2020 12:39 PM
759	3713 W. 79th street	5/19/2020 12:37 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

760	4709 W 64th St	5/19/2020 12:35 PM
761	9318 Buena Vista, PV 66207	5/19/2020 12:34 PM
762	7542 Fairway Street	5/19/2020 12:34 PM
763	7320 Canterbury st	5/19/2020 12:34 PM
764	4409 West 66th street	5/19/2020 12:30 PM
765	4122 W 73rd Street	5/19/2020 12:26 PM
766	5907 West 78th Terrace	5/19/2020 12:25 PM
767	2110 w 72nd	5/19/2020 12:24 PM
768	9030 Rosewood Drive	5/19/2020 12:23 PM
769	4504 w 79th	5/19/2020 12:22 PM
770	8017 Juniper Drive	5/19/2020 12:21 PM
771	8919 Cedar Drive	5/19/2020 12:21 PM
772	7946 Reeds Rd.	5/19/2020 12:21 PM
773	8020 Tomahawk Rd	5/19/2020 12:19 PM
774	7546 State Line Road	5/19/2020 12:19 PM
775	2121 West 79th Street	5/19/2020 12:18 PM
776	4100 W 66th St	5/19/2020 12:17 PM
777	4508 w 78th st	5/19/2020 12:16 PM
778	4207 w. 74th street	5/19/2020 12:16 PM
779	4515 West 74th Place	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
780	7215 Linden Street, Prairie Village, KS 66208	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
781	4500 West 93rd Street	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
782	4307 W 82nd Terr.	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
783	Village Drive	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
784	4306 W 95th St	5/19/2020 12:13 PM
785	5817 W 78th st	5/19/2020 12:12 PM
786	7716 Tomahawk Road, Prairie Village, KS 66208	5/19/2020 12:12 PM
787	4712 w 65th terrace	5/19/2020 12:11 PM
788	4302 W 82nd Terrace	5/19/2020 12:10 PM
789	7301 Canterbury St	5/19/2020 12:10 PM
790	2711 West 73rd Terrace	5/19/2020 12:06 PM
791	3716 West 74th Street	5/19/2020 12:01 PM
792	3524 w 73rd terr	5/19/2020 11:56 AM
793	7711 mission road pv ks 66208	5/19/2020 11:55 AM
794	8730 Rosewood dr	5/19/2020 11:54 AM
795	7645 Rainbow Dr.	5/19/2020 11:49 AM
796	5002 W. 70th Terrace	5/19/2020 11:46 AM
797	4101 W 74th St	5/19/2020 11:41 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

798	7404 High Dr	5/19/2020 11:38 AM
799	5187 W 75th st	5/19/2020 11:34 AM
800	5201 w 68th st	5/19/2020 11:32 AM
801	2804 W 76 St	5/19/2020 11:30 AM
802	7659 Canterbury	5/19/2020 11:30 AM
803	5219 W 66th Ter	5/19/2020 11:29 AM
804	7604 Aberdeen	5/19/2020 11:28 AM
805	7615 Chadwick st	5/19/2020 11:25 AM
806	9058 birch st	5/19/2020 11:23 AM
807	4025 Prairie Lane	5/19/2020 11:21 AM
808	4010 W 63rd St	5/19/2020 11:19 AM
809	6010 w 78th Terrace	5/19/2020 11:15 AM
810	9111 El Monte	5/19/2020 11:12 AM
811	5100 W. 76th St.	5/19/2020 11:12 AM
812	4500 W 71st terrace	5/19/2020 11:12 AM
813	2206 W 79th Terr	5/19/2020 11:11 AM
814	4403 W 92nd Terrace	5/19/2020 11:11 AM
815	8148 Rosewood Dr	5/19/2020 11:10 AM
816	2230 W 72nd St	5/19/2020 11:07 AM
817	7300 Cherokee Dr	5/19/2020 11:04 AM
818	5020 west 67	5/19/2020 11:04 AM
819	5514 w. 75 terrace	5/19/2020 11:03 AM
820	3200 W. 72nd Street	5/19/2020 10:58 AM
821	4713 West 66th Terrace	5/19/2020 10:57 AM
822	4419 W. 71st Terrace	5/19/2020 10:56 AM
823	8706 Rosewood Drive	5/19/2020 10:56 AM
824	7708 Briar Dr	5/19/2020 10:50 AM
825	7664 Canterbury	5/19/2020 10:46 AM
826	74th place	5/19/2020 10:45 AM
827	4815 W. 66th TER, Prairie Village KS	5/19/2020 10:45 AM
828	8100 Juniper Drive	5/19/2020 10:44 AM
829	6723 El Monte St	5/19/2020 10:41 AM
830	5301 W 69th	5/19/2020 10:40 AM
831	W. 78th St.	5/19/2020 10:40 AM
832	7349 Booth St	5/19/2020 10:39 AM
833	4310 W 93rd Ter	5/19/2020 10:36 AM
834	7808 Cambridge Dr	5/19/2020 10:36 AM
835	7909 El Monte Street	5/19/2020 10:31 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

836	4302 Homestead Drive	5/19/2020 10:31 AM
837	3607 Somerset	5/19/2020 10:30 AM
838	7419 El Monte	5/19/2020 10:30 AM
839	7201 Booth Street	5/19/2020 10:29 AM
840	7653 high dr prairie village Kansas	5/19/2020 10:29 AM
841	8236 Linden Dr	5/19/2020 10:28 AM
842	2212 W. 74th Street	5/19/2020 10:26 AM
843	4708 W 64th St	5/19/2020 10:23 AM
844	2019 W 71st Street	5/19/2020 10:20 AM
845	Homestead Drive	5/19/2020 10:14 AM
846	3317 W 81st Street	5/19/2020 10:10 AM
847	8849 Cedar Drive	5/19/2020 10:09 AM
848	Lamar	5/19/2020 10:09 AM
849	w 65th Terrace	5/19/2020 10:07 AM
850	4014 Oxford	5/19/2020 10:07 AM
851	4016 W 68th St	5/19/2020 10:05 AM
852	5225 W 69th St	5/19/2020 10:04 AM
853	4300 West 66Th	5/19/2020 10:04 AM
854	7928 Beverly Dr	5/19/2020 10:03 AM
855	7556 state Line rd	5/19/2020 10:03 AM
856	2402 West 71st Terrace	5/19/2020 10:03 AM
857	7066 Granada Rd.	5/19/2020 10:02 AM
858	8129 Tomahawk	5/19/2020 10:01 AM
859	W 70th street	5/19/2020 10:00 AM
860	8025 Rosewood Drive	5/19/2020 9:59 AM
861	4321 W 64th Street	5/19/2020 9:57 AM
862	7305 Falmouth street	5/19/2020 9:56 AM
863	7627 Falmouth	5/19/2020 9:53 AM
864	4500 W 93rd St	5/19/2020 9:51 AM
865	7800 Booth Street	5/19/2020 9:50 AM
866	4636 W 69th Terr	5/19/2020 9:47 AM
867	7641 Fairway Street	5/19/2020 9:44 AM
868	2301 West 72nd Street	5/19/2020 9:44 AM
869	4940 W 78th pl	5/19/2020 9:41 AM
870	4812 W. 70th st	5/19/2020 9:40 AM
871	5405 W 72nd St	5/19/2020 9:38 AM
872	4851 Meadowbrook Parkway, Apt. 161, Prairie Village, KS 66207	5/19/2020 9:38 AM
873	4210 W 74th St	5/19/2020 9:37 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

874	2818 w 71st terrace	5/19/2020 9:35 AM
875	7827 Ash St.	5/19/2020 9:35 AM
876	4501 W. 69th St	5/19/2020 9:34 AM
877	7825 Lamar Ave	5/19/2020 9:34 AM
878	7909 Falmouth	5/19/2020 9:34 AM
879	7616 Norwood	5/19/2020 9:34 AM
880	3501 West 84th Terrace	5/19/2020 9:33 AM
881	5108 w 76th st	5/19/2020 9:33 AM
882	4200 W. 69	5/19/2020 9:33 AM
883	7053 Granada Ln	5/19/2020 9:31 AM
884	4401 W. 77th Place	5/19/2020 9:30 AM
885	5521 W69th Terrace	5/19/2020 9:30 AM
886	5011 W 66th Ter	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
887	8201 Lamar Ave	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
888	4003 W 74th Ter	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
889	7749 briar	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
890	7218 Village Drive	5/19/2020 9:26 AM
891	2212 w 74th Terr	5/19/2020 9:26 AM
892	4929 W 78th Pl	5/19/2020 9:26 AM
893	7210 Linden Street	5/19/2020 9:25 AM
894	7625 Rainbow Drive	5/19/2020 9:24 AM
895	8020 Maple St	5/19/2020 9:23 AM
896	4023 w 67th terrace	5/19/2020 9:22 AM
897	2115 W. 71st terrace	5/19/2020 9:22 AM
898	3916 w 68th st	5/19/2020 9:21 AM
899	5405 West 73rd Street	5/19/2020 9:21 AM
900	6737 Granada Lane	5/19/2020 9:21 AM
901	4930 W 78th street	5/19/2020 9:21 AM
902	5710 W 78th Terr	5/19/2020 9:19 AM
903	5811 W 75th Ter	5/19/2020 9:19 AM
904	7167 Cherokee Dr.	5/19/2020 9:18 AM
905	5215 W 71st terrace	5/19/2020 9:17 AM
906	7834 Windsor St	5/19/2020 9:17 AM
907	Juniper Dr	5/19/2020 9:17 AM
908	7608 juniper	5/19/2020 9:17 AM
909	3407 W 77 Terrace	5/19/2020 9:15 AM
910	7514 Aberdeen st	5/19/2020 9:14 AM
911	8162 Delmar Street	5/19/2020 9:14 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

912	4628 W. 72nd Ter.	5/19/2020 9:14 AM
913	3807 somerset dr	5/19/2020 9:13 AM
914	7238 Village Dr.	5/19/2020 9:12 AM
915	8933 linden	5/19/2020 9:11 AM
916	4509 w 71st terr	5/19/2020 9:11 AM
917	8833 Nall Ave.	5/19/2020 9:11 AM
918	4510 West 72nd Street	5/19/2020 9:11 AM
919	4606 W 70th Street	5/19/2020 9:10 AM
920	7916 Granada Road	5/19/2020 9:10 AM
921	2201 w 79 terrace	5/19/2020 9:09 AM
922	7415 Belinder Ave	5/19/2020 9:08 AM
923	7644 Norwood St.	5/19/2020 9:08 AM
924	2518	5/19/2020 9:08 AM
925	4831 W 77th St.	5/19/2020 9:07 AM
926	7536 State Line Rd	5/19/2020 9:07 AM
927	5116 W. 72nd St.	5/19/2020 9:07 AM
928	2607 W 79th St	5/19/2020 9:06 AM
929	5005 Tomahawk Road	5/19/2020 9:04 AM
930	8829 Linden Drive	5/19/2020 9:04 AM
931	4310 W 70th St	5/19/2020 9:04 AM
932	7312 Roe Ave.	5/19/2020 9:03 AM
933	6545 Overbrook rd	5/19/2020 9:02 AM
934	2100 w 72nd street	5/19/2020 9:02 AM
935	4507 W 69th Street	5/19/2020 9:01 AM
936	9400 Alhambra	5/19/2020 9:01 AM
937	8001 Fontana St, Prairie Village KS 66208	5/19/2020 9:01 AM
938	7731 Aberdeen	5/19/2020 9:01 AM
939	7926 Windsor St	5/19/2020 9:00 AM
940	8215 Rosewood Lane	5/19/2020 9:00 AM
941	8121 Juniper Drive	5/19/2020 9:00 AM
942	7602 Russell Lane	5/19/2020 9:00 AM
943	5604 W 78th St	5/19/2020 8:59 AM
944	7823 Falmouth	5/19/2020 8:59 AM
945	5301 W. 65th Place	5/19/2020 8:59 AM
946	4903 W 77th Terrace	5/19/2020 8:58 AM
947	5105 W 84 Street	5/19/2020 8:58 AM
948	7944 Maple Street	5/19/2020 8:58 AM
949	5301 W 77th St.	5/19/2020 8:57 AM


City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

950	8009 Rosewood Drive	5/19/2020 8:57 AM
951	5806 W 76th Street	5/19/2020 8:56 AM
952	5900 w 79st	5/19/2020 8:56 AM
953	4600 W 66th St	5/19/2020 8:55 AM
954	5108 W 65 Terrace	5/19/2020 8:55 AM
955	8224 tomahawk rd	5/19/2020 8:54 AM
956	8516 Juniper Lane	5/19/2020 8:54 AM
957	5102 W 72nd Terrace	5/19/2020 8:54 AM
958	4916 W. 65th Street	5/19/2020 8:52 AM
959	7425 Springfield	5/19/2020 8:52 AM
960	7667 Canterbury	5/19/2020 8:52 AM
961	5921 W 75th Street	5/19/2020 8:52 AM
962	7848 juniper drive	5/19/2020 8:52 AM
963	5509 w 70 terrace	5/19/2020 8:52 AM
964	6203 w 76th terr	5/19/2020 8:51 AM
965	4007 W 69th St	5/19/2020 8:51 AM
966	3300 W 75th St	5/19/2020 8:50 AM
967	4200 west 66th	5/19/2020 8:50 AM
968	8308 Rosewood Dr.	5/19/2020 8:50 AM
969	4809 W. 81st St	5/19/2020 8:49 AM
970	7520 Colonial Dr	5/19/2020 8:49 AM
971	4529 W 65th St	5/19/2020 8:49 AM
972	6717 Fontana Street	5/19/2020 8:47 AM
973	4627 W 70th St	5/19/2020 8:45 AM

Q2 Do you think the City should offer a curbside food compost program citywide?


Answered: 957 Skipped: 16



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	50.89%	487
No	19.44%	186
Neutral	29.68%	284
TOTAL		957

Q3 If the City were to offer a curbside food compost program citywide, an increase to the annual solid waste fee may be needed. Currently, residents on the City's trash contract pay \$228 per year for trash, recycling, and yard waste collection. This equates to \$19 per month. How much are you willing to pay for a citywide food compost program in addition to your current solid waste bill?


Answered: 956 Skipped: 17



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Between \$10 to \$15 per month	9.00%	86
Between \$7 to \$9.99 per month	12.55%	120
Between \$4 to \$6.99 per month	18.62%	178
Between \$1 to \$3.99 per month	24.37%	233
I am not willing to pay any additional for a curbside food compost program.	35.46%	339
TOTAL		956

Q4 If the City were to offer a curbside food compost program citywide, how would you prefer for the program to be structured?


Answered: 952 Skipped: 21



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
By letting residents opt-in to the program and only charging those who participate through an assessment on the property tax bill.	24.16% 230
By letting residents opt-in to the program and pay for it directly through the vendor.	40.02% 381
By including all households in the program and distributing the fee evenly among everyone (similar to the current structure for trash, recycling, and yard waste).	35.82% 341
TOTAL	952

Q5 A curbside food compost program could be collected in several different ways. How do you prefer for food compost to be collected?


Answered: 926 Skipped: 47



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
By being provided a container specifically for food compost only	49.89% 462
By integrating the food compost in with the weekly yard waste pickup.	15.66% 145
Having the option to either integrate the food compost in with yard waste or put out a separate container to be emptied.	34.45% 319
TOTAL	926

Q6 If the City offered a citywide food compost program, how frequently would you prefer for this service to be provided?

Answered: 917 Skipped: 56



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Weekly	67.83%	622
Bi-Weekly	22.68%	208
Monthly	9.49%	87
TOTAL		917

Q7 Please share any suggestions you would like the City to consider in determining whether or not a food compost program should be offered citywide.

Answered: 477 Skipped: 496

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	would that attract animals?	5/31/2020 11:18 PM
2	I have been waiting for years for such a program. It is a regret that food waste--I think meat excepted--goes into the dump or worse yet down the drain where the solids have to be cleaned out at the sanitation station. The experts may tell us otherwise, but the easiest is to have it with yard waste, as long as its vegetable. My sense is meat should be excluded.	5/31/2020 10:01 PM
3	Would this potentially attract undesired varmints closer to our homes? More information is needed before I would support this proposition.	5/31/2020 8:47 PM
4	None	5/31/2020 1:52 PM
5	If people compost in their yards, don't we need to worry about more of a rodent problem.	5/31/2020 1:13 PM
6	We did this in Seattle through yard waste each week and it worked great	5/30/2020 11:19 PM
7	Prairie Village	5/30/2020 7:55 PM
8	Actively educate PV residence of the benefits of food composting. We had a pilot program a few years ago in Normandy Square and some were very proud of how little trash they generated thorough recycling and the compost program. Some had as little as a plastic grocery bag per week.	5/30/2020 4:56 PM
9	I don't currently compost food, so I would appreciate guidance on how to effectively do it.	5/30/2020 12:42 PM
10	PV is a progressive city; we should be a model for other cities in JOCO and the KC metro area. Food waste is one of the biggest factors in climate change as greenhouse gases are released when food waste is not composted or otherwise recycled; we can be part of the solution!	5/30/2020 11:29 AM
11	Prairie Village	5/30/2020 9:40 AM
12	Prefer not to have a good compost program. Frankly I grew up when garbage was collected weekly and the stench in the summer was not pleasant to say the least.	5/29/2020 7:10 PM
13	N/a	5/29/2020 6:38 PM
14	Please seriously consider potential pest problems with this program.	5/29/2020 6:19 PM
15	Could do bi weekly. Selected option to assess all residents to give an incentive for more participation. Selected option to integrate with yard waste thinking be more cost effective. Thanks for seekign input. Hope to see curbside food waste pick up achieved. Would be pretty flexilbe on approach.	5/29/2020 5:42 PM
16	I think it is a good idea for consideration. In our household we already compost the food in our yard and some that the local animals like raccoons and possums will eat. I would be willing to participate but as a matter of the community as a whole. I'll keep doing what I do.	5/29/2020 1:15 PM
17	N/a	5/29/2020 12:43 PM
18	I don't think it's gonna work. Too messy.	5/29/2020 11:38 AM
19	Consistency and simplicity critical to get people on board. A regular schedule same as trash pickup means you don't have to think about it separately from pre-established routine and more likely to remember and get more participants. Also, doing citywide will encourage more participation and also will allow PV to show they are committed to the environment. It sets a good example and will lead to greater participation. As far as cost, I have no idea what is fair or realistic fee for this service. We are willing to pay whatever the fair cost is for the service.	5/29/2020 11:05 AM
20	I think if it is offered for everyone, more will participate.	5/29/2020 10:51 AM
21	Do not make people pay for it if they don't even want it.	5/29/2020 10:11 AM
22	Prairie Village	5/29/2020 9:08 AM
23	I would love for this to be an option!	5/29/2020 8:44 AM
24	Prairie Village	5/29/2020 8:35 AM
25	None	5/29/2020 7:40 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

26	I currently pay monthly for a curbside composting service. I want it and I'm flexible with how it is done. I want too have it offered in the most acceptable way so that the most people will participate.	5/29/2020 6:19 AM
27	Na	5/29/2020 5:49 AM
28	No suggestions.	5/29/2020 12:35 AM
29	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:58 PM
30	I live alone and do my own composting so I would prefer not to be charged for this program and am not in favor of the city adopting this service	5/28/2020 11:52 PM
31	There needs to be education on materials that can be composted	5/28/2020 11:43 PM
32	.	5/28/2020 11:06 PM
33	I'm against this unless it is an opt in only program. I don't want to pay for a service I won't use.	5/28/2020 10:43 PM
34	This is going to be a smelly endeavor. No thanks!	5/28/2020 10:40 PM
35	We compost in our backyard already. We don't feel like it's difficult to do on our own.	5/28/2020 9:52 PM
36	No suggestions at this time	5/28/2020 9:39 PM
37	We use Compost Collective currently and like them.	5/28/2020 9:38 PM
38	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 9:33 PM
39	n/a	5/28/2020 8:29 PM
40	None	5/28/2020 8:17 PM
41	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 7:34 PM
42	What is Food Compost?	5/28/2020 6:47 PM
43	I love the idea of having a food compost pick up!!!	5/28/2020 6:46 PM
44	I love this idea. It would be great if residents could then get our compost to reuse in gardens.	5/28/2020 6:16 PM
45	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 6:08 PM
46	N/A - not interested in food composting	5/28/2020 6:02 PM
47	NA	5/28/2020 6:00 PM
48	Suggestion: use biodegradable bags in compost collection bin. Thanks :-)	5/28/2020 5:56 PM
49	None	5/28/2020 5:30 PM
50	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 5:28 PM
51	I don't believe that most residents would follow through with this program.	5/28/2020 4:50 PM
52	define food compost program	5/28/2020 4:36 PM
53	none	5/28/2020 4:35 PM
54	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 4:30 PM
55	I think that a food compost program would be a fantastic addition.	5/28/2020 4:29 PM
56	We are not in favor of this.	5/28/2020 4:22 PM
57	We compost now with great success. Would not use such a service.	5/28/2020 4:21 PM
58	If we have to choose between this and glass pickup, we think glass pickup is more important because most people in Johnson County have garbage disposals.	5/28/2020 4:07 PM
59	Would like to know where it is benefiting the city	5/28/2020 3:59 PM
60	N/A	5/28/2020 3:38 PM
61	I think if we have everyone pay for the composting service, it would encourage more people to	5/28/2020 3:29 PM

partake in this important step towards being a more sustainable city.

62	I like the idea of minimizing trash. Paying extra to keep a separate smelly container of food around is not appealing. I say encourage composting.	5/28/2020 3:28 PM
63	This seems like a good idea on the surface but the logistics of handling the waste, containers, billing seem complex and possibly messy.	5/28/2020 3:11 PM
64	We personally have our own food compost bin, but think it's great to offer others! Maybe as an incentive for participation some type of spring compost mulch could be offered for garden beds, etc.	5/28/2020 3:08 PM
65	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 3:08 PM
66	I think composting is a good idea, but seems it might be messy and stinky at times. Also not willing to pay extra monthly charge for this, as I'm unlikely to use it.	5/28/2020 3:07 PM
67	?	5/28/2020 3:02 PM
68	Will this extend the life of our existing landfill site? (If so, wouldn't that reduce the existing cost of trash service?)	5/28/2020 2:58 PM
69	I'm not sure how this would work. Is this in place of using our garbage disposals?	5/28/2020 2:56 PM
70	Don't care about food compost . Do it in your backyard	5/28/2020 2:54 PM
71	thanks.	5/28/2020 2:49 PM
72	none.	5/28/2020 2:48 PM
73	None	5/28/2020 2:47 PM
74	If the city is to offer this service it should me made very clear to residents what food type are compostable and what types are not. Otherwise risk contamination (similar to ongoing contamination problem with current recycling program. Only those residents who elect to have this service should pay for it.	5/28/2020 2:37 PM
75	Costs are already too high... keep costs down...	5/28/2020 2:31 PM
76	N	5/28/2020 2:30 PM
77	I worry if the waste isn't stored or picked up regularly the smell during hot summer days would be terrible like NYC's problem. Also it could attract unwanted insects & critters.	5/28/2020 2:25 PM
78	Mission Hills	5/28/2020 2:25 PM
79	The environmental impacts alone should be why the city should offer. It would help with methane and carbon gas off puts in our air as well as help keep this organic resources out of local landfills. As well local landscaping companies could purchase fully decomposed waste for soil.	5/28/2020 2:16 PM
80	na	5/28/2020 2:14 PM
81	Portland, OR has a great program so it might be worth looking at what has made theirs successful.	5/28/2020 2:12 PM
82	We need to know that the compost is actually being composted. We don't need to pay extra for it to go to the landfill with the other trash, as often happens with commingled recycling.	5/28/2020 2:12 PM
83	If folks are so compelled to compost, they can do it their own backyard	5/28/2020 2:11 PM
84	None	5/28/2020 2:10 PM
85	I have my own compost pile so I am not interested in participating but I feel it is vital to offer this to people who think they are not able to have their own pile. So much food can be put in the compost.	5/28/2020 2:09 PM
86	I have been a customer of Collective KC for almost a year and cannot believe how much compost we generate in our family of 4. It should be required in PV as it was in my last city residence. I had been on the bi-weekly pickup and just increased it due to volume.	5/28/2020 2:06 PM
87	None	5/28/2020 2:02 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

88	Think food composer cld smell & animals would find it attractive and cause a mess in neighborhoods.	5/28/2020 1:50 PM
89	We have our own compost. We are not in favor of this program for three reasons. 1. Dog walkers allow dogs to urinate on the buckets on trash day. Not interested in bringing a soiled bucket back into my house. 2. Program is not cost efficient and is not environmentally efficient. Please contact MARC, they have done the research for you and it is available for free. 3. We don't trust that other residents participating in the program will compost the proper items. For example, we have watched our neighbor put dog poop in his compost pile for 17 years. No thank you. We will continue to use our own composting program in our back yard.	5/28/2020 1:48 PM
90	My concern is keeping rodents/varmints out of the food compost.	5/28/2020 1:48 PM
91	encourage people to do their own composting in their yard.	5/28/2020 1:41 PM
92	I think offering this to everyone would encourage everyone to use it! Even if they are not interested at first, once they have the means to do it and see their neighbors using it, people will get on board!	5/28/2020 1:38 PM
93	This would really help with decreasing overall trash and help the environment. I love living in prairie village and would love to have this program here!	5/28/2020 1:36 PM
94	not in favor	5/28/2020 1:36 PM
95	Na	5/28/2020 1:24 PM
96	Neutral	5/28/2020 1:24 PM
97	All we need is one more truck service trolling around the city streets. Come on. People can compost in their own back yards now FOR FREE.	5/28/2020 1:23 PM
98	I do not want another container to store, so I think giving each resident options is important.	5/28/2020 1:21 PM
99	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 1:21 PM
100	It would be a very eco friendly choice.	5/28/2020 1:21 PM
101	cost to city. Amount of solid waste to avoid landfills.	5/28/2020 1:17 PM
102	A video of how the whole food compost process works Would be a huge benefit. You are asking our opinions without explaining or providing examples of what qualifies as food compost. Providing the pros and cons would also be helpful. Would all the restaurants be included in this endeavor? That would be a significant consideration on whether this is a good idea. Please respond to these concerns.	5/28/2020 1:16 PM
103	I think this could reduce our general solid waste	5/28/2020 1:13 PM
104	A small container that can be easily hosed out. Would plastic be allowed? Currently I freeze my food waste in plastic bags and put in the trash.	5/28/2020 1:12 PM
105	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 1:04 PM
106	Keep up good work	5/28/2020 1:03 PM
107	Some houses with gardens already compost food and some yard waste, so not all residents will need this service.	5/28/2020 1:02 PM
108	Gathering info from other cities who offer or require this service. I know the city of Seattle WA requires it.	5/28/2020 1:02 PM
109	Nothing I would pay extra for. It should be optional.	5/28/2020 1:00 PM
110	I just signed up for compost service and will be paying \$30/month. I know this is not something everyone can afford. I think you will be surprised at how many more people would compost if the service was available at a lower price. I do think some people will fight it if we increase cost so the opt in option is a good compromise. Good luck	5/28/2020 1:00 PM
111	A super majority of residence should want this program before it's implemented. I'd prefer to have glass recycling before this.	5/28/2020 12:54 PM
112	.	5/28/2020 12:52 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

113	N/A	5/28/2020 12:52 PM
114	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:52 PM
115	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:49 PM
116	I think that there are some people who don't even believe in climate change - we need people to look at the big picture and recognize what we're doing to our planet - i hope wise people will make that decision to care about the earth.	5/28/2020 12:49 PM
117	Kill it. No need. Waste of time and money. We have very little food compost items and would likely never use it, even if free. Bad idea.	5/28/2020 12:45 PM
118	I am not aware there is a significant need for this. If this is being considered I encourage the City to provide more information on its desirability.	5/28/2020 12:45 PM
119	I think it would really take off once people started using it. Education and promotion would go a long way in getting everyone on board.	5/28/2020 12:43 PM
120	No comment.	5/28/2020 12:42 PM
121	I am concerned about potential odor. After speaking with Jamie, I would be in favor of a company that provided lined containers from which the liners would be removed, leaving a clean, newly lined receptacle.	5/28/2020 12:42 PM
122	All residents should be provided with the specific recycling container like for compost and plastic	5/28/2020 12:42 PM
123	N/A	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
124	I already compost my food and will continue doing it myself . I do think resident s should be able to opt in and those not wishing to participate should not be charged.	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
125	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
126	I'm no expert. I'm sure you are looking at other cities that are successful with this type of program.	5/28/2020 12:37 PM
127	None	5/28/2020 12:37 PM
128	NA	5/28/2020 12:37 PM
129	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:36 PM
130	1	5/28/2020 12:36 PM
131	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:35 PM
132	I used to take ours to Whole Foods. I am not willing to go into a store any longer. I would love to have a service here. I have been taking it to a friend's house in KCMO since March.	5/28/2020 12:34 PM
133	None	5/28/2020 12:32 PM
134	Haven't heard of this option and would be interested in knowing what might be collected and how it works.	5/28/2020 12:30 PM
135	It would make less messy trash, and be beneficial for the soil. Perhaps residents could pick it up for use in gardens?	5/28/2020 12:28 PM
136	None	5/28/2020 12:27 PM
137	I don't think the city should get involved! Zig the food composting is not hand;Ed correct;y, there could be a problem with mice z& rats!	5/28/2020 12:26 PM
138	It would help lead to a more sustainable community. We use a bi-weekly service that we pay for separately, now. I don't think many people know about it.	5/28/2020 12:26 PM
139	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:24 PM
140	If food compost can just be added into yard waste that seems the easiest and most convenient way for all residents. Especially if there's no difference in the treatment of yard waste vs. food waste.	5/28/2020 12:21 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

141	None	5/28/2020 12:20 PM
142	Glass pick up would be nice as well	5/28/2020 12:19 PM
143	N/a	5/28/2020 12:17 PM
144	I don't think they should offer food waste composting	5/28/2020 12:17 PM
145	A	5/28/2020 12:13 PM
146	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:13 PM
147	At this time I haven't given much thought to this type of program.	5/28/2020 12:10 PM
148	I wouldn't be interested in the FOOD compost	5/28/2020 12:07 PM
149	I currently compost through "Compost KC" so perhaps they could be of assistance. It will also be important to make it known what can and cannot be composted. People will realize they will compost more than throw away trash. I really really hope this passes and we are composting regularly. We are past due for this.	5/28/2020 12:04 PM
150	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:03 PM
151	Love the idea. Would be flexible with however you offered, to make it happen would be great.	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
152	nA	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
153	Small homes lack space for another bin. Ensuring rodents and insects are considered.	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
154	nothing to add	5/28/2020 12:01 PM
155	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:01 PM
156	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:00 PM
157	Varmint proof collection container for food waste	5/28/2020 12:00 PM
158	Offering this service will further improve quality of life and the community's commitment to being better global citizens.	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
159	If the program moves forward and food waste containers are provided, they should be much smaller than the trash/recycle bins. Preferably, items could be out put in paper bags or personal containers (similar to current yard waste).	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
160	really don't need it. breeds all sorts of insects and animals	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
161	I am very excited that you were looking into offering this program!	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
162	Prairie Village I don't really have an opinion	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
163	I strongly believe that it should be an option & not have the fee passed on to every resident.	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
164	I think it could cause a rodent problem.	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
165	None	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
166	The pick up needs to be timely to prevent animals from bothering them and prevent smell. I strongly disagree with offering this a home owner can compost themselves if they care to.	5/28/2020 11:54 AM
167	Environmental impacts of composting food waste rather than having it go into a landfill	5/28/2020 11:53 AM
168	None	5/28/2020 11:52 AM
169	In my opinion there is absolutely NO reason to raise assessments to provide this service. You can compost in your back yard if you wish.	5/28/2020 11:51 AM
170	I'm not completely sure of the benefits of a food compost program or how it relates to being picked up with yard waste. Does the food compost get combined with the yard waste anyway? Disposing of yard waste for me is more of a necessity - I'm not sure if I'm doing something good for the planet or not but that would be a bonus, I suppose. Without knowing the benefit of food composting, I'm happy to include it with my trash. The benefits of glass recycling for the planet seem more evident to me.	5/28/2020 11:50 AM
171	N/A	5/28/2020 11:49 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

172	Opt-in or out.	5/28/2020 11:49 AM
173	I'm not interested in the program at this time.	5/28/2020 11:48 AM
174	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:48 AM
175	If the city were to pick up compost on a weekly basis, that would save many of us from having a compost bin in our backyard, which can attract animals like raccoons, etc.	5/28/2020 11:48 AM
176	This is unlikely to work well, I can picture all kinds of issues, including animals getting into the containers, etc. We have our own composter, so that is probably one reason I don't want to participate.	5/28/2020 11:47 AM
177	I am afraid people would not use this service as intended and it could become a health hazard as food waste can attract insects and vermin.	5/28/2020 11:47 AM
178	maybe weekly in warmer months, bi-weekly in cooler	5/28/2020 11:46 AM
179	N/A	5/28/2020 11:46 AM
180	None	5/28/2020 11:45 AM
181	My concern is that meat, milk products and other items can contaminate the compost. This is from info that I've read in the past. How are you going to regulate that?	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
182	I think it's a great idea.	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
183	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
184	None	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
185	Honestly, I don't feel well enough informed or educated on the subject to determine whether or not this would be good for residents and city??	5/28/2020 11:42 AM
186	I think this is a great offering and could benefit the city and residents in many ways.	5/28/2020 11:42 AM
187	N/A	5/28/2020 11:41 AM
188	I would only charge those that want to do it	5/28/2020 11:41 AM
189	Not sure	5/28/2020 11:40 AM
190	We compost food scraps and would probably continue to do so. But I realize that not everyone can or is willing to do this, so I support a city-wide program, and would support a modest tax increase to keep compostable food scraps out of the landfills.	5/28/2020 11:40 AM
191	Indifferent to idea	5/28/2020 11:39 AM
192	Please do this!	5/28/2020 11:37 AM
193	Don't think it's needed.	5/28/2020 11:36 AM
194	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:35 AM
195	I home compost, but I don't include meats and dairy or other items that take a long time to break down, so accepting these would make the service worthwhile to me. I fully support encouraging everyone to embrace this option as it is immensely beneficial to reduce landfill waste and greenhouse gas emissions.	5/28/2020 11:35 AM
196	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:34 AM
197	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:34 AM
198	None	5/28/2020 11:33 AM
199	Not sure I understand the need for food composting as a home owner. I personally plan my meals so that we have little if any waste.	5/28/2020 11:32 AM
200	N/A	5/28/2020 11:32 AM
201	N/a	5/28/2020 11:31 AM
202	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:30 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

203	Also we could have a class on outdoor backyard composting	5/28/2020 11:30 AM
204	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:28 AM
205	N/A	5/28/2020 11:28 AM
206	Love that you're considering this!	5/28/2020 11:27 AM
207	-	5/28/2020 11:23 AM
208	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:10 AM
209	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:01 AM
210	My homeowner's association does not use PV waste collection services so my opinions may not be relevant but I do support it!	5/28/2020 10:57 AM
211	N/A	5/28/2020 10:55 AM
212	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 10:53 AM
213	I do not want to participate or pay for this.	5/28/2020 10:51 AM
214	Its not necessary.	5/28/2020 10:50 AM
215	majority vote	5/28/2020 10:49 AM
216	None	5/28/2020 10:41 AM
217	na	5/28/2020 10:34 AM
218	I would opt to pay for a compost program if we were able to receive the final "composted soil product" allow for pickup or delivery options, if not then I would prefer to compost myself.	5/27/2020 4:36 PM
219	none	5/27/2020 3:08 PM
220	Keep it reasonable	5/27/2020 12:38 PM
221	Not at this time	5/27/2020 11:26 AM
222	Prairie Village	5/27/2020 10:12 AM
223	I really don't think this is a priority right now.	5/27/2020 9:56 AM
224	Sanitary implications of storage of food scraps between pick up days.	5/27/2020 8:50 AM
225	My suggestion is to not have one. I'm tired of the city finding every way possible to keep raising property taxes.	5/25/2020 10:17 PM
226	Make sure cost is reasonable and that it won't be a mess on the curb (appropriate containers provided)	5/24/2020 11:07 PM
227	Will compost be made available to participants in the program	5/24/2020 10:02 PM
228	I would let the company collect it in whatever method makes it easiest for them.	5/23/2020 8:32 AM
229	Many cities have this type of program available and it can have a huge impact on the environment. I think if this program is started, it would be good to do some education around its benefits and how to do it, so that those who are less informed and may be resistant to using it would know more about why it is so beneficial.	5/22/2020 11:21 PM
230	Prairie Village	5/22/2020 7:51 PM
231	Prairie Village	5/22/2020 12:47 PM
232	n/a	5/22/2020 12:15 PM
233	Prairie Village	5/22/2020 11:16 AM
234	Prairie Village	5/22/2020 10:57 AM
235	I'll do whatever you decide - hope it happens!	5/22/2020 10:34 AM
236	Prairie Village this is very unsanitary and not necessary residents already are unsanitary with their trash and recycling	5/22/2020 10:10 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

237	No suggestion	5/22/2020 7:27 AM
238	Na	5/22/2020 6:13 AM
239	Please do this! It is an important climate action solution with so many benefits. And healthy soils/nutrient dense food relies on maintaining organic matter in a living cycle	5/22/2020 2:38 AM
240	I hope this measure becomes an option for residents.	5/21/2020 11:25 PM
241	Would the resulting compost be available to citizens in PV who participated in the collection program ? I would still participate , but would love to reap the reward!	5/21/2020 10:53 PM
242	We currently use KC Compost Collective and the items we can put in our compost bucket is extensive. I would want any city program to be the same	5/21/2020 6:06 PM
243	Nothing additional to add	5/21/2020 5:54 PM
244	I would think that whatever makes sense on the whole I am in. I do think, like Wisconsin, that you should not be charged for recycling but on TRASH, which would help with our landfills. Perhaps, the same could be said for composting. I love that we are such a progressive city and we appreciate your service and time. Stephanie Ulsh 8302 Howe Drive Prairie Village• KS 66206 Styleandcompany@yahoo.com	5/21/2020 5:43 PM
245	I don't think monthly compost would work. Gases/smell would build up too much. We just started composting again and easily fill a 5 gallon bucket in a week. We are cooking more often and have lots of rinds, cores, etc.	5/21/2020 2:27 PM
246	I LOVE this idea and have been hoping it would come up since there really isn't anything easily available for an individual. My only concern is if the packaging marked "Compostable" would be able to be composted as well. I know those type of products are usually only compostable in an industrial setting.	5/21/2020 12:37 PM
247	N/	5/21/2020 11:44 AM
248	None	5/21/2020 11:28 AM
249	Great idea and I would use it! Games recycling as well as food composting. Any way we can help our environment would be amazing. We need to make sure that the compost is used properly and not just put in the dump. Do you have a companies chosen that will recycle the glass and compost?	5/21/2020 8:07 AM
250	This program would require people spend their time sorting the scrapes, real estate would be used to store it, flies and rodents attracted to the houses, the pickup trucks use extra gas. The volume of the recovered compost would be minuscule compared with the yard waste. Bad for the economy, bad for the environment.	5/21/2020 7:54 AM
251	Against this - totally. I grew up with a "garbage" container back by the alley of our house. It stunk. It drew flies. There were maggots. Please - don't bring this to our neighborhoods. If they want to compost it, compost it. If they want it reused at organic farms, take it to the farmer's market for them to take home. It would bring in animals to feast on this. Where is this coming from? Totally bad idea.	5/20/2020 11:16 PM
252	I think it's a wonderful idea	5/20/2020 11:13 PM
253	I think this program is a waste of money	5/20/2020 11:00 PM
254	Prairie Village, there should be more education and advocation on the benefits of composting.	5/20/2020 10:31 PM
255	Together with the county and Deffenbaugh, I organized a curbside compost pickup pilot in Normandy Square (PV). The program was a success, with solid levels of participation and satisfaction. The program ended when PV terminated the Deffenbaugh contract. I STRONGLY encourage getting input from participants in the Normandy Square pilot. They will have educated opinions about bin size and style, program benefits and drawbacks, etc. Please contact me to discuss. I maintained data that I can share and can put you in touch with folks who would be happy to share their experiences as participants in the composting pilot. Thank you!	5/20/2020 10:10 PM
256	Waste of money and time	5/20/2020 8:48 PM
257	Educating citizens on to be successful with food compost.	5/20/2020 8:30 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

258	Na	5/20/2020 8:30 PM
259	None	5/20/2020 7:52 PM
260	I currently compost at home, but strongly encourage the composting program. Food waste is a huge problem.	5/20/2020 7:52 PM
261	It needs to be frequent or it will smell and rot and folks won't do it.	5/20/2020 7:43 PM
262	As an apartment renter, I would love to be able to participate.	5/20/2020 7:02 PM
263	How will you prevent animals from eating what is set out? For those of us that do not want this program, you should have provided a N/A options in the questions about cost, containers, frequency, etc.	5/20/2020 6:46 PM
264	It seems like not worth the cost.	5/20/2020 5:19 PM
265	In LA my cousin places it directly with the yard waste, which works well. My biggest concern is if it not done correctly (i.e. dropped in street, not picked up in a timely manner, no communication for delays, ect.) It will create odor and sanitation issues. Doesn't food compost in the heat of trash bags in the landfill?	5/20/2020 4:54 PM
266	Definitely would appreciate it!	5/20/2020 3:58 PM
267	Very interested in composting but I've never done so myself. I answered the questions based on my limited knowledge but would be open to a variety of set ups that make the most sense for composting and the city	5/20/2020 3:38 PM
268	I don't need this program	5/20/2020 2:52 PM
269	We actually have our own compost, but good to recycle as much as possible, so depends more on how many will use it.	5/20/2020 2:48 PM
270	Thank you for looking into this. I hope it happens!	5/20/2020 2:38 PM
271	I am not sure weekly is necessary - but bi-weekly will be hard to track - so either is fine. Having a designated container would also be good - so that there is no confusion.	5/20/2020 2:22 PM
272	Keep food out of the landfills.	5/20/2020 1:55 PM
273	I am for it because half of our trash items are compostable.	5/20/2020 1:04 PM
274	Would you offer those who opt in a chance to then get soil/fertilizer back or what would you plan to do with the compost?	5/20/2020 11:45 AM
275	Keep on spending that money boys and girls, typical of government at any and all levels.	5/20/2020 11:43 AM
276	I have no interest in this as I already do this myself in our yard and dont need more trucks driving around the neighborhood belching more exhaust into the air.	5/20/2020 11:43 AM
277	Na	5/20/2020 11:11 AM
278	Would be a great way to collect food waste in our neighborhoods!	5/20/2020 10:51 AM
279	N/a	5/20/2020 10:47 AM
280	Prairie Village	5/20/2020 10:35 AM
281	I would also like to see the option of receiving compost (for free / included with fees) as part of the program. This is standard for companies I have researched.	5/20/2020 10:31 AM
282	We do our own food composting and the city should publicize and promote doing so. But from what I can see of our neighbors' inability to follow guidelines for recycling, I don't have any hope of composting program being successful.	5/20/2020 10:24 AM
283	Should not be offered, allowing food waste to be incorporated into yard waste may sound easy but people would include the carry out packages/foil wrap with food waste.	5/20/2020 10:19 AM
284	Fixed income and cannot afford.	5/20/2020 10:19 AM
285	I love this idea, but I do my own compost so I wouldn't use it.	5/20/2020 10:14 AM
286	I think any food left out at the curb would attract animals. I am not in favor of this program at all	5/20/2020 10:14 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

287	Thanks for thinking of this	5/20/2020 9:58 AM
288	There will be a lot of interest and participation from those who may have been weary about home composting.	5/20/2020 9:40 AM
289	Spoiled & rotten food will attract animals & insects potentially spreading disease. A nightmare to execute.	5/20/2020 9:30 AM
290	I love this idea, and was totally jealous that I couldn't participate in the pilot program!	5/20/2020 9:28 AM
291	Really?	5/20/2020 9:21 AM
292	Prairie Village	5/20/2020 9:20 AM
293	Not a necessary service for our household	5/20/2020 9:10 AM
294	I'm so happy you're considering it and appreciate this survey. How successful has it been in other communities?	5/20/2020 8:47 AM
295	.	5/20/2020 8:45 AM
296	Prairie Village	5/20/2020 8:30 AM
297	It would be great if we all could embrace this, however, I seriously doubt there would be correct compliance. I cite looking at the recycle bins overflowing with non-compliant materials.	5/20/2020 8:16 AM
298	Do NOT do this! It is easy to compost in our own yards!!!	5/20/2020 7:38 AM
299	Make dan sure that if people are going to have taxes and fees raised that they know about it before you sign us up!	5/20/2020 7:38 AM
300	It is not worth the extra expense.	5/20/2020 7:34 AM
301	I think this would be a great idea - it would be great if we participated in the compost, to find a way to take advantage of some of the results of the compost - such as some soil for yards and gardens in return for participating. Also, every since I saw a news story about a compost program in Austin TX, I thought this would be a good fit with PV, especially since there is a large cycle community - I am happy to see you are taking a survey on this effort but if a grassroots effort needs to take place - this company sounded like something PV could be a part of and possibly implement? https://bikeaustin.org/2015/03/compost-pedallers/	5/20/2020 7:32 AM
302	More education to the residents may be required on why PV is considering this and why it is important to participate - less food in the trash dump, less food in the water stream, a better understanding of how much food is wasted per household, etc. I'm sure residents will also have questions about smells, bugs, rodents. Info on how those are handled/mitigated might also help those on the fence to understand how the system would work.	5/20/2020 7:01 AM
303	N/A	5/20/2020 6:52 AM
304	I voted to have it be for everyone, but I'd also be fine with an opt in model as a second choice. I love this idea!	5/20/2020 4:10 AM
305	Please do it!!!	5/20/2020 1:08 AM
306	NA	5/20/2020 12:31 AM
307	This is an older area with older homes more prone to rodents. This would improve community health by reducing on premises compost.	5/19/2020 10:44 PM
308	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 10:29 PM
309	NA	5/19/2020 10:10 PM
310	Na	5/19/2020 9:16 PM
311	It might be a sanitation issue and attract pest and flies	5/19/2020 8:59 PM
312	Closed containers would keep animals from being attracted to compost collection.	5/19/2020 8:43 PM
313	I think the additional waste bins would be unsightly, don't care for the additional costs and I can imagine the smell	5/19/2020 8:40 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

314	None at this time	5/19/2020 8:39 PM
315	I would also like the option to get composted material from the vendor for home garden use.	5/19/2020 8:18 PM
316	Likelihood of participation and cost	5/19/2020 8:16 PM
317	We compost on our own and use the soil In our garden.	5/19/2020 8:12 PM
318	Not interested Would not participate	5/19/2020 8:11 PM
319	Food composting is a great idea with proper education.	5/19/2020 7:16 PM
320	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 7:04 PM
321	No suggestions from me.	5/19/2020 6:51 PM
322	We already compost	5/19/2020 6:43 PM
323	Focus on a lower cost over the collection method (with the yard waste or on its own).	5/19/2020 6:39 PM
324	I just started with KC Compost and have been very pleased	5/19/2020 6:27 PM
325	I would be concerned about the smell and attraction for wild animals	5/19/2020 6:17 PM
326	Find a way to avoid the bag sliding into the bucket repeatedly.	5/19/2020 6:09 PM
327	I like the idea, but am not sure how much we would use it. We already compost most everything but, Not items that don't break down well (i.e., meat, egg shells, onion skins, etc.).	5/19/2020 6:03 PM
328	Education of residents is key.	5/19/2020 5:47 PM
329	How many people would use it? Most homeowners or house renters could compost now.	5/19/2020 5:20 PM
330	I am in favor of any form this could be added to our city!!!! Thank you for considering and I think it will make a big difference!!!!	5/19/2020 5:04 PM
331	This is a not needed. What will You be collecting a feel banana peels, husks from sweet corn and a feel rotten tomatoes. Just does not make economic sense to me.	5/19/2020 5:01 PM
332	n/a	5/19/2020 4:47 PM
333	Concerned about smell and attracting animals	5/19/2020 4:21 PM
334	N/A	5/19/2020 4:07 PM
335	Food compost program could invite a rat problem.	5/19/2020 4:05 PM
336	I think a lot of people don't understand our current recycling program - what they can & can't recycle. I see lots of materials sticking out of recycling cans that don't belong there, e.g., plastic bags, styrofoam, and I really wonder how much of what we put in our recycling cans is and can be recycled. If it's contaminated with things that can't be recycled is the whole lot thrown out as trash? I think composting would exacerbate the issue. I've seen people throw dog waste in yard waste bags & trash cans and I'm betting some has gotten thrown into recycling cans. Some people just don't care about recycling rules or about following them.	5/19/2020 3:46 PM
337	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 3:40 PM
338	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 3:40 PM
339	I just think it's important that we all compost and take care of our earth.	5/19/2020 3:32 PM
340	None	5/19/2020 3:18 PM
341	I would LOVE it if the city would implement a compost program! Is there any way to partner with community gardens to distribute compost? Also, would items other than food be accepted for composting?	5/19/2020 3:11 PM
342	I'm not sure how this works in other cities, frequency of pick up, cost or method of collection but I would yield to advice or suggestions from those cities or entities that already do it. I may or may not use it but I don't mind paying for it if we all are paying for it. If only the specific people are paying for it that use it I think that it would be more expensive for them and would discourage doing it. If we already pay for it I believe more people would be inclined to do it.	5/19/2020 2:58 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

343	Currently a ton of my garbage is compostable I hate putting that in the garbage	5/19/2020 2:35 PM
344	We compost our own food and then adds that new dirt to our gardens. Would there be a program for city residents that already compost?	5/19/2020 2:29 PM
345	our area tried this 3-4 years ago and it was kind of a hassle and probably not worth the trouble	5/19/2020 2:25 PM
346	Offering a food compost program is a crucial step for keeping food waste out of the landfill. We could make a significant environmental impact by doing this.	5/19/2020 2:16 PM
347	Take into consideration bugs, vermin, coyotes and raccoons and smell	5/19/2020 2:14 PM
348	No more trucks on the streets! The trash trucks already make 5 passes per week.	5/19/2020 2:10 PM
349	We are careful with our food management. We have very little food waste. A program like this would not pay for our household.	5/19/2020 2:05 PM
350	NEED MORE INFO	5/19/2020 2:02 PM
351	We would participate regardless of the cost and frequency. We really would like it as a resident of Prairie Village it would be a great benefit.	5/19/2020 2:02 PM
352	The vendor indormation should be shared through the Village Voice and participation is paid by those ordering the service.	5/19/2020 1:55 PM
353	I'm willing to recycle, but you've already gouged me enough on my property tax. We are seniors on a fixed income, but the PV seems to be more interested in catering to the wealthy.	5/19/2020 1:52 PM
354	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 1:51 PM
355	We currently compost. What would happen with the compost? Will it be available to residents?	5/19/2020 1:50 PM
356	Convincing people to compost in their yards and city resources to encourage that as preferable to compost pickup. Also, would people engaged in the compost program be eligible to pick up compost for their gardens?	5/19/2020 1:46 PM
357	None	5/19/2020 1:39 PM
358	It would probably be best to provide containers that are specifically suited for this to avoid possible issues with bad scents (especially in summer) and issues with animals getting into food waste.	5/19/2020 1:39 PM
359	What are the health issues related to putting foodcompostable item out on the curb? Not really sure how htis plays out.	5/19/2020 1:38 PM
360	X	5/19/2020 1:23 PM
361	no comments	5/19/2020 1:12 PM
362	Prarie Village	5/19/2020 1:11 PM
363	I would like more information about this - I want to recycle and help the environment as much as possible, so this sounds like a good idea, just would like more info.	5/19/2020 1:07 PM
364	n/a	5/19/2020 1:06 PM
365	Will residents have access to composted soil?	5/19/2020 1:05 PM
366	Composting is a bit of an 'acquired taste' that some residents might not want. I get it. I'd rather just pay for my composting rather than the requiring it from all residents.	5/19/2020 1:03 PM
367	n/a	5/19/2020 12:56 PM
368	None	5/19/2020 12:56 PM
369	None	5/19/2020 12:48 PM
370	Truthfully, I'm curious of the volume of residence that currently compost and would consider doing so as an additional service. I hadn't considered composting until participating in this survey.	5/19/2020 12:47 PM
371	this is super important given the amount of food waste	5/19/2020 12:40 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

372	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 12:39 PM
373	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 12:35 PM
374	I would suggest send out educational information to residents before rolling out the program to maximize the benefit and participation of the program.	5/19/2020 12:34 PM
375	Good idea	5/19/2020 12:30 PM
376	This is an ethical and functional obligation! The amount of compostable material crowding our landfills is wicked and foolish.	5/19/2020 12:25 PM
377	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 12:24 PM
378	No suggestions	5/19/2020 12:23 PM
379	Would like / need more info how the program would work. For example how would the food be stored in between pickups, not sure I would want just a bag or container of food scraps piling up for any length of time.	5/19/2020 12:22 PM
380	none	5/19/2020 12:21 PM
381	I may be naive & I will now read up on this, but what exactly is the problem ? What have people been doing with food waste ? I guess I'm not up to speed on this, but is it really an issue for folks ? Personally I'm not willing to pay, I don't even get the problem.	5/19/2020 12:21 PM
382	If provided to all residents and used, the city may be able to negotiate a better contract for solid waste pickup since it has less food waste.	5/19/2020 12:19 PM
383	Don't understand how it could be incorporated into yard waste, so not sure of that answer.	5/19/2020 12:17 PM
384	None	5/19/2020 12:16 PM
385	i think this would be an excellent idea. so much food waste occurs.	5/19/2020 12:16 PM
386	I would participate. It is easier when it's curbside. It's good for the environment.	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
387	I am currently using the service through Compost Collective so I support the use	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
388	Directions on how to compost for the program and not subject self to critters getting into it all	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
389	Cost and utilization	5/19/2020 12:11 PM
390	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 12:10 PM
391	would help lower greenhouse gas emissions and push PV to be greener! definitely support	5/19/2020 11:55 AM
392	Thank you for thinking of it. City can ask the company for reduced cost as they will make money out of selling compost.	5/19/2020 11:54 AM
393	Let's be role setters for KC metro area in our commitment to the environment.	5/19/2020 11:49 AM
394	N/a	5/19/2020 11:32 AM
395	It's important to make this as easy as possible for residents or many won't do it. Composting and recycling are so important!	5/19/2020 11:30 AM
396	None at this time	5/19/2020 11:29 AM
397	My only concern is having a container that seals well so it does not attract pests	5/19/2020 11:19 AM
398	Great idea!	5/19/2020 11:15 AM
399	I am support Taxes for the Prairie Village mission of outstanding Public Safety and Public Works. I also value your efforts at City Hall to make PV the shining star of Kansas. However I DO NOT support the glass and food collection program. I feel the program would be a grave misuse of tax payer funds. In the northeast area Ripple Glass Collection exist for FREE. You can compost at your residence for FREE. With this special interest program the taxpayer will be charged a fee and then the recycler can resell the collected product, glass or compost. This feels like a program of "double dipping" where the tax payer looses. I believe this project is being forced on the tax payer by a special interest that has personal relationships with city officials and elected officials. If this program moves forward then a competitive bid process should be used. Those that wish not to participate should not be force to pay for the program.	5/19/2020 11:12 AM

The food collection program was investigated 7-8 years ago. A trial period was conducted with food collection. The program was found to be unnecessary and ended after the trial period. Please adhere to the City's Hedge Hog concept of providing basic outstanding city services. Our Police Department and Public Works department are outstanding. Consolidated Fire District Two does a superior outstanding job. Water District One and Johnson County Waste Water are outstanding as well. Please never forget the 3 Million dollars of tax payer funding wasted by Shawnee Mission Public Schools on the new Mission Valley Library. The eye sore Mission Chateau development that now occupies a former pillar corner stone of the community. Let us not forget our tax money being wasted to support a private for profit developer at PV Shops and Corinth. Please continue your outstanding work for the City of Prairie Village. Even though my views most likely do not reflect your views on this project please remember I value you each of you and your contribution and efforts to continue making Prairie Village an outstanding city and community.

400	The container would need to have a lid to keep animals out of it once it's placed beside the curb.	5/19/2020 11:07 AM
401	Fruits and vegetables scraps can be composted at home.	5/19/2020 10:57 AM
402	Glass recycling residential curbside	5/19/2020 10:56 AM
403	I am not interested in this program.	5/19/2020 10:50 AM
404	I think a compost program is a great idea.	5/19/2020 10:46 AM
405	Try educating the community about backyard composting for food waste as well as leaves and grass. I have been doing it for years. It seem's to me that if this is a separate pickup from yard waste that the energy used negates what MAY be a positive for food recycling. But I don't see how it's possible that just moving food waste to a different location to deteriorate has ANY positive effect on the environment. DO NOT make me pay for this since I will not be using it.	5/19/2020 10:45 AM
406	Seattle had a great program!	5/19/2020 10:41 AM
407	Food waste produces methane in landfills. It is bad for the sewage and wastewater systems. This is s good program.	5/19/2020 10:40 AM
408	Large-scale composting is not as effective at breaking down matter as small-scale composting and home composting is ridiculously easy. It does not make sense to pay to create a negative environmental impact with collection, bins, and a large compost landfill. If the city values composting, I would prefer the city provide assistance with bulk pricing on backyard compost bins.	5/19/2020 10:40 AM
409	I think it would be a great option for those interested.	5/19/2020 10:39 AM
410	Not interested in food compost program	5/19/2020 10:36 AM
411	I don't think this is a good idea. I think individual residents should be composting in their own yards. By collecting the compost, it is adding cost and resources to a practice that should be done in a residents own yard. Pickup is not sustainable. Guidelines and education in composting should be implemented instead of the pickup. Not all residents practice an organic lifestyle either.	5/19/2020 10:29 AM
412	Remember that not everyone will use this service so adding to our taxes Gould jot be done	5/19/2020 10:29 AM
413	We currently pay for the composting program and I am surprised how much it decreases our weekly trash.	5/19/2020 10:28 AM
414	Don't wish to have it.	5/19/2020 10:14 AM
415	It is a waste of time and money for me since I don't throw food away. I don't call egg shells, banana peals, orange peals, or apple cores food waste.	5/19/2020 10:10 AM
416	Prarie Village	5/19/2020 10:09 AM
417	None	5/19/2020 10:07 AM
418	Make it as easy to use as possible Also, I think if everyone paid for it, it increases the chances that more people would participate. I'd be willing to pay separately, though.	5/19/2020 10:04 AM
419	.	5/19/2020 10:04 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

420	Food waste containers must be very secure in order to prevent animal problems. We are strongly in favor of weekly pickup with cost added to property tax for all Prairie Village residents.	5/19/2020 10:03 AM
421	It's hard to believe we haven't had this implemented yet. With so many of my neighbors using compost collective anyway, it only makes sense to make their routes more environmentally friendly and add everyone. Seems like a no brainer!	5/19/2020 10:02 AM
422	If I were you, instead of having an opt-in program, you should have an opt-out system, where people would specifically have to ask not to have it. Most people will balk initially, but once they see it, they'll like it.	5/19/2020 9:59 AM
423	Great idea! I'm not sure that I would compost, but I'm sure there are people that do, and if I knew more about it, I might read up on how to compost.	5/19/2020 9:44 AM
424	Na	5/19/2020 9:41 AM
425	None	5/19/2020 9:37 AM
426	Don't do this. It was determined years ago to be an unsanitary practice. Why do you think large cities take extreme measures to keep garbage bins secured from rats and mice and other pests? I know we live in PV, but guess what, those creatures are roaming are neighborhoods at night. I have trailcam footage to prove it. I keep hearing about how the landfills are full of this food. And yet we're told it breaks down when recycled. Have you ever been to a landfill? It's one big hole in the ground, and all of this food is breaking down and returning to the earth. It is NOT filling the landfill. The cheap, plastic crap people keep buying and throwing away is what's filling them.	5/19/2020 9:35 AM
427	It's a good idea	5/19/2020 9:34 AM
428	Food waste combined with the yard waste could create an opportunity to have a city compost facility that could then charge a small fee for residents to purchase compost to be reused in yards and cut down on chemical fertilizers.	5/19/2020 9:34 AM
429	N/A	5/19/2020 9:33 AM
430	A waste of tax dollars.	5/19/2020 9:31 AM
431	offer an incentive for homeowners to use their own compost in their yards. A tax break if they do?	5/19/2020 9:30 AM
432	Don't really have an opinion on this, though good idea to offer	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
433	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
434	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
435	I prefer city funds be directed to capital improvements. Those who wish to compost already have multiple options for doing so.	5/19/2020 9:26 AM
436	.	5/19/2020 9:23 AM
437	I mean why not!?	5/19/2020 9:22 AM
438	N/A	5/19/2020 9:21 AM
439	MARC just presented KC's greenhouse gas emissions assessment & waste is top 3 of our emissions issues. If residents knew this and had ongoing education provided by the city as well as created help groups on media like next door and FB I think over time adoption of the program would increase. If the city decides to do yard waste and compost together you'd need a 3rd bin the size of our trash and recycling. I think we should renegotiate our trash rates because there will be less trash as a result of separating out compost. I get it that there is a multi year contract in place for trash but this will reduce their hauling thus we should be paying them less. In fact could send the survey out again with this last point added.	5/19/2020 9:21 AM
440	My answers were based on what I would prefer others to do as I already compost all of my food waste.	5/19/2020 9:18 AM
441	We already backyard compost kitchen waste but if this would encourage people to participate I think it's great!	5/19/2020 9:17 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey		SurveyMonkey
442	N/a	5/19/2020 9:17 AM
443	unsanitary on many fronts	5/19/2020 9:17 AM
444	Thank you for considering!! Food waste is such a huge problem; I hope lots of education would be included with the program.	5/19/2020 9:15 AM
445	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 9:14 AM
446	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 9:14 AM
447	Cost and participation rates	5/19/2020 9:12 AM
448	It would be great if we were able to get compost once broken down if we opt in. That would be great to add to my raised beds.	5/19/2020 9:11 AM
449	Open to other options than we selected. We would love this!!	5/19/2020 9:10 AM
450	I am not in favor of curbside composting service.	5/19/2020 9:10 AM
451	These questions imply I support the program. I answered to get through the questions. I don't wish to pay for a service I do in my yard to benefit my gardens. Will the compost company offer free yard compost to residents similar to the way the city through defenbaugh did several years ago? This seems like a unnecessary service when you consider tax burdens and services the city could fund like lowering pool member ships or offer family/community programs	5/19/2020 9:09 AM
452	If it is offered and carried out, would we be offered a chance to collect finished compost to use in home gardens? That would be my consideration to offer.	5/19/2020 9:08 AM
453	I believe only a small percentage of residence would have interest in this. If I'm not participating, which I won't be, I do not want to pay for it.	5/19/2020 9:07 AM
454	ONLY if those who participate are charged - those of us not interested should not pay a penny.	5/19/2020 9:07 AM
455	I'd like to know the advantages of offering this program.	5/19/2020 9:06 AM
456	Too damn many big trucks driving around already in this town.	5/19/2020 9:04 AM
457	I don't understand why people who want to compost simply don't do it in their backyards themselves, this seems like an extremely wasteful thing unless the community then has access to the compost dirt this would create	5/19/2020 9:03 AM
458	This should not be subsidized by the city/taxpayers. Rather, the city should negotiate a reduced rate with a vendor that is contingent on google fiber-style sign up numbers. If the members of council can't encourage enough people to sign up, individuals can still sign up for these services for their own homes.	5/19/2020 9:02 AM
459	We currently use Compost Collective and love it! Would love to see it offered citywide and open to a variety of the options you outlined!	5/19/2020 9:01 AM
460	We would really like to have this service!	5/19/2020 9:00 AM
461	none	5/19/2020 9:00 AM
462	Please do not make everyone pay for this program. Only those who want it and will use it should pay. Some of us have already paid to set up our own working home composting system.	5/19/2020 8:59 AM
463	Please do this! It's good for the environment. Just like recycling, you may have low participation at first because people are learning, but it's important to instill this in residents and provide a value that no other nearby municipalities can provide. This is a long game strategy, but young people here will grow up learning about composting and it will become part of their routine. My hometown was one of the first in Kansas to do mandatory recycling, and now almost everyone my age (30) from my hometown is an avid recycler. Let's do that with composting here!	5/19/2020 8:58 AM
464	Mine currently goes in the trash would love to have the compost option	5/19/2020 8:56 AM
465	n/a	5/19/2020 8:55 AM
466	Clear requirements regarding what to include, exclude, etc. Also specific directions for how to accumulate and store between pick-up times.	5/19/2020 8:55 AM
467	None	5/19/2020 8:54 AM


City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

468	Anyone concerned about the wildlife increase or smell of a compost program?	5/19/2020 8:54 AM
469	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 8:54 AM
470	Not Necessary	5/19/2020 8:52 AM
471	None	5/19/2020 8:51 AM
472	N/A	5/19/2020 8:51 AM
473	Na	5/19/2020 8:50 AM
474	na	5/19/2020 8:50 AM
475	Don't charge everyone this fee. Some will not use the service.	5/19/2020 8:49 AM
476	Cost and likelihood of use	5/19/2020 8:47 AM
477	We already use Compost Collective.	5/19/2020 8:45 AM

Q8 Do you think the City should offer a curbside glass recycling program citywide?


Answered: 969 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	73.99%	717
No	12.69%	123
Neutral	13.31%	129
TOTAL		969

Q9 If the City were to offer a curbside glass recycling program citywide, an increase to the annual solid waste fee may be needed. Currently, residents on the City's trash contract pay \$228 per year for trash, recycling, and yard waste collection. This equates to \$19 per month. How much are you willing to pay for a citywide glass recycling program in addition to your current solid waste bill?


Answered: 971 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Between \$10 - \$15 per month	4.63%	45
Between \$7 - \$9.99 per month	8.75%	85
Between \$4 to \$6.99 per month	24.20%	235
Between \$1 to \$3.99 per month	36.87%	358
I am not willing to pay any additional for curbside glass recycling	25.54%	248
TOTAL		971

Q10 If the City were to offer a curbside glass recycling program citywide, how would you prefer for the program to be structured?


Answered: 963 Skipped: 10



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
By letting residents opt-in to the program and only charging those who participate through an assessment on the property tax bill.	20.15% 194
By letting residents opt-in to the program and pay for it directly through the vendor.	27.10% 261
By including all households in the program and distributing the fee evenly among everyone (similar to the current structure for trash, recycling, and yard waste).	52.75% 508
TOTAL	963

Q11 If the City offered a curbside glass recycling program citywide, how often would you prefer for this service to be offered?

Answered: 944 Skipped: 29



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Weekly	38.77%	366
Bi-Weekly	28.28%	267
Monthly	32.94%	311
TOTAL		944

Q12 Please share any suggestions you would like the City to consider in determining whether or not to offer a citywide curbside glass recycling program.

Answered: 421 Skipped: 552

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I don't have any suggestions	5/31/2020 11:18 PM
2	I don't think glass needs to be picked up as often as it stores easy and we're all used to storing it. A monthly pickup would of course be confusing to people until we got in the swing of things, like the first _____ of each month. Thank you for offering these options, I hope. Michael Lubbers	5/31/2020 10:01 PM
3	It's free to recycle glass with Ripple glass while supporting a local business. I request not being charged for curbside pick-up for something that I use for free at my convenience when I make a stop at a Ripple glass bin.	5/31/2020 8:47 PM
4	Having it's own container for pickup	5/31/2020 1:52 PM
5	I know PV already has vendors that curb side pick up glass collection. I'm still OK with using the Ripple glass collection. I'm not sure that people that are not using Ripple, or the curb side collection we already have would even bother with recycling glass. The old fart that lives next to me does not use his recycling container now. Everything goes in the trash.	5/31/2020 1:13 PM
6	None	5/30/2020 11:19 PM
7	Prairie Village	5/30/2020 7:55 PM
8	Educate PV residents on the benefits of glass recycling. Prhaps parnter with Ripple Glass?	5/30/2020 4:56 PM
9	I wouldn't want glass going into a trash fill. If this can be a separate recycling program, I'd be open to this. I think it will be very important to see if this will be recycling or trash.	5/30/2020 2:02 PM
10	Including all households and evenly distributing the cost would encourage recycling. Everyone uses glass, so everyone can benefit.	5/30/2020 12:42 PM
11	PV residents already are among the top users of Ripple Glass recycling services, but it would be great to have glass picked up at our homes. Very likely more would get recycled.	5/30/2020 11:29 AM
12	Prairie Village	5/30/2020 9:40 AM
13	Again, flexible. Drop off works okay but curbside would be nice. Once a month with proper bin could work. I fthis won't work expanding number of drop off sites would be nice. Understand the Corinth drop off has highest volume of any location. Again, if included in base for all it could encourage more recycling.	5/29/2020 5:42 PM
14	I think it is a great idea.	5/29/2020 1:15 PM
15	I take my glass to the Ripple bin and compost my waste in a backyard compost bin	5/29/2020 1:10 PM
16	N/a	5/29/2020 12:43 PM
17	I don't really care about this service, since I'm recycling glass already by taking it to collection container.	5/29/2020 11:38 AM
18	Again, consistency and simplicity. Make it the same as existing trash service and will get the most participation. We fully support the addition of both compost and glass recycling for all residents. Curbside will encourage greater participation, reduce waste and benefit out environments. Prairie Village can be a leader and set the example for our surrounding communities!!	5/29/2020 11:05 AM
19	If it is included along with all others, more will participate.	5/29/2020 10:51 AM
20	Weekly or biweekly would be fine for glass Even monthly since it takes longer to fill the bin.	5/29/2020 10:11 AM
21	Prairie Village	5/29/2020 9:08 AM
22	If I had to choose between compost & glass pickup I would pick compost, however both would be wonderful. There are Ripple bins available for glass now, there is no compost drop-off.	5/29/2020 8:44 AM
23	Prairie Village	5/29/2020 8:35 AM
24	None	5/29/2020 7:40 AM
25	Na	5/29/2020 5:49 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

26	No suggestions.	5/29/2020 12:35 AM
27	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:58 PM
28	N/A	5/28/2020 11:43 PM
29	.	5/28/2020 11:06 PM
30	Again, I don't want this service and don't want to pay for it. If offered it should be an opt in only-not city wide.	5/28/2020 10:43 PM
31	Ripple glass is close and very convenient .	5/28/2020 10:40 PM
32	As there is demand in KC for recycled glass, this should be a program that has higher priority versus compost. In addition, it should be a higher priority to keep glass out of landfills.	5/28/2020 9:52 PM
33	No suggestions at this time	5/28/2020 9:39 PM
34	We use glass bandit now and like them	5/28/2020 9:38 PM
35	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 9:33 PM
36	Our landfills are too full and the human race generates too much trash. That's reason enough.	5/28/2020 8:29 PM
37	None	5/28/2020 8:17 PM
38	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 7:34 PM
39	Is there a market for recycled glass?	5/28/2020 6:47 PM
40	I currently pay \$5 a month for twice monthly pick up from Glass Bandit. I could even decrease to once a month. I have been very satisfied with them. They provided a bin and send email reminders before pick up.	5/28/2020 6:46 PM
41	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 6:08 PM
42	N/A - not interested. I take mine to Ripple for free.	5/28/2020 6:02 PM
43	NA	5/28/2020 6:00 PM
44	Maybe neighbors / HOA could share cost ...	5/28/2020 5:56 PM
45	This would be great!	5/28/2020 5:30 PM
46	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 5:28 PM
47	I am unwilling to pay any more for this service when I can drop it off myself for free.	5/28/2020 4:50 PM
48	I'd love to have this program.	5/28/2020 4:43 PM
49	none	5/28/2020 4:35 PM
50	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 4:30 PM
51	Unfortunately, there would be glass breakage on the streets even though the trash people would be trying to be careful. We are not for this at all and feel that it could cause safety problems with the broken glass.	5/28/2020 4:22 PM
52	Ripple glass serves us just fine. We would not use a glass pickup service as long as Ripple is available. The current trash,recycle,yard waste is plenty adequate for us. as	5/28/2020 4:21 PM
53	We think the curbside glass recycling is very important!	5/28/2020 4:07 PM
54	N/A	5/28/2020 3:38 PM
55	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 3:11 PM
56	Would the glass be placed in the bin with other recycling? Rippleglass seems ok for me but I'm sure it's too inconvenient for some people to use.	5/28/2020 3:11 PM
57	Yes, yes, yes!	5/28/2020 3:08 PM
58	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 3:08 PM
59	I don't use that much glass, and what I do have I take down the street to the Ripple glass	5/28/2020 3:07 PM

	recycling bin once a month. Would not want to pay extra for this service!	
60	?	5/28/2020 3:02 PM
61	They can take glass to ripple glass bin if they want to recycle	5/28/2020 2:54 PM
62	If offered, we'd prefer the pickup to the same day as our trash and recycling.	5/28/2020 2:52 PM
63	thanks.	5/28/2020 2:49 PM
64	none.	5/28/2020 2:48 PM
65	If we can add glass to the current method of recycling (one mixed bin), that would be ideal. If not possible, then a separate glass bin that is set out with other bins, on the same schedule.	5/28/2020 2:47 PM
66	We are not really in favor of this program. PV residents currently have a FREE service thru Ripple that has their bins located at both Corinth Shopping Center and the Village. They are conveniently located less than 2 miles from all residents. We keep a container in our garage and take the glass to Ripple about once a month. Easy. We understand that some residents may not be able to lift a container of glass bottles ... so a pay-to-use option would be great for them.	5/28/2020 2:37 PM
67	Costs are already too high... keep costs down	5/28/2020 2:31 PM
68	N	5/28/2020 2:30 PM
69	I lived in several other states and biweekly p/u worked great. The only concern was broken glass residue when using open bins instead of closed bins	5/28/2020 2:25 PM
70	Less trash & landfills of materials that can be resourced. Plenty of local companies can use the recycled glass for reposing products	5/28/2020 2:16 PM
71	Same, make sure it is being recycled and not thrown in the landfill.	5/28/2020 2:12 PM
72	Pretty simple now but curbside even better.	5/28/2020 2:10 PM
73	Use Ripple Glass Such great customer service and Chamber of Commerce partner- Great Kansas City Company. Glass bandit has not been good with our curbside- not customer friendly.	5/28/2020 2:06 PM
74	I subscribe to my own glass recycling curbside program because I hate bringing dirty glass to a Ripple drop off where my car could also drive over broken glass. This is the only city I know of that does not have glass recycling as part of the mainstream infrastructure. So glad to see this survey!	5/28/2020 2:06 PM
75	If I could only choose one, I would prefer glass recycling.	5/28/2020 2:02 PM
76	We are not in favor of the glass program for four reasons. 1. Ripple glass is a mere four minutes from our house, is free and supports a local business's efforts in recycling glass for their bottled products. We combine trips to the Ripple dumpster with our grocery shopping so we are not using any extra energy unnecessarily. 2. This is not an economical program, nor is it environmentally efficient. Again, MARC has completed extensive research on this subject. Please ask them to provide the detailed results. They will give it to you for free. 3. Dog walkers allow their dogs to urinate on the glass bucket. We are not interested in bringing a soiled bucket back in the house. I'm very surprised your staff hasn't already provided this information to the Governing Body. 4. Glass near the street can cause injuries to pedestrians and especially curious children. We witnessed kids digging around in the yellow bins during the Pilot program. Kids are curious, especially about drinking alcohol that might be left in the bottles on the curb. Also with COVID19 in mind, this program is not sanitary. Finally, glass is dangerous, which is why years ago Deffenbaugh Disposal quit the glass recycling program. It was costing them too much in worker's comp claims as their employees were getting cuts and abrasions from handling the glass.	5/28/2020 1:48 PM
77	Only if they remove the option to deposit the glass at the big glass recycling containers at various retail areas.	5/28/2020 1:48 PM
78	Vendor can recoup expense by selling the glass.	5/28/2020 1:41 PM
79	This is such a great way to get people to recycle! Services like this are why I love living in Prairie Village!	5/28/2020 1:38 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

80	None	5/28/2020 1:24 PM
81	I would really use this! I take glass to Ripple now	5/28/2020 1:24 PM
82	Ripple offers a FREE glass recycling program. We tried to do this several years ago and it was a failure. Let people get off their lazy rear ends and take their glass to Ripple. It is a local company who put tons of their own money into the program and they deserve to reap the rewards. Enough social engineering from our city council. We already were ramrodded into a huge fee increase for the trash service we currently had with NO input. Quit looking for ways to spend MY money. Thanks .	5/28/2020 1:23 PM
83	I don't need another container to put out on trash day.	5/28/2020 1:21 PM
84	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 1:21 PM
85	Cost to administer the program? Amount of waste that will be eliminated from the landfill.	5/28/2020 1:17 PM
86	Will the current glass recycling company no longer have dumping sites? Will the current glass recycling company be the one hired to do the pickup? Has a survey been done to see how many people use the current glass recycling system in Prairie Village?	5/28/2020 1:16 PM
87	This would be a great addition to our regular trash and recycling services.	5/28/2020 1:13 PM
88	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 1:07 PM
89	Any version of a program like this would be welcome.	5/28/2020 1:06 PM
90	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 1:04 PM
91	?	5/28/2020 1:03 PM
92	Gather info from other cities who are currently offering this service.	5/28/2020 1:02 PM
93	In summary....All proposed considerations should be made OPTIONAL.	5/28/2020 1:00 PM
94	I am trying to use less plastic and more glass as it is infinitely recyclable. If we are to motivate people to recycle their glass, curbside pickup is a good incentive. I hope this goes through! Good luck	5/28/2020 1:00 PM
95	If the ripple glass bins will still be available I think it is very easy to just take it there. If not, then I would want glass recycling	5/28/2020 12:56 PM
96	Residents should be provided with a container for glass	5/28/2020 12:55 PM
97	.	5/28/2020 12:52 PM
98	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:52 PM
99	we already participate in glass recycling through a private vendor. It would need to cost less than that.	5/28/2020 12:51 PM
100	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:49 PM
101	There are already private businesses doing this, and I wish they could be utilized as part of the program, since it will essentially shut them down if they aren't.	5/28/2020 12:48 PM
102	Please start it soon!	5/28/2020 12:47 PM
103	I do recycle glass thru the purple glass collection containers. I drop off a bin every 2-3 weeks. It would be nice to have at curbside either monthly or every 2 weeks, but I wouldn't be willing to pay anything for it. Current system is adequate for me.	5/28/2020 12:45 PM
104	I am comfortable with bringing my glass to central recycle collection points. I don't support an added fee for the ability to have curb-side pickup.	5/28/2020 12:45 PM
105	I think it would really take off once people started using it. Education and promotion would go a long way in getting everyone on board.	5/28/2020 12:43 PM
106	No comment.	5/28/2020 12:42 PM
107	I chose the monthly pick-up because I don't generate enough glass for weekly and biweekly would be awkward to remember. The same week each month would be easier for me to remember.	5/28/2020 12:42 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

108	Provide a container for it	5/28/2020 12:42 PM
109	N/A	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
110	I just take my glass to the purple bin in Cornith. Please don't eliminate it.	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
111	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:40 PM
112	Is there a way to evaluate whether or not curbside glass recycling has significant benefits over taking it to the glass drop offs currently offered? Would more people participate.? Would there be a downside if the company that currently accepts glass no longer had glass coming in?	5/28/2020 12:37 PM
113	None	5/28/2020 12:37 PM
114	NA	5/28/2020 12:37 PM
115	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:36 PM
116	,	5/28/2020 12:36 PM
117	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:35 PM
118	Worried about glass in the streets. I am fine with the Ripple dumpsters we currently have.	5/28/2020 12:34 PM
119	Please Please have Glass Recycling Program!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Please	5/28/2020 12:33 PM
120	None	5/28/2020 12:32 PM
121	This would be handier than taking glass and struggling to get into the big glass dumpsters...I would totally go for this.	5/28/2020 12:30 PM
122	I prefer glass containers to plastic for many environmental and health reasons. This would encourage manufacture and purchase of food in glass containers.	5/28/2020 12:28 PM
123	None	5/28/2020 12:27 PM
124	When the city offered glass recycling in the past there was ALWAYS broken glass in the street on the day glass recycling was picked up! I got tired of sweeping up g;ass!	5/28/2020 12:26 PM
125	Incorporate with plastic recycling. I used to live in Lawrence and that is how they did it.	5/28/2020 12:26 PM
126	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:24 PM
127	We recently moved to PV from out of state - we were totally shocked that we could not recycle glass here.	5/28/2020 12:22 PM
128	None	5/28/2020 12:20 PM
129	I think this service should be offered more than the compost option, but both would be a great way to recycle and reuse.	5/28/2020 12:17 PM
130	I would not pay extra if Ripple Glass bins were still available for drop off of glass	5/28/2020 12:17 PM
131	A	5/28/2020 12:13 PM
132	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:13 PM
133	Would the ripple sites still be available? They seem to be very well maintained and useful	5/28/2020 12:11 PM
134	This is a great idea! But it's hard to pay for it when there's a drop off for glass that's free down the street.	5/28/2020 12:10 PM
135	We would love this option!	5/28/2020 12:09 PM
136	I would be interested in the GLASS recycling but I use very few food items in glass, so it would not be worth it to me to pay extra— especially when I can drive or walk the short distance to the glass recycling dumpsters in the Village.	5/28/2020 12:07 PM
137	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:03 PM
138	Another option that would be great, would also be flexible with how it tolls out	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
139	Recommend residents be provided with specific container for glass recycling	5/28/2020 12:02 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

140	NA	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
141	I'm answering this based on the assumption the community glass recycle bins will stay available for my regular use. As owner of a small PV ranch, i do not produce enough waste to justify the cost of this service. Additionally, there is not room to store another bin like those from Republic in our small, one garage homes. The larger homes built after tear downs likely have more room, more residents and more waste, give them the option to have the service but don't penalize me.	5/28/2020 12:02 PM
142	it would make the recycling a better program than having to cart things to a special spot	5/28/2020 12:01 PM
143	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:01 PM
144	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 12:00 PM
145	Glass has a unique challenge(liability). What with numerous alternative collection sites in the city it would seem simpler to continue to use those. Problematic for those of us who are handicapped but not insurmountable.	5/28/2020 12:00 PM
146	Glass pick up should allow the use of combining glass with the current recycle items. If this is not possible, the containers should be much smaller than the current trash/recycle bins or allow for the use of personal container/bags.	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
147	glass recycling bins are in a variety of places. No big deal to take them there	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
148	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
149	Prairie Villageno opinion	5/28/2020 11:59 AM
150	Again, only charge those who are interested in paying for this service. Ripple glass is a convenient & free option!!	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
151	We have Ripple very close and it is convenient to drop off ourselves.	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
152	None	5/28/2020 11:58 AM
153	There should be a provided container specifically for glass.	5/28/2020 11:54 AM
154	This is needed!	5/28/2020 11:52 AM
155	NO need for this fee - We have a place we can drop off our glass in the Village shopping area for free.	5/28/2020 11:51 AM
156	N/A	5/28/2020 11:49 AM
157	Opt-in/out per household	5/28/2020 11:49 AM
158	Good idea. We don't have a lot of glass waste but if I do, I throw it in the trash now. It would be nice to have a bin to collect what we do use.	5/28/2020 11:48 AM
159	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:48 AM
160	None	5/28/2020 11:48 AM
161	We recycle all glass in the purple bins and I am content to continue doing that, but I think it would be more likely that others would recycle if we all were charged for it and had the appropriate bins. We had it before, and it worked well. The purple bin in the village is often full, so people are recycling a lot of glass, it's just more convenient to do it from our homes.	5/28/2020 11:47 AM
162	Recycling glass myself is not an inconvenience	5/28/2020 11:47 AM
163	I'm fine taking my glass to Rippel containers, but I understand not everyone can do that	5/28/2020 11:46 AM
164	N/A	5/28/2020 11:46 AM
165	None	5/28/2020 11:45 AM
166	Ripple glass has been providing this service around the metropolitan area. Prairie Village has been among the more productive contributors. What is the impact on this FREE service?	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
167	Great idea	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
168	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:44 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

169	None	5/28/2020 11:44 AM
170	I don't like the idea of curbside glass recycling. I think the breakage of glass that could occur would be hazardous for children, pets, etc	5/28/2020 11:42 AM
171	Once again if people want to do it then charge just them, not all residents	5/28/2020 11:41 AM
172	Not sure	5/28/2020 11:40 AM
173	We make use of the Ripple Glass collection sites. As long as these are still available, I don't see a strong reason to pay for curbside collection of glass.	5/28/2020 11:40 AM
174	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:39 AM
175	This for sure needs to be added citywide people recycle	5/28/2020 11:37 AM
176	If it's something I have to pay for I will use ripple glass	5/28/2020 11:36 AM
177	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:35 AM
178	I don't find it very inconvenient to drop glass recycling at a ripple glass dropoff point, but taking glass curbside would encourage others to use the service, which I support. Glass is infinitely recyclable, and Kansas City metro has great resources already for glass recycling between ripple and a fiberglass insulation plant. I'd be willing to pay slightly more even though I don't need it to encourage others to recycle too.	5/28/2020 11:35 AM
179	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:34 AM
180	Isn't Ripple Glass handling things well enough at present?	5/28/2020 11:34 AM
181	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:34 AM
182	None	5/28/2020 11:33 AM
183	We already have Ripple Glass bins in many convenient locations. Most containers are not made of glass so what little there is can be taken to a ripple glass dumpster as needed. The city has more important things to deal with than glass recycling.	5/28/2020 11:32 AM
184	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:30 AM
185	Love this!!!	5/28/2020 11:30 AM
186	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:28 AM
187	N/A	5/28/2020 11:28 AM
188	Thanks for considering this!	5/28/2020 11:27 AM
189	-	5/28/2020 11:23 AM
190	A study needs to be done to determine how much glass recycling would need to be collected to offset the extra pollution produced by the glass-recycling-trucks driving throughout the city to pick up that glass.	5/28/2020 11:12 AM
191	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:10 AM
192	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 11:01 AM
193	We take our glass to the glass container at Cornith parking lot. Very convenient and not much trouble. We only need to take it once a month.	5/28/2020 10:56 AM
194	Prairie Village	5/28/2020 10:53 AM
195	We drop our glass off regularly at 1 of the 2 PV glass recycle bins. It works great for us.	5/28/2020 10:51 AM
196	It is not necessary.	5/28/2020 10:50 AM
197	majority vote	5/28/2020 10:49 AM
198	None	5/28/2020 10:41 AM
199	Several of my neighbors are currently using an outside service for glass recycling so I know there is interest in the community.	5/27/2020 4:36 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

200	Prairie Village	5/27/2020 10:12 AM
201	We currently recycle all of our glass at the Ripple containers and see no need for curbside.	5/27/2020 9:56 AM
202	This would be an important addition to the City's recycling program.	5/27/2020 8:50 AM
203	Again, not interested. PV has a lovely purple glass recycling option I bring my glass to. It's not that hard, people.	5/25/2020 10:17 PM
204	We already have readily available locations to recycle glass. I do not want to pay extra for this service	5/25/2020 10:17 PM
205	I think this could be very helpful!	5/24/2020 11:07 PM
206	None	5/24/2020 10:02 PM
207	We already have the option to dispose of glass at certain locations.	5/23/2020 8:54 AM
208	While we use the drop off bin at the village shops, it would be nice to have a glass pick up and I would hope that more people would recycle their glass instead of throwing it away if this service was available.	5/22/2020 11:21 PM
209	Prairie Village	5/22/2020 7:51 PM
210	A low container would be ideal because for many of us it's a storage issue in our tiny garages.	5/22/2020 3:34 PM
211	I would like more information/education regarding this subject. Pros and cons.	5/22/2020 2:09 PM
212	Prairie Village not necessary. People can easily recycle glass at 2 places in P.V. Already. I don't want another services pick up on my street!! Same for compost. There should be an option where WE can take it to a compost, but I really don't want to walk and see a bunch of smelly compost at the sidewalk! I vote NO to pick up, but think it's great for us to take it somewhere:).	5/22/2020 12:47 PM
213	We use Ripple Glass frequently and drop it off in the Village container	5/22/2020 12:15 PM
214	We have the ability to do it ourselves with the purple dumpsters. There should be a steep charge on bottles, to be refunded when they are returned.	5/22/2020 11:35 AM
215	Prairie Village	5/22/2020 11:16 AM
216	Prairie Village I believe the compost is more of a need than glass. There are plenty of glass drop off locations in the village.	5/22/2020 10:57 AM
217	PLEASE! The Ripple glass is always over-flowing - we need this!	5/22/2020 10:34 AM
218	Prairie Village this should optional I have very very to no glass	5/22/2020 10:10 AM
219	No suggestion	5/22/2020 7:27 AM
220	Na	5/22/2020 6:13 AM
221	Glass is not as important as along as we have ripple glass. Compost is a priority. Glass would be a convenience	5/22/2020 2:38 AM
222	Less excited about this as it's so easy to take to Ripple. However, if it were offered and inexpensive enough, we would most likely participate. COMPOST for sure!!!	5/21/2020 6:06 PM
223	None	5/21/2020 5:54 PM
224	We have no issues collecting our glass and dumping it at one of the containers available around the city. Having it as an integrated program would be slightly more convenient and might get more people to recycle glass regularly. I don't think households go through enough glass to warrant weekly pickup.	5/21/2020 12:37 PM
225	i have been using Atlas Glass KC for 7+ years to pick up my glass once a month, it is \$7 or \$10, and to be honest I am not sure how I pay. I have reached out to the owner several times to make sure I am paying with no reply. They provide the red tub. The owner is a Veteran that work with Ripple. I sent the Mayor his information as he wasn't on the list of options.	5/21/2020 9:23 AM
226	See last comment.	5/21/2020 8:07 AM
227	Against - I pick up random small pieces of recycling and/or trash on the street or in my yard. Things that didn't quite make a perfect drop into the trash truck. So, I don't want random broken	5/20/2020 11:16 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

glass on any streets including mine. Please don't do this. Who needs it?

228	No comments	5/20/2020 11:13 PM
229	I used to recycle at ripple dumpster but it's a hassle. If you picked it up I'd do it again. Seems like slot of other cogoes include glass in their recycle program	5/20/2020 11:00 PM
230	Prairie Village	5/20/2020 10:31 PM
231	Again, waste of city time and money	5/20/2020 8:48 PM
232	Seems Ripple Glass is providing glass recycling to PV already. We takes our glass there approximately every 60-75 days. It works out very well.	5/20/2020 8:30 PM
233	Definitely interested in this. Seems wasteful that something that is recyclable is thrown away due to convenience. Only options right now are to haul to a local bin, which is inconvenient and often messy.	5/20/2020 8:30 PM
234	Big trash pick up once a month	5/20/2020 7:52 PM
235	Same day as trash please!	5/20/2020 7:43 PM
236	As long as Ripple Glass provides drop off bins, we have a working option to recycle glass. That is what I use. I object to curbside glass pick up because I see how trash is collected and how items are allowed to drop into the streets. If glass were collected this way, we would have a problem with broken glass in our streets. That would be bad for walkers and their pets.	5/20/2020 6:46 PM
237	Also don't think this is worth the cost. I like the glass recycling drop offs at Corinth square.	5/20/2020 5:19 PM
238	I prefer continuing to use the purple container in the Corinth Center to recycle glass. I would like for bars/restaurants in PV to participate in glass recycling. It works in Omaha, & Eureka Springs. The bars/ restaurants should pay for it and have it picked up once or twice a week. "They" throw away pounds and pounds of wine, liquor, and beer bottles every day. It all ends up in the landfill. It's an injustice.	5/20/2020 4:54 PM
239	To limit the amount of broken glass inherent with pick up and recycling, reducing the interval of pick up would be ideal.	5/20/2020 3:38 PM
240	I'd love this!	5/20/2020 2:52 PM
241	Thank you for considering!	5/20/2020 2:38 PM
242	This is a bad idea. As it stands the trash is left in the street after pick up. I imagine broken glass will end up in the streets and sidewalks, just as it does by the recycling bin. Glass is very loud and many people work from home.	5/20/2020 1:24 PM
243	No suggestions	5/20/2020 1:04 PM
244	Provide a separate glass bin to be set out.	5/20/2020 11:45 AM
245	The bins that Ripple offers are already sufficient for my needs.	5/20/2020 11:43 AM
246	Na	5/20/2020 11:11 AM
247	N/a	5/20/2020 10:47 AM
248	Prairie Village	5/20/2020 10:35 AM
249	Ripple Glass has been an amazing addition to the KC area over the last 10 years or so. We are close to a bin, and don't produce much glass waste, so I am neutral. We do recycle our glass, probably every 3-4 weeks. My only concerns would be spillage (and this broken glass), and use or resources - ie: fuel to transport such heavy items when ripple already has a streamlined process. I am definitely a supporter of all things reduce - reuse - recycle. Thank you for this survey!	5/20/2020 10:31 AM
250	I feel there are easy alternative options available to residents in our area.	5/20/2020 10:24 AM
251	Why bring this back when it was eliminated before for safety reasons? Also why is this needed when there is glass recycling available at the Village shopping center?	5/20/2020 10:19 AM
252	Fixed income challenges. Why do something already available for free	5/20/2020 10:19 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

253	This would be invaluable!	5/20/2020 10:14 AM
254	This would be fantastic	5/20/2020 9:58 AM
255	Go look at the broken glass surrounding the Ripple collection points, it's all over the ground. The Ripple sites are free to use. Why pay for something that is available for free.	5/20/2020 9:30 AM
256	Why duplicate services and charge money for services that are offered for free elsewhere	5/20/2020 9:21 AM
257	Prairie Village	5/20/2020 9:20 AM
258	We use the old small recycling bin from ren years ago to sort glass into now. A mini version of the garbage bins with wheels would work well due to weight of glass.	5/20/2020 9:10 AM
259	Everyone uses glass and it would help the elderly and comprised be able to recycle as well as promote more people to recycle. People love living in PV bc of the trees and this is a way to reinforce how we can keep our city (earth) beautiful.	5/20/2020 8:47 AM
260	There is always a lot of broken glass around the purple bins. I do not want it to be like this at my house where people may cut themselves or cut your tires. Plus - the purple bins are everywhere and easy to drop off.	5/20/2020 8:45 AM
261	Prairie Village	5/20/2020 8:30 AM
262	Same response as above for food waste.	5/20/2020 8:16 AM
263	Do NOT do this!! I can easily take my glass to the Ripple recycling bins!!!	5/20/2020 7:38 AM
264	This needs to happen! I live up the street from the Ripple Glass recycling bin in the Village. I love this program and I love that it is so close but it is often full, maybe not as overflowing as I have seen Corinth's bin but the constant sound of residents "tossing" glass (despite the posted signs) in does get old to listen to. I love how close it is to our house, I feel very lucky I can walk to the container (even if the glass is broken across the parking lot) but sometimes the pickup frequency needs to be increased especially during the holiday season. The convenience of a curbside program, I believe will help increase the glass recycling that needs to happen, people LOVE convenience and it will help reduce the pile up of glass in the Village. I think many PV residents would be on board with this.	5/20/2020 7:32 AM
265	No need as Ripple large bin are avalaibleat Corinth	5/20/2020 6:15 AM
266	Please consider it!	5/20/2020 1:08 AM
267	NA	5/20/2020 12:31 AM
268	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 10:29 PM
269	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 10:10 PM
270	Na	5/19/2020 9:16 PM
271	We already have Ripple we can drop off for free. A monthly pick up of glass would be nice, but profitable for the company I would not want to pay, I don't have that much glass I'll take it to Ripple	5/19/2020 8:59 PM
272	None at this time	5/19/2020 8:39 PM
273	Monthly or even every other month would be adequate from my glass usage.	5/19/2020 8:18 PM
274	Participation and cost	5/19/2020 8:16 PM
275	We use the Ripple Glass bin in Corinth.	5/19/2020 8:12 PM
276	I take my glass to ripple bins I worry about glass breaking in the street. Possibly a hazard	5/19/2020 8:11 PM
277	We would definitely use curbside glass recycling!	5/19/2020 8:07 PM
278	I'm flexible on how often and fee structure	5/19/2020 7:34 PM
279	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 7:16 PM
280	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 7:04 PM
281	None	5/19/2020 6:51 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

282	We already have Ripple bins all over town.	5/19/2020 6:43 PM
283	I currently use a glass pick up service for bi weekly pick ups for \$7 a month. They are great	5/19/2020 6:27 PM
284	I think collection through centralized Ripple containers at grocery stores work well - at least for our household, the glass recycling volume is not big enough for even monthly collection (more like every 3 months for a glass recycling container).	5/19/2020 6:17 PM
285	I don't drive, and currently haul my glass bi-weekly By cycling with a trailer from home to the nearest recycling bin in Corinth. 20 minute round trip effort every two weeks. When I lived in Oregon in early '90's, Curbside glass recycling was standard. 30 years later PV hasn't got its act together yet!	5/19/2020 6:03 PM
286	We utilize the purple glass recycling now and it's convenient.	5/19/2020 5:47 PM
287	We normally just take our glass to the ripple glass container in Corinth Square, so I don't think I'd pay very much for it when we could do it ourselves.	5/19/2020 5:47 PM
288	Not as needed with all the deposit spaces thru town. I did subscribe to a glass pick up service a few years ago but it didn't seem as beneficial to myself when there is a drop off down the street.	5/19/2020 5:04 PM
289	This is not needed as there is the ripple program at the grocery stores no need for curbside pickup of glass unless you are consuming lots of beer, wine and kombucha	5/19/2020 5:01 PM
290	n/a	5/19/2020 4:47 PM
291	We already have glass recycling at convenient locations in PV. I don't think curbside is necessary for an additional cost.	5/19/2020 4:45 PM
292	It would be more convenient than driving glass recycling to Corinth Shopping Center	5/19/2020 4:21 PM
293	Ripple bins are widely available and easily accessed. I do know the Corinth & PV shopping center bins are 2 of the highest volume locations (a worker emptying the bin told me that) but I haven't ever not been able to recycle my glass. I see no need for curbside glass recycling.	5/19/2020 3:46 PM
294	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 3:40 PM
295	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 3:40 PM
296	it doesn't cost us anything to take our glass to the recycling and they are all close so I would not pay for it	5/19/2020 3:32 PM
297	Currently there are many free opportunities to recycle glass in PV. It does not seem coat efficient to duplicate this service.	5/19/2020 3:18 PM
298	I would love to see a separate receptacle for glass items to set out but also, I'm running out of room to keep these in my garage or back patio. Would you consider allowing residents to keep one of their trash or recycling bins on the side of the house? I have such limited space as it is!	5/19/2020 3:11 PM
299	I've seen other houses in PV have private companies that do it. I think that doing it city wide would make it more efficient and cheaper. We currently do ripple both at the Village and Corinth. I would prefer to have it curbside but I haven't gone the private way yet. I'm assuming that Ripple does it for free but at times I get annoyed when people drop the glass outside the containers (or maybe it falls outside / overfills) and also have seen kids breaking glass in the creek. I prefer Ripple to nothing at all. I moved from Lawrence, KS. They include glass in the normal recycling containers. Is that an option? That would be my favorite, not having an additional container...	5/19/2020 2:58 PM
300	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 2:35 PM
301	Would there be a separate container for glass recycling or would it go in with the other recycling container?	5/19/2020 2:29 PM
302	I recall that the previous glass recycling program was thought to be too risky for those collecting the glass. I think this would still be the case.	5/19/2020 2:28 PM
303	We use the "purple dumpster" in Corinth and that works fine - no need to have it at my house	5/19/2020 2:25 PM
304	So many containers are not glass anymore i don't see the need. We just take ours to the Corinth glass bin. There are just two of us so we don't acquire much glass	5/19/2020 2:14 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

305	no more trucks!	5/19/2020 2:10 PM
306	I am not willing to pay for glass recycling. We don't have that much. We take it to the Ripple dumpster about once a month. That works for us.	5/19/2020 2:05 PM
307	It's a great idea to have curbside recycling for glass. It would encourage for glass recycling.	5/19/2020 2:02 PM
308	Believe this would be a nightmare scenario and is not necessary with ripple glass nearby which is FREE	5/19/2020 1:57 PM
309	Same as composting.. user pays vendor.	5/19/2020 1:55 PM
310	Again, please consider those of us on fixed incomes. I can't afford to pay for any more services on my property tax.	5/19/2020 1:52 PM
311	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 1:51 PM
312	RippleGlass is so good...why do we need curbside?	5/19/2020 1:46 PM
313	The purple recycling bins work well.	5/19/2020 1:39 PM
314	provide containers specifically for this to avoid breakage on streets and sidewalks.	5/19/2020 1:39 PM
315	I take mine to Corinth regularly. However as I get a little older [70+] it might be convenient. My only issue, is broken glass. I've been places that did glass recycle and it can be a mess.	5/19/2020 1:38 PM
316	Glass Bandit is excellent.	5/19/2020 1:23 PM
317	no comments	5/19/2020 1:12 PM
318	Prarie Village	5/19/2020 1:11 PM
319	n/a	5/19/2020 1:06 PM
320	I'm happy taking our glass to the ripple container. But I think having it curbside would encourage more recycling.	5/19/2020 1:03 PM
321	Would continue to use Ripple if not available.	5/19/2020 12:56 PM
322	This would be much easier than having to collect glass and take it to glass recycling bins throughout PV.	5/19/2020 12:48 PM
323	Please consider GlassBandit as a vendor. We haven't begun using their services yet, but I would appreciate the convenience of glass recycling being picked up curbside. We do recycle glass in our household, but are TERRIBLE at remembering to drop it off. We live in a bit of a deadzone for ripple glass pickup locations.	5/19/2020 12:47 PM
324	this would be a wonderful service!!!	5/19/2020 12:45 PM
325	Ripple glass is convenient, so this is not as crucial	5/19/2020 12:40 PM
326	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 12:39 PM
327	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 12:35 PM
328	Special container would be appropriate	5/19/2020 12:34 PM
329	Ripple glass seems to work fine	5/19/2020 12:30 PM
330	I think we should do a better job of re-using glass. I'm very happy to have the "ripple" bins around town, and glass is comparatively easy to store between trips to a bin. Making it easier to recycle without any effort to encourage commercial re-use seems unwise. Also, we don't discard enough glass to make weekly collection efficient, but I'd hate to have to remember a different schedule.	5/19/2020 12:25 PM
331	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 12:24 PM
332	No suggestions	5/19/2020 12:23 PM
333	We currently use the purple bins in Corinth and 71st street shops. This seems adequate enough for us although I know some dont use it do to the effort needed. Also there is broken glass around the purple bins at times and would be concerned sidewalks could suffer the same fate depending on how thorough the collection is conducted.	5/19/2020 12:22 PM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

334	Great idea. The City could maybe even make money.	5/19/2020 12:21 PM
335	This is another issue I don't get. There are glass recycling bins all over. If a person is elderly or doesn't drive, I see that would be a problem if they don't know or have helpful neighbors. Is that the main problem?	5/19/2020 12:21 PM
336	It's nice to already have our recycling, solid waste, and yard waste assessed in our property taxes. Include glass recycling in that as well. Both things makes Prairie Village a more desirable place to live.	5/19/2020 12:19 PM
337	no need for this service with the purple bins around town.	5/19/2020 12:17 PM
338	This is a must do to help the landfills	5/19/2020 12:16 PM
339	there are enough glass recycling bins available now.	5/19/2020 12:16 PM
340	No comments	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
341	I currently am using Glass Bandit and pay \$5/month	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
342	Any frequency would be great. Monthly to weekly, whichever best. Would be a massive improvement to our recycling as so many don't make the effort to drive to drop off glass separately	5/19/2020 12:14 PM
343	No	5/19/2020 12:11 PM
344	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 12:10 PM
345	Use Ripple Glass Co	5/19/2020 11:34 AM
346	I am actually all for glass recycling as part of our weekly trash pick up, but it is already very convenient for us to take our container to the Ripple spots located in our area that it's not worth paying for. If the glass collection spots go away, then I would want the curb side option.	5/19/2020 11:30 AM
347	Ripple glass is easy to use and there is no out of pocket cost for me, except for gas.	5/19/2020 11:29 AM
348	We do use the Ripple containers for all glass recycling; I think it's a good local resource and would like to continue to make sure that organization is supported, and that bars and restaurants and other businesses have a place for their glass recycling too	5/19/2020 11:19 AM
349	Great idea!	5/19/2020 11:15 AM
350	I support Taxes for the Prairie Village mission of outstanding Public Safety and Public Works. I also value your efforts at City Hall to make PV the shining star of Kansas. However I DO NOT support the glass and food collection program. I feel the program would be a grave misuse of tax payer funds. In the northeast area Ripple Glass Collection exist for FREE. You can compost at your residence for FREE. With this special interest program the taxpayer will be charged a fee and then the recycler can resell the collected product, glass or compost. This feels like a program of "double dipping" where the tax payer looses. I believe this project is being forced on the tax payer by a special interest that has personal relationships with city officials and elected officials. If this program moves forward then a competitive bid process should be used. Those that wish not to participate should not be force to pay for the program. The food collection program was investigated 7-8 years ago. A trial period was conducted with food collection. The program was found to be unnecessary and ended after the trial period. Please adhere to the City's Hedge Hog concept of providing basic outstanding city services. Our Police Department and Public Works department are outstanding. Consolidated Fire District Two does a superior outstanding job. Water District One and Johnson County Waste Water are outstanding as well. Please never forget the 3 Million dollars of tax payer funding wasted by Shawnee Mission Public Schools on the new Mission Valley Library. The eye sore Mission Chateau development that now occupies a former pillar corner stone of the community. Let us not forget our tax money being wasted to support a private for profit developer at PV Shops and Corinth. Please continue your outstanding work for the City of Prairie Village. Even though my views most likely do not reflect your views on this project please remember I value you each of you and your contribution and efforts to continue making Prairie Village an outstanding city and community.	5/19/2020 11:12 AM
351	I am fine with the current process of transporting my own glass to the designated spots as needed.	5/19/2020 11:11 AM
352	Provide a covered container.	5/19/2020 10:57 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

353	We recycle our glass. Hauling it in our vehicles isn't an ideal situation.	5/19/2020 10:50 AM
354	I recycle glass already to the bins at Corinth and PV Shops. I only fill a bin about every 6 months and quite capable of disposing of them, so I definitely am not for cost sharing for pickup.	5/19/2020 10:45 AM
355	We would love glass recycling! But it's a raw material for the collectors - surely we should not be charged much for collection.	5/19/2020 10:40 AM
356	We are using one right now and love it!	5/19/2020 10:39 AM
357	Any recycling program should use Ripple Glass. I have had bad luck with the knock off companies that offer curb pickup.	5/19/2020 10:31 AM
358	Glass recycling pickup is expensive and not a sustainable use of resources. By picking up the glass it is a waste of fossil fuels and man power, not to mention a liability. Glass can be dangerous to handle and having it sitting by the street worries me for many reasons. People should be taking their glass recycling to the Ripple glass bins approximately every two months while running other errands as to not make a special trip.	5/19/2020 10:29 AM
359	Not sure why we would pay for it when there are large free collection places all over pv	5/19/2020 10:29 AM
360	We are part of the pilot program and find that we don't have that much glass to recycle. Previously we took our glass to the Ripple recycle container at Corinth	5/19/2020 10:28 AM
361	Ripple is at Corinth and I take it there and it costs me nothing. Keep that plan	5/19/2020 10:10 AM
362	Prarie Village	5/19/2020 10:09 AM
363	None	5/19/2020 10:07 AM
364	Make it as easy to participate as possible	5/19/2020 10:04 AM
365	.	5/19/2020 10:04 AM
366	Yes please!!!!!!	5/19/2020 10:03 AM
367	Separate special containers for glass pickup. We are strongly in favor of adding this to property taxes for all Prairie Village residents.	5/19/2020 10:03 AM
368	We love Ripple Glass! Make a program with them, not Glass Bandit.	5/19/2020 10:02 AM
369	I'm already paying for a private service to do this, so totally up for this being city wide. Thank you for your sustainability efforts!	5/19/2020 9:59 AM
370	Mixed recycling might be nice	5/19/2020 9:50 AM
371	I would definitely participate in this program. I think only those who want glass recycling should pay for it. I've already seen a number of neighbors who pay for glass bandit to come and pick up their glass. I think this would be more popular than composting.	5/19/2020 9:44 AM
372	Na	5/19/2020 9:41 AM
373	Ripple Glass makes glass recycling easy and free. The Prairie Village location is perfect for us. We load our container til it is full then just take it with us to dump there while we're out on other errands in the area. But I see a lot of people who just throw away their glass. Maybe curbside would help them to accept a new mindful practice. Thanks for offering!	5/19/2020 9:38 AM
374	I already utilize glass bandit and lobe that service and enjoy supporting a local business by doing so.	5/19/2020 9:35 AM
375	What are the statistics from the company that brought the yellow bins around several years ago? I haven't seen any at the curb in a long time. Were they making or losing money? What I have seen is a LOT of people using the purple dumpsters. They're making a (good) profit off of those, at no charge to the citizens. Do we have enough around town, and convenient enough for people to use? Are they promoted enough?	5/19/2020 9:35 AM
376	We just take ours down to Ripple bin in the Village	5/19/2020 9:34 AM
377	Glass is infinitely recyclable. This resource can cut down considerably on what we put into land fills.	5/19/2020 9:34 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey		SurveyMonkey
378	N/A	5/19/2020 9:33 AM
379	Another waste of money.	5/19/2020 9:31 AM
380	Is it worth the cost?	5/19/2020 9:30 AM
381	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
382	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 9:29 AM
383	I prefer the city resources be directed toward capital improvements. City residents already have several convenient options for recycling glass. Those who are concerned for the environment probably already do recycle, those not concerned are not likely to use the service.	5/19/2020 9:26 AM
384	a lot of residents don't want to take the time or simply to busy to put all the glass in their car then drive to a location for drop off. More convenient to offer curbside glass would get more recy recycled then.	5/19/2020 9:24 AM
385	.	5/19/2020 9:23 AM
386	Everyone has glass and everyone should recycle glass.	5/19/2020 9:22 AM
387	This would be awesome!	5/19/2020 9:21 AM
388	I think the vendor is great! I think it's kind of a nuisance to take my glass to the recycle bin at the square however this service would be a distant second to the compost due to reasons in my last response. I also think it would need to be a phased approach compost year 1-2. Glass year 2 and so on. People already need education on how to recycle (ex: 15 bowling balls thrown in recycle last year which stopped the MRF) too many bins will get people even more confused.	5/19/2020 9:21 AM
389	Would be nice - we haul to the ripple glass boxes. Would prefer weekly or monthly - too hard to keep track of every other week	5/19/2020 9:17 AM
390	some of us don't accumulate that much glass waste so the purple bins around the city work just fine	5/19/2020 9:17 AM
391	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 9:14 AM
392	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 9:14 AM
393	Cost and participation rate	5/19/2020 9:12 AM
394	With Ripple Glass so close and convenient, it isn't too hard to recycle on my own, though curbside would be nice.	5/19/2020 9:11 AM
395	Since we can easily take our glass to the village currently we wouldn't want to pay much for this addition. We would just keep taking it to the glass bin in the village.	5/19/2020 9:10 AM
396	I am not in favor of glass recycling curbside pick up.	5/19/2020 9:10 AM
397	These questions imply I support the program. I answered to get through the questions. PV already has glass bins around the city. these seem adequate. I understand the test program is collecting and taking to Ripple anyway. why pay for the free service offered. Unless you are a drinker, I have less than 5 pieces a week. a service cost we don't need with high property taxes already	5/19/2020 9:09 AM
398	There are small businesses that offer this service at a low cost. Implementing a citywide program could hurt these small businesses. I would ask that some consideration be given to these local operations, especially given our current circumstances with COVID 19.	5/19/2020 9:08 AM
399	Would hope that the service would be equal to or less than some of the private services.	5/19/2020 9:07 AM
400	I do not trust republic services, our current recycling provider. their service is just horrible and I strongly believe they should be replaced. I think it would be smart for the city to find a waste provider that can handle trash, and all recycling (including glass) needs.	5/19/2020 9:07 AM
401	ONLY if those who participate are charged - those of us not interested should not pay a penny.	5/19/2020 9:07 AM
402	Need a container that can be stored outside.	5/19/2020 9:06 AM
403	No comment.	5/19/2020 9:04 AM

City of Prairie Village Curbside Compost and Glass Recycling Resident Survey

SurveyMonkey

404	This should not be subsidized by the city/taxpayers. Rather, the city should negotiate a reduced rate with a vendor that is contingent on google fiber-style sign up numbers. If the members of council can't encourage enough people to sign up, individuals can still sign up for these services for their own homes.	5/19/2020 9:02 AM
405	Again, would be open to a variety of options on this! Great idea!	5/19/2020 9:01 AM
406	No suggestions. We currently pay an outside vendor for this service.	5/19/2020 9:00 AM
407	Thank you! An additional bin to collect glass would be helpful.	5/19/2020 9:00 AM
408	none	5/19/2020 9:00 AM
409	Glass recycling is already readily available in our community at no individual cost to us.	5/19/2020 8:59 AM
410	You could easily partner with Ripple. Integrating this service into current services seems like a no-brainer. I was surprised moving here that glass wasn't already included!	5/19/2020 8:58 AM
411	The Ripple Glass collection sites work well for most.	5/19/2020 8:55 AM
412	None	5/19/2020 8:54 AM
413	NA	5/19/2020 8:54 AM
414	Prairie Village	5/19/2020 8:54 AM
415	It is already easy to recycle glass using available glass recycling drop-off points like the one in the parking lot of the Village	5/19/2020 8:52 AM
416	None	5/19/2020 8:51 AM
417	It would be great to integrate it into the existing recycling program - allowing glass to be placed in the recycle bins as well	5/19/2020 8:51 AM
418	N	5/19/2020 8:50 AM
419	na	5/19/2020 8:50 AM
420	We have glass recycle bins in PV for glass, don't fix something that's not broken.	5/19/2020 8:49 AM
421	Ripple glass is a great option already	5/19/2020 8:47 AM

Due to restricted access to City Hall, most meetings will be held virtually. Please continue to check <http://pvkansas.com> for access details.

MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

Monday, June 15, 2020

VillageFest Committee	06/25/2020	5:30 p.m.
Independence Day (observed) – City offices closed	07/03/2020	
VillageFest To-Go	07/04/2020	
City Council	07/06/2020	6:00 p.m.
Planning Commission	07/07/2020	7:00 p.m.

=====

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS
June 15, 2020

1. Planning Commission meeting minutes - 05/05/2020
2. Arts Council meeting minutes - 05/06/2020
3. Environmental policy slides - Mr. Runion
4. Memo from Mr. Poling - Avoiding unnecessary barriers to implementing sustainability proposals

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES
MAY 5, 2020**

ROLL CALL

The Planning Commission of the City of Prairie Village met in regular session on Tuesday, May 5, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Board members attended a virtual meeting via the Zoom software platform. Chair Greg Wolf called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. with the following members present: Jonathan Birkel, James Breneman, Patrick Lenahan, Nancy Wallerstein, Melissa Brown and Jeffrey Valentino.

The following individuals were present via Zoom in their advisory capacity to the Planning Commission: Chris Brewster, Gould Evans; Jamie Robichaud, Deputy City Administrator; Mitch Dringman, City Building Official; Ian Graves, Council Liaison; and Adam Geffert, City Clerk/Planning Commission Secretary.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Valentino moved for the approval of the minutes of the February 4, 2020 regular Planning Commission meeting as presented. Mr. Lenahan seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

PC2020-104 Amendment to Special Use Permit
4801 W. 79th Street
Zoning: R-1A
Applicant: Kansas City Christian School

Mr. Brewster stated the application was an amendment to the existing special use permit at Kansas City Christian School to allow the addition of daycare services. The prior permit, most recently amended in 2017, only allowed for kindergarten through 12th grade students. He noted that enrollment at the school would not change, nor would any major construction be required since the daycare would be located in an existing room. Drop-off and circulation for the daycare would be a part of the same traffic flow and timeframe as the rest of the school.

Mr. Brewster reminded the Planning Commission that a special use permit requires the Planning Commission to evaluate facts, weigh evidence, and make a recommendation to the City Council based on balancing the “Golden Factors” outlined in the zoning ordinance. Staff recommended approval subject to the nine conditions found in the existing special use permit, along with an additional tenth condition:

1. The applicant shall meet all conditions and requirements of the Planning Commission for the approval of a site plan.

2. The Special Use Permit not have a termination or expiration time established for it.
3. If the applicant violates any conditions of the zoning regulations and requirements as part of the Special Use Permit, the permit may be revoked by the City Council.
4. The applicant cannot further expand or amend the Site Plan without an amendment to the Special Use Permit requiring a public hearing before being approved.
5. Kansas City Christian School adopt a policy that all students will park on site and develop a procedure for implementation and enforcement of the policy.
6. The number of designated high school classrooms shall be limited to 12.
7. No more than four busses shall be parked in the rear of the school when not picking-up or dropping-off, and shall not idle more than five minutes during pick-up and drop-off.
8. Kansas City Christian provide to the City at the beginning of each school year an updated student count reflecting the number of students in each grade and the number of classrooms use for each grade level.
9. The permit anticipates a projected enrollment capacity of 525 students, and any enrollment significantly beyond this capacity or reconfiguring of classrooms that creates impacts beyond those anticipated by this baseline may require a revised site plan or may result in revocation of the permit at the discretion of the City.
10. Daycare classrooms are permitted within the previously approved number of classrooms (17) and capacity limits (525), provided hours and operational procedures remain comparable and similar to early elementary students, and that all necessary Kansas Department of Health and Education licenses and approvals are acquired prior to operating a daycare.

Mr. Birkel asked if there would be a conflict between vehicles entering and leaving from the northeast entrance to the building. Mr. Brewster said that the traffic pattern was consistent with what was approved in the 2017 special use permit amendment.

Applicants Todd Zylstra, Head of Kansas City Christian School, and Amy McGruder, Elementary Principal, attended remotely via Zoom. Mr. Zylstra stated that the school currently had approximately 100 fewer students than the maximum amount allowed under the special use permit, and wished to add a daycare in an effort to increase future kindergarten enrollment. Ms. McGruder added that the daycare would function as a preschool, and only be comprised of 3-5 year olds. She noted that the Kansas Department of Health and Environment determined the maximum number of children allowed in the classroom.

Mr. Wolf opened the public hearing at 7:25 p.m. With no one attending the Zoom meeting to speak, Mr. Wolf closed the public hearing at 7:26 p.m.

Based on the Planning Commission's consideration of the Golden factors, Mr. Breneman made a motion to approve the amended special use permit, subject to the conditions recommended by staff. Mr. Valentino seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

NON-PUBLIC HEARINGS

PC2020-105 Site Plan Review - Fence with Exception
4300 W. 63rd Street
Zoning: R-1B
Applicant: Nate Scherzer

Mr. Brewster provided background on the application, noting that the applicant was requesting an exception to the fence standards to construct a fence in the side yard that did not meet the required setback. The proposed fence would replace an existing, approximately 4' high, chain link fence with a 6' high wooden privacy fence. The existing fence is placed at the property line, rather than at the 5' setback required by the zoning ordinance. This location aligns with the fence on the property to the rear, creating a continuous fence line along Delmar in the rear yards of each property.

Mr. Brewster added that staff recommended approval with the following considerations:

- This proposal having a side yard configuration on a street with an attached sidewalk (proposed fence location 10' from curb with approximate 5' grass strip between fence and sidewalk);
- All lots on this segment of Delmar having a similar side yard configuration;
- The fence is replacing an existing fence and aligned with the fence to the rear;
- All fencing being proposed is located in the rear yard of the lot (no side of house or front of house); and
- The proposed fence will meet all other standards other than the required setback.

Nate Scherzer, the applicant and property owner, said that he preferred to keep the existing uniform appearance with the home to the north, as well as to maintain the same rear yard dimensions.

Mr. Birkel made a motion to approve the exception to the fence standards. Mr. Breneman seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

OTHER BUSINESS

Amended Planning Commission Bylaws

Mrs. Robichaud noted that the Planning Commission reviewed the existing bylaws at its previous meeting, at which it was observed that additional updates needed to be made in the document. Commissioners sent recommendations for changes, which were included in the packet.

Mrs. Wallerstein made a motion to replace the word “elect” with “appoint” in Article 3, paragraph 4, entitled “Secretary”. Mr. Breneman seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

Mr. Valentino made a motion to change the required number of votes in Article 6, paragraph 2, entitled “Suspension of Rules”, from 3/4 of the members of the Commission (6 members) to 2/3 (5 members). Mr. Lenahan seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

Mr. Breneman made a motion to switch the order of Article 4, paragraph 5, entitled “Appearances before the Commission”, with Article 4, paragraph 6, entitled “Incomplete Submittals”, and to switch the order of Article 4, paragraph 7, entitled “Commission Action” with Article 4, paragraph 8, entitled “Motions after Public Hearing”. Mr. Lenahan seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

Mr. Lenahan made a motion to change Article 4, paragraph 1, entitled “Regular Meetings”, to state “meetings shall adjourn no later than 10:00 p.m.” Mr. Birkel seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

Mr. Lenahan made a motion to approve the remaining edits made prior to the meeting by Mrs. Robichaud. Mrs. Wallerstein seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to come before the Commission, Chair Greg Wolf adjourned the meeting at 7:58 p.m.

Greg Wolf
Chair

PRAIRIE VILLAGE ARTS COUNCIL
Prairie Village City Hall – 7700 Mission Road
Multi-Purpose Room
Wednesday, May 6th, 2020
5:30 P.M.

PLANNING COMMITTEE AS A WHOLE

EVENT REPORTS

March Show Kathy Clark, March curator, reported that some artwork has been removed, Katherine Bello's, but the remaining pieces (including the glass pieces) need to be removed. Jamie Robichaud let Kathy know that she can schedule the other removals after 5/26/2020. The MPR is reserved for June 1st for the Arts Council already, so that would be a good day. Keep the crew to just a couple of volunteers, and they (and artists) will need to wear masks and practice social distancing as much as possible. Staff will put out hand sanitizer and lysol wipes.

Art of Photography 2020 Shelly Trewolla, April curator, reported that the show has been moved online for a virtual show. Some pieces have been received at City Hall, but most have not. Dan is creating an online store and uploading the images. Shelly would have liked to move the show to July, but because of the in-person effort to frame all of the pieces, it just isn't feasible. We will have the images juried virtually, and will add a People's Choice award to engage the public.

May Art of Special Olympics & Arbor Day Posters Dan Andersen, May curator, reported that this show has been cancelled.

June One-Woman Show Shelly, June curator, would like to move this to July in please of the State of the Seniors, and the artist, Donna Yeager, is available. Shelly will continue working on that.

July Art of Seniors Paul, July curator, reported that this show has been cancelled due to timing issues and concerns for seniors' health.

PLANNING

Second Friday Logo Paul reported that no change so far. Waiting for the next marketing committee meeting. Now scheduled for 5/27/2020.

Consolidation of documents/photos online and accessible No update.

Chamber in Chamber future events Sheila suggested that all events be cancelled through the summer, because the whole orchestral industry right now is in a bad way due to social distancing requirements. It was suggested that we publicize other organizations' virtual events to keep our fans engaged.

PV Art Fair Cancelled.

Marketing Website plan or options still not concrete. Julie Hassel to finalize cancellation with Wan Security.

Intern Discussion about possibility of a college-age intern to help with marketing and additional scope TBD, and whether that is a paid position or not since the Arts Council has no budget for that in 2020 or 2021. Paul is researching class credit vs. salary for this type of position and he, along with some of the marketing committee will put together a scope of work.

Having concluded the Planning Committee as a Whole, the meeting was adjourned at 6:34 P.M.

BUSINESS MEETING

Our chairperson, Bonnie Limbird, called this evening's meeting to order at 6:34. Council members present via Zoom were Dan Andersen, Betsy Holliday, Sherrod Taylor, Paul Tosh, Al Guarino, Julie Hassel, Kathy Clark, Sheila Evans, Nancy Maxwell, Shelly Trewolla, Bonnie Limbird, Ron Nelson, and Jamie Robichaud (city staff liaison).

After **Roll Call and Introductions**, the **Agenda** was approved with added items to vote on cancelling Wan Security service, cancelling arts shows through July, cancelling Chamber in the Chamber, moving Donna Yeager one-woman-show to July, and adding a People's Choice award to the Art of Photography.

Jamie Robichaud, City of PV Deputy City Administrator, presented the current **City Hall Reopening Plan**.

The **Consent Agenda** was unanimously approved after a motion by Kathy Clark and a second by Al Guarino.

City Council Report – Ron Nelson reported that at the last meeting Council discussed the possibility of mandating mask-wearing. If you have any questions or comments, please contact your council member or the city clerk.

Al asked about a ribbon cutting ceremony for the new **bicycle statue** at 71st and Mission (not installed yet), and Ron advised that nothing has been set yet, but the Arts Council should be included in that event.

A discussion of the **2020 Budget Update** included overview of expenses that have continued despite not having any shows or in-person events: web hosting, constant contact, etc.. **Constant Contact** is a trial that we've been using since before for the first of the year at \$70.00/month coming out of the marketing budget, and Dan wants to revisit that product in June to be discussed more at the next planning meeting. Dan moved and Shelly seconded the motion, and the motion passed.

As of now, due to COVID-19 cancellations, we expect to be in a cash-positive position at the end of the year.

Old Business

Dan moved to **cancel all Chamber in the Chamber** in-person events through 2020, and Sheila Evans seconded. Motion passed.

New Business

In succession, Dan moved to **cancel each Arts Council show through July**, one-by-one. Ron seconded, and the motions passed.

Dan moved to **reschedule the June one-woman-show to July**, and Shelly seconded. Motion passed.

Shelly moved to **add \$500 to the AOP budget for the People's Choice Award**, and Shelly seconded. Motion passed.

Dan moved to **add up to \$500 to the AOP marketing budget to advertise the Art of Photography in print**, and Julie H. seconded. Motion passed.

Dan moved to **change the jurying of AOP** from the physical submissions in person to the submitted digital files virtually, and Shelly seconded. Motion passed. Dan & Shelly will coordinate with the juror and the artists to notify them with changes, and get the show ready to kick off the beginning of June to run for 21 days.

What does a July reception look like socially distanced? We will discuss again in June.


Dan will coordinate with city staff to update some of the paint work in City Hall.

There being no further items on the business meeting agenda, Shelly moved to close the meeting, and Julie Hassel seconded. Bonnie adjourned the business meeting at 7:30 PM.

END

Council Should Adopt an Environmental Policy Before Approving 2021 Budget Adding Substantial Spending for Environmental Proposals

“Set the Stage” questions according to *Climate Action KC* presentation



Set the Stage

- Who does the policy cover?
- What does the policy cover?
- What are the costs?
- What are the benefits?
- Will the goal be met?

A formal request seeking that the City establish an environmental policy was made November 19, 2019, to various Council members, some of whom serve on the environmental committee.

Why Have a Policy?

- a) Establish and Communicate Strategic Direction.
- b) Align spending taxpayer sourced funds and articulated policy.
- c) Relate Budgeted Costs & Benefits – qualitative and quantitative. *See Slide 1 - CAKC*
- d) Prioritize environmental proposals based on ‘best-bang-for-the-[taxpayer]buck’.
- e) Measure progress.
- f) Spending material sums of taxpayer funds without a policy may be seen as irresponsible.
- g) Opportunity to Lead.
- h) *Climate Action KC says so.*
- i) Follow *Government Finance Offices Association (GFOA)* and *National Advisory Council on State and Local Budgeting* recommendation.

Council should adopt an environmental policy *before* adopting a **budget** that includes material expenditures and/or mill rate increase, respectively, for environmental proposals.

Recommended Budget Practices

A Framework for Improved State and Local Government Budgeting

(GFOA, c. 1998).

5.1 Prepare Policies and Plans to Guide the Design of Programs and Services

Principle: Develop Approaches to Achieve Goals

Element: Develop Programmatic, Operating, and Capital Policies and Plans

Practice: A government should develop and adopt policies and plans to guide the design of specific programs and services.

Rationale: Service and program policies and plans translate broad goals into strategies for achieving goals. These policies and plans provide the basis for designing specific programs and services.

Outputs: Program and service policies and plans may address items such as: groups or populations to be served, service delivery issues, examples of possible programs, standards of performance (including level of service standards or other measures to gauge success), expected costs, time frames for achievement of goals, issues pertaining to organizational structure, and priorities for service provision. Policies and plans should be adopted by the governing body and made publicly available.

Notes: A clear, well-documented statement of policies and plans in broad program and service areas becomes particularly important when goals cross organizational and program lines. For example, a goal to revitalize the downtown or to promote rural development could result in multi-departmental programs addressing job creation, transportation, housing, and health care.

Composting and Glass Recycling

- No environmental policy exists.
- Both are proposals; neither recommended by Staff.
- Staff acknowledges survey results are not statistically valid.
- The chief claimed benefit of composting, presented to Council by a potential vendor, is 'up to' 2.2M pounds of food waste may be diverted from the landfill annually. Fully implemented recurring annual cost exceeds \$1.5M based on vendor estimate.
- What are other claimed benefits, if any?
- Already available to those who want to voluntarily pay for it.
- How do we know proposals are the 'best-bang-for-the-[taxpayer] buck'?

COMPARISON OF COMPOST VS LED METHANE REDUCTION

Composting May Reduce GHG at Substantially Greater Cost vs. **LED Bulbs**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Equivalent Number of Doors	Annual LBS of Food Waste	Annual Pounds Methane @ 8.3 LBS Methane per 100 LBS of Food Waste ^b	Cost per door per Month for Curbside Food Waste Compost ^d	Annual Cost at \$8.50 per door per month ^e	Annual Cost per LB of Methane avoided in Landfill ^f	# LED Bulbs Equating to Methane in Column C ^{g,j}	Total Cost of LED Bulbs @ \$1.50 each ^h	Cost per LB of Methane Never Created ⁱ
8,800.00 ^a	2,200,000 ^a	182,600.000 ^c	8.50	897,600.00	4.92	78,651.0	117,976.50	.65
1.00	250	20.750 ^c	8.50	102.00	4.92	8.94	13.41	.65
0.40	100	8.300 ^c	8.50	40.80	4.92	3.58	5.36	.65

Less efficient per pound

More efficient per pound

a - Sourced from CCKC presentation to City Council, City of Prairie Village

b - extrafood.org citing EPA ... <https://extrafood.org/the-need/food-waste/>

c - Column B divided by 100 multiplied by 8.3, e.g. 182,600 = 2,200,000 ÷ 100 x 8.3

d - One-Half of the \$17 figure from the CCKC presentation

e - Column D multiplied by Column A multiplied by 12, e.g. 897,600 = 8.50 x 8,800 x 12

f - Column E divided by Column C, e.g., 4.92 = 897,600 ÷ 182,600

g - EPA GHG Calculator at <https://www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gas-equivalencies-calculator>

h - Column G multiplied by \$1.50 based on recent COSTCO price for LED bulb

i - Column H divided by Column C, e.g. .65 (sixty-five cents) = 117,960 ÷ 182,600

j - More than 40 sockets per house according to NRDC at <https://www.nrdc.org/stories/how-shop-energy-efficient-light-bulbs>

Memo

To: City Council
From: Tucker Poling, Council Member, Ward 3
Date: June 11, 2020
Re: Avoiding unnecessary barriers to implementing sustainability proposals

Unfortunately, the idea of a gatekeeping policy imposed on environmental proposals (but no other area of city policymaking) is being raised again in response to efforts to implement an environmental sustainability proposal. I urge the body to oppose creating a *policy on policymaking* for a democratic body of elected representatives. I don't support this for any area of policymaking, whether it's sustainability, tax policy, or any other area of city policy. I certainly don't support efforts to superimpose special policymaking rules or filters that apply only to sustainability policy (whether or not such rules or policies are linguistically couched in politically softer language such as "guidelines", etc.). The effect of such efforts, whether intentional or not, is undemocratic gatekeeping placed on the process by creating obstacles, hoops, delays, or subjective lenses of policy analysis (even selecting purportedly objective measures, especially for such a wide ranging area of policy, more often than not itself will reflect either subjective selection of one measure over others or a subjective determination that the individual policy is subject to discrete outcome measurement at all).

Practically speaking, this would only give this body something else to debate and prevent timely and efficient implementation of sustainability proposals. I urge the body not to chase rabbits down holes. We would spend unnecessary time and effort debating what the "policy on policymaking" should be. Then, we would later debate, at length, whether and how the "policy on policymaking" should apply to any given proposal. This adds unproductive bureaucratic sand in the gears of the democratic process of a deliberative body. Ultimately, the net effect would be to make it extremely difficult to pass sustainability proposals. Further, many policy goals/outcomes are either not amenable to measurement or extremely difficult to measure. In that context, such a policy could be used to obstruct policy ideas by creating artificial and unreasonable burdens of proof.

Can metrics be one helpful reference for individual elected policy makers? Absolutely. They can certainly be used in good faith to oppose proposal X by offering a different proposal seeking to address the same goal and showing that your alternative proposal would better address

the issue. That's the natural democratic process, and I believe that process works well. As elected representatives of the governing body, we have the right, duty, and responsibility to educate ourselves, present policy proposals, and marshal our arguments (which may include metrics) to gain support of the majority of the democratically elected representatives in an open meeting without preconditions, filters, or other gatekeeping processes. I believe that any attempt to reduce policymaking to processing ideas through a predetermined "policy on policymaking" or score sheet is (although superficially attractive in concept) misguided and obstructive in practice.

We should move forward with considering sustainability proposals on their merits, and casting our votes accordingly, in the same manner we consider other policy proposals, without delay.